



2023 Continuum of Care Funding Competition

Rating Matrix for New and Renewal Applications

Contents

Rating Matrix for New and Renewal Applications	1
Background Information.....	3
Overview of Rating Criteria	3
New Project Application	5
HUD Threshold Criteria	5
CoC Threshold Criteria.....	8
Local CoC Rating Criteria	9
Renewal Project Application.....	18
HUD Threshold Criteria	18
CoC Threshold Criteria.....	21
Local CoC Criteria	22

Background Information

The purpose of this document is to illustrate how questions on the Continuum of Care's (CoC) Supplemental Project Applications and e-snaps applications correspond to criteria articulated in the Department of Housing and Urban Development's 2023 Rating and Ranking Tool (version 7.0) and 2023 NOFO for the Continuum of Care Competition. An overview of the threshold criteria that will be considered during the rating and ranking process is provided. Additionally, tables mapping the e-snaps application and both the New Project Supplemental Application(s) and the Renewal Project Supplemental Application to these criteria are included for clarity. These allow the CoC to demonstrate what requirements each question addresses, who will be responsible for providing a rating, and how many points will be assigned to each question.

Overview of Rating Criteria

CoC Threshold Criteria

The CoC has established five threshold criteria. If an applicant does not meet these threshold requirements, their application will not be rated and submitted to HUD for consideration.

These threshold criteria are:

- ✓ Coordinated Entry Participation
- ✓ Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation
- ✓ Documented, secured minimum match
- ✓ Active participation in CoC
- ✓ Acceptable organizational audit/financial review

HUD Threshold Criteria

Each of the questions related to the HUD Threshold criteria are provided in the Assurances tables. These questions address issues such as compliance with federal law and standard accounting practices. The CoC requires project applicants to submit an attachment entitled "Assurances" that will ask applicants to attest to their compliance with these HUD Threshold criteria.

CoC Local Criteria

The CoC local criteria ensure that applicants are responsive to local needs and requirements, while also meeting the standards laid out in the 2023 CoC NOFO. This is a high-level overview of the local criteria. The detailed Rating and Ranking tool will be made available to all project applicants and posted on the CoC's website as soon as possible.

New Projects

For new projects these criteria address:

- ✓ Organizational experience and capacity
- ✓ Design of housing and supportive services
- ✓ Timeliness
- ✓ Documented organizational financial stability
- ✓ Project effectiveness based on best practices
- ✓ Financial feasibility of project
- ✓ Projected cost per exit to permanent housing
- ✓ Application is complete and data consistent throughout the application
- ✓ Serves a high need population
- ✓ Strategy to address those at risk of becoming homeless
- ✓ Strategy to reduce rate of returns to homelessness
- ✓ Identification of common factors of individuals that return to homelessness
- ✓ Collaboration and Coordination
- ✓ Street Outreach
- ✓ Strategy to further fair housing and market housing and supportive services
- ✓ Assistance to clients increasing access to employment and non-employment cash resources and other mainstream benefits
- ✓ Equity in leadership, governance, policies, and program participant outcomes
- ✓ Persons with lived experience are meaningfully involved in the project planning and review process

Renewal Projects

For renewal projects, these criteria address:

- ✓ System Performance Measures, including length of stay, exits to permanent housing, returns to homelessness, and new or increased income and earned income
- ✓ Serves a high need population
- ✓ Project effectiveness and use of best practices
- ✓ Financial feasibility of project
- ✓ Reasonable cost per exit to permanent housing
- ✓ Application is complete and data is consistent throughout the application
- ✓ Data quality is at or above 90%
- ✓ Bed/utilizations rates are at or above 75%
- ✓ Strategy to reduce rate of returns to homelessness
- ✓ Identification of common factors of individuals that return to homelessness
- ✓ Street Outreach
- ✓ Collaboration and Coordination
- ✓ Strategy to further fair housing and market housing and supportive services
- ✓ Assistance to clients to increase access to employment and non-employment cash resources and other mainstream benefits

- ✓ Equity in leadership, governance, policies, and program participant outcomes
- ✓ Persons with lived experience are meaningfully involved in the project planning and review process

The Rating and Ranking Committee may also review information in the Line of Credit Control System (LOCCS); Annual Performance Reports (APRs); and information derived from monitoring, including monitoring reports and financial audit reports as applicable, as well as performance standards on prior grants.

New Project Application

HUD Threshold Criteria

Application Question #	Question	Question Type	Responsible for Rating	Meets Threshold
Assurances	__Yes __No 1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information.	HUD Threshold	R&R Lead	Y/N
Assurances	__Yes __No 2. Applicant has Valid UEI number in application.	HUD Threshold	R&R Lead	Y/N
Assurances	__Yes __No 3. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts- It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds, unless: (a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or (b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD.	HUD Threshold	R&R Lead	Y/N
Assurances	__Yes __No 4. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions - In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government.	HUD Threshold	R&R Lead	Y/N

Assurances	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No 5. Applicant has Accounting System - HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302. HUD may arrange for a survey of financial management systems for applicants selected for award who have not previously received federal financial assistance or where HUD Program officials have reason to question whether a financial management system meets federal standards, or for applicants considered high risk based on past performance or financial management findings.	HUD Threshold		R&R Lead	Y/N
Assurances	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No 6. Applicant has disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law - Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in 2 CFR §200.338, Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. This mandatory disclosure requirement also applies to subrecipients of HUD funds who must disclose to the pass-through entity from which it receives HUD funds.	HUD Threshold		R&R Lead	Y/N
Assurances	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No 7. Applicant has demonstrated they are Eligible Project Applicants - Eligible project applicants for the CoC Program Competition are, under 24 CFR 578.15, nonprofit organizations, States, local governments, and instrumentalities of State and local governments. Public housing agencies, as such term is defined in 24 CFR 5.100, are eligible without limitation or exclusion. Neither for-profit entities nor Indian tribes are eligible to apply for grants or to be subrecipients of grant funds.	HUD Threshold		R&R Lead	Y/N
Assurances	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No 8. Applicant has submitted the required certifications as specified in the NOFA.	HUD Threshold		R&R Lead	Y/N

Assurances	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No 9. Applicant has demonstrated the project is cost-effective, including costs of construction, operations, and supportive services with such costs not deviating substantially from the norm in that locale for the type of structure or kind of activity.	HUD Threshold		R&R Lead	Y/N
Assurances	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No 10. Applicant has demonstrated they Participate in HMIS - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, must agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient must not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers must use a comparable database that complies with the federal HMIS data and technical standards. While not prohibited from using HMIS, legal services providers may use a comparable database that complies with federal HMIS data and technical standards, if deemed necessary to protect attorney client privilege.	HUD Threshold		R&R Lead	Y/N
Assurances	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No 11. Applicant has demonstrated Project Meets Minimum Project Standards - HUD will assess all new projects for the following minimum project eligibility, capacity, timeliness, and performance standards. Please note that these are minimum threshold criteria. CoCs and project applicants should carefully review each year's NOFA to ensure they understand and have accounted for all applicable standards. To be considered as meeting project quality threshold, all new projects must meet all of the following criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Project applicants and potential subrecipients must have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grant(s) that are funded under the SHP, S+C, or CoC Program, as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients, regular drawdowns, and timely resolution of any monitoring findings; (b) For expansion projects, project applicants must clearly articulate the part of the project that is being expanded. Additionally, the project 	HUD Threshold		R&R Lead	Y/N

	<p>applicants must clearly demonstrate that they are not replacing other funding sources; and,</p> <p>Project applicants must demonstrate they will be able to meet all timeliness standards per 24 CFR 578.85. Project applicants with existing projects must demonstrate that they have met all project renewal threshold requirements of this NOFA. HUD reserves the right to deny the funding request for a new project, if the request is made by an existing recipient that HUD finds to have significant issues related to capacity, performance, unresolved audit or monitoring finding related to one or more existing grants, or does not routinely draw down funds from eLOCCS at least once per quarter. Additionally, HUD reserves the right to withdraw funds if no APR is submitted on the prior grant.</p>				
--	--	--	--	--	--

CoC Threshold Criteria

Application Question #	Question and Threshold Criterion	Question Type	Rating and Ranking Tool Correlation	Responsible for Rating	Meets Threshold
Supplemental Application #1	<p>Does the organization currently participate in the Coordinated Entry System? If so, please note for which program or project.</p> <p>If not, please describe the plan for quickly moving to full participation in Coordinated Entry.</p> <p><i>New projects will meet this threshold if they currently participate in Coordinated Entry with a different project or if they provide a plan for quickly moving to full participation in Coordinated Entry.</i></p>	CoC Threshold	Coordinated Entry Participation	R&R Lead	Y/N
Supplemental Application #6	<p>Does the project intend to offer a Housing First Approach? ___Yes ___No If yes, please describe how the project utilizes a Housing First and Low Barrier approach.</p>	CoC Threshold	Housing First/Low Barrier Implementation	R&R Lead	Y/N

	<i>Project will meet this threshold requirement if they select “Yes” to question 6 and describe how the project will utilize a Housing First and low barrier approach.</i>				
Supplemental Application #3 and #5	Amount of Funding Requested: _____ Amount of Match documented with letters attached to project application in e-snaps: _____ <i>Project will meet this threshold requirement if they provide documented evidence of secured match that is equal to or greater than 25% of the requested funding (excluding any amount in the leasing budget line item).</i>	CoC Threshold	Documented, secured minimum match (of 25%)	R&R Lead	Y/N
Supplemental Application #4	Number of CoC Leadership, Subcommittee, WIB or General Meetings attended July 2022 - June 2023 <i>New Projects will automatically meet this threshold requirement.</i>	CoC Threshold	Applicant is an active CoC Participant	R&R Lead	Y/N
Supplemental Application Attachment	Please provide a copy of your most recent audit and management letter. <i>New Projects will meet this threshold if a recently conducted single audit or an independently audited financial statement is provided along with the management letter and organization’s response to the management letter, if any. To be considered “acceptable” the audited financial statements must not identify any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in the internal controls of the organization that have not been remedied.</i>	CoC Threshold	Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	R&R Lead	Y/N

Local CoC Rating Criteria

Application Question #	Question and Rating Criterion	Question Type	Rating and Ranking Tool Correlation	Responsible for Rating	Pts.
Supplemental Application #13	Please describe the organization’s experience in working with the proposed population and in providing housing as proposed in the application.	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Experience A	Raters	0 - 15

Application Question #	Question and Rating Criterion	Question Type	Rating and Ranking Tool Correlation	Responsible for Rating	Pts.
	<i>Raters will score based on the length and type of experience the applicant has with the populations and housing services proposed, if any.</i>				
Supplemental Application #6, 6a, 14, 15, and 16	<p>Does the project intend to offer a Housing First approach? ___Yes ___No</p> <p>If yes, please describe how the project will utilize a Housing First and Low Barrier approach.</p> <p>Describe the project’s eligibility criteria.</p> <p>Describe the process and criteria for exiting clients.</p> <p>Describe the proposed process to address clients’ situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance.</p> <p><i>Raters will score based on the applicant’s description of how they will utilize a Housing First and Low Barrier approach. To receive points for this criteria applicants must demonstrate that there are no preconditions to program entry and demonstrate that the project has an adequate process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or further assistance from the project. Termination from the program is utilized only in the most severe cases.</i></p>	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Experience B.	Raters	10
e-snaps application 2B.1.	<p>Describe your agency’s experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.</p> <p><i>Raters will score based on the length of experience and breadth of experience with effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other federal funding. The applicant’s description can include the experience</i></p>	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Experience C.	Raters	0 - 10

Application Question #	Question and Rating Criterion	Question Type	Rating and Ranking Tool Correlation	Responsible for Rating	Pts.
	<i>of individual staff/ board members and/ or the experience of the organization as a whole.</i>				
e-snaps application 3B.1. and Supplemental Application #11, 17, and 21	<p>Provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project.</p> <p>Describe how the organization addresses both housing and service needs to ensure families successfully maintain their housing once assistance ends.</p> <p>Please check if the project considers the severity of needs and vulnerabilities of program participants experiencing any of the following.</p> <p>Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/ or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.</p> <p><i>Raters will score based on the extent to which the applicant:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>1. Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served;</i> <i>2. Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served;</i> <i>3. Demonstrates that type and scale of all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of the clients to be served;</i> <i>4. Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits; and</i> <i>5. Establishes performance measures for housing and income that are objective.</i> 	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Design of Housing and Supportive Services A.	Raters	0 - 15
Supplemental Application #20	<p>Describe the agency’s plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.</p> <p><i>Rater will score based on the applicant’s description of the plan. Raters will include factors such as is a housing plan developed with the program</i></p>	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Design of Housing and Supportive Services B.	Raters	0 - 5

Application Question #	Question and Rating Criterion	Question Type	Rating and Ranking Tool Correlation	Responsible for Rating	Pts.
	<i>participants preferences in mind, how quickly will permanent housing placements be made, will the client have a choice in regard to their housing, etc. as part of the score.</i>				
Supplemental Application #12 and 21	<p>Describe how the organization will promote access to employment opportunities with private employment organizations (such as holding job fairs, outreach to employers, and partnering with staffing agencies) and is providing education and training, on-the-job training, internships, and employment opportunities for program participants.</p> <p>Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.</p> <p><i>Raters will score based on the applicant's description on how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/ or income and to maximize their ability to live independently. Raters will include factors such as is a case plan developed with the participants goals in mind, does the organization have established partnerships with private employers or other workforce development agencies, does the agency provide these services directly or through referral, etc.</i></p>	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Design of Housing and Supportive Services C.	Raters	0 - 5
Supplemental Application #22, 22a and b	<p>Does the project leverage housing resources with subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.</p> <p>If yes, how?</p> <p>If the housing resources being leveraged for the project through a partnership is there a MOU in place? __Yes __No</p> <p>Separate Letter/ MOU Attached with Application?</p>	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Design of Housing & Supportive Services D.	Lead	5

Application Question #	Question and Rating Criterion	Question Type	Rating and Ranking Tool Correlation	Responsible for Rating	Pts.
	<p>3 points – if applicant selects “Yes” to the project leveraging housing resources with subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG program and describes how.</p> <p>2 points – if applicant attaches a letter/ MOU with the application.</p> <p>0 points – if applicant selects no</p>				
Supplemental Application #23 and 23a	<p>Does the project include a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization that leverages health resources? __Yes __No</p> <p>If Yes, how?</p> <p>Separate Letter/ MOU Attached with Application?</p> <p>3 points – if applicant selects “Yes” to the project including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization that leverages health resources and describes how.</p> <p>2 points – if applicant attaches a letter/ MOU with the application.</p> <p>0 points – if applicant selects no</p>	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Design of Housing & Supportive Services E.	Lead	5
e-snaps Application 3B.2.	<p>For each primary project location, or structure, enter the number of days from the execution of the grant agreement that each of the following milestones will occur if this project is selected for conditional award.</p> <p>10 points - Project will start providing housing and supportive services to project participants within 90 days of grant execution.</p> <p>5 points – Project will start providing housing and supportive services to project participants within 120 days of grant execution.</p> <p>0 points – Project will take longer than 120 days to start providing housing and supportive services to project participants.</p>	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Timeliness A.	Lead	0 - 10

Application Question #	Question and Rating Criterion	Question Type	Rating and Ranking Tool Correlation	Responsible for Rating	Pts.
e-snaps application 6j.15	<p>How many individuals or families is the project designed to serve: Number of individuals _____</p> <p>Total Project Budget for this grant, including match \$ _____</p> <p><i>Total project budget, including match ÷ the number of individuals proposed to be served.</i></p> <p><i>5 points – Equal to or lower than the CoC average cost per person for the specific project type</i></p> <p><i>3 points – Within 20% of the CoC average cost per person for the specific project type</i></p> <p><i>0 points – more than 20% of the CoC average cost per person for the specific project type</i></p>	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Financial A.	Lead	0 - 5
Supplemental Application Attachment	<p>Review of most recently completed Single Audit or audited financial statements.</p> <p><i>5 points – No exceptions to standard practices found</i></p> <p><i>5 points – Agency is identified as “low risk”</i></p> <p><i>5 points – No findings indicated</i></p>	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Financial B. 1-3	Lead	0 - 15
e-snaps Application	<p>Budget submitted in e-snaps</p> <p><i>Review of budget line items in the proposed application are determined to be reasonable, allocable, and eligible.</i></p>	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Financial D.	Lead	5

Application Question #	Question and Rating Criterion	Question Type	Rating and Ranking Tool Correlation	Responsible for Rating	Pts.
Supplemental Application #24	__Yes __No Does the organization have underrepresented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions?	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Equity Factors – Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies #1	Lead	5
Supplemental Application #25	__Yes __No Does the organization’s board of directors include representation from someone with lived experience of homelessness?	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Equity Factors – Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies #2	Lead	5
Supplemental Application #26	__Yes __No Does the organization have a process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience? If yes, how?	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Equity Factors – Agency Leadership, Governance, and policies #3	Lead	5
Supplemental Application #29	Describe the organization’s plan to review participant outcomes data disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/ or age to identify disparities in your service provision, including any plans to collaborate with HMIS to develop a schedule for data review.	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Equity Factors – Program Participant Outcomes #1	Lead	5
Supplemental Application #30	Describe the organization’s plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable.	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Equity Factors – Program Participant Outcomes #2	Lead	5

Application Question #	Question and Rating Criterion	Question Type	Rating and Ranking Tool Correlation	Responsible for Rating	Pts.
Supplemental Application #29	Describe the organization’s plan to review participant outcomes data disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/ or age to identify disparities in your service provision, including any plans to collaborate with HMIS to develop a schedule for data review.	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Equity Factors – Program Participant Outcomes #3	Lead	3
Supplemental Application Project Type	Project Type is Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Other and Local Criteria #1	Lead	3
Supplemental Application #17	Does the project consider the severity of needs and vulnerabilities of program participants experiencing any of the following? <i>Projects that select and provide a reasonable explanation for one or more high need populations will receive full points.</i>	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Other and Local Criteria #2	Raters	5
Supplemental Application #10	Describe how street outreach will be conducted and tailored to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance. In your answer please indicate how frequently street outreach will be conducted (e.g., monthly, weekly, when identified by community members, etc.) <i>5 points - Detailed outreach plan and tailor their outreach efforts to persons experiencing homelessness who are the least likely to request assistance and conduct outreach on a regular basis, at least monthly.</i>	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Other and Local Criteria #3	Raters	5

Application Question #	Question and Rating Criterion	Question Type	Rating and Ranking Tool Correlation	Responsible for Rating	Pts.
	<i>2.5 points – Detailed outreach plan and tailor their outreach efforts to persons experiencing homelessness who are the least likely to request assistance and conduct outreach on non-regular basis, less than monthly.</i>				
Supplemental Application #2	<p>Do you currently participate in Case Conferencing meetings? Describe current agency Case Conferencing participation, if applicable.</p> <p><i>Applicants that are participating in Case conferencing meetings and describe regular participation will receive 5 points.</i></p>	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Other and Local Criteria #4	Lead	5
LEAB Presentation	<p>LEAB evaluation of Project</p> <p><i>Applicants will give an application presentation to the LEAB and receive an evaluation score 0-20.</i></p>	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Other and Local Criteria #5	LEAB	20

Renewal Project Application

HUD Threshold Criteria

Application Question #	Question	Question Type	Responsible for Rating	Meets Threshold
Assurances	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No 1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information.	HUD Threshold	Lead	Y/N
Assurances	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No 2. Applicant has Valid DUNS number in application.	HUD Threshold	Lead	Y/N
Assurances	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No 3. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts- It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds, unless: (a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or (b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD.	HUD Threshold	Lead	Y/N
Assurances	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No 4. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions - In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government.	HUD Threshold	Lead	Y/N
Assurances	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No 5. Applicant has disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law - Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in 2 CFR §200.338, Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. This	HUD Threshold	Lead	Y/N

Application Question #	Question	Question Type	Responsible for Rating	Meets Threshold
	mandatory disclosure requirement also applies to subrecipients of HUD funds who must disclose to the pass-through entity from which it receives HUD funds.			
Assurances	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No 6. Applicant has submitted the required certifications as specified in the NOFA.	HUD Threshold	Lead	Y/N
Assurances	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No 7. Applicant has demonstrated the population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, and project application clearly establishes eligibility of project applicants. This includes any additional eligibility criteria for certain types of projects contained in the NOFA.	HUD Threshold	Lead	Y/N
Assurances	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No 8. Applicant has agreed to Participate in HMIS - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, must agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient must not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers must use a comparable database that complies with the federal HMIS data and technical standards. While not prohibited from using HMIS, legal services providers may use a comparable database that complies with federal HMIS data and technical standards, if deemed necessary to protect attorney client privilege.	HUD Threshold	Lead	Y/N
Assurances	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No 9. Applicant has met HUD Expectations - When considering renewal projects for award, HUD will review information in eLOCCS; Annual Performance Reports (APRs); and information provided from the local HUD CPD Field Office, including monitoring reports and A-133 audit reports as applicable, and performance standards on prior grants. HUD will also assess renewal projects using the following performance standards in relation to the project's prior grants:	HUD Threshold	Lead	Y/N

Application Question #	Question	Question Type		Responsible for Rating	Meets Threshold
	<p>(a) Whether the project applicant's performance met the plans and goals established in the initial application, as amended;</p> <p>(b) Whether the project applicant demonstrated all timeliness standards for grants being renewed, including those standards for the expenditure of grant funds that have been met;</p> <p>(c) The project applicant's performance in assisting program participants to achieve and maintain independent living and records of success, except HMIS-dedicated projects that are not required to meet this standard; and,</p> <p>(d) Whether there is evidence that a project applicant has been unwilling to accept technical assistance, has a history of inadequate financial accounting practices, has indications of project mismanagement, has a drastic reduction in the population served, has made program changes without prior HUD approval, or has lost a project site.</p>				
Assurances	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No 10. Applicant has met HUD financial expectations – If a project applicant has previously received HUD grants, the organization must have demonstrated its ability to meet HUD’s financial expectations. If any of the following have occurred, the project applicant would <u>NOT</u> meet this threshold criteria:</p> <p>(a) Outstanding obligation to HUD that is in arrears or for which a payment schedule has not been agreed upon;</p> <p>(b) Audit finding(s) for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory;</p> <p>(c) History of inadequate financial management accounting practices;</p> <p>(d) Evidence of untimely expenditures on prior award;</p>	HUD Threshold		Lead	Y/N

Application Question #	Question	Question Type	Responsible for Rating	Meets Threshold
	<p>(e) History of other major capacity issues that have significantly affected the operation of the project and its performance;</p> <p>(f) History of not reimbursing subrecipients for eligible costs in a timely manner, or at least quarterly; and</p> <p>(g) History of serving ineligible program participants, expending funds on ineligible costs, or failing to expend funds within statutorily established timeframes.</p>			

CoC Threshold Criteria

Application Question #	Question and Threshold Criterion	Question Type	Responsible for Rating	Meets Threshold	
Supplemental Application #1 and 1a	<p>How many referrals did this project receive from Coordinated Entry from July 1, 2022 to June 30, 2023? _____</p> <p>Of the number of referrals, how many clients were accepted? _____</p> <p><i>Projects that accept 95% or more of CE referrals will meet this threshold. If there is less than an 95% acceptance rate a reasonable description as to the reason why may be requested to determine if the project will meet this threshold.</i></p>	CoC Threshold	Coordinated Entry Participation	R&R Lead	Y/N
Supplemental Application #3 and 3a	<p>Does this project follow a Housing First and Low Barrier Approach to servicing individuals and families? ___Yes ___No</p> <p>If yes, please describe how the project utilizes a Housing First and Low Barrier approach.</p>	CoC Threshold	Housing First/Low Barrier Implementation	R&R Lead	Y/N

	<i>Projects that select “Yes” to this question and provide a description on how the project utilizes a Housing First and Low Barrier approach that is determined to be Housing First compliant will meet this threshold.</i>				
Supplemental Application #4 and #5	Amount of Funding Requested: _____ Amount of Match documented with letters attached to project application in e-snaps: _____ <i>Project will meet this threshold requirement if they provide documented evidence of secured match that is equal to or greater than 25% of the requested funding (excluding any amount in the leasing budget line item).</i>	CoC Threshold	Documented, secured minimum match (of 25%)	R&R Lead	Y/N
Supplemental Application #7	Active CoC participation. An Agency representative has attended or will commit to attending a minimum of four CoC membership meetings. <i>Rating and Ranking Lead will use attendance from CoC membership meetings to confirm.</i>	CoC Threshold	Applicant is an active CoC Participant	R&R Lead	Y/N
Supplemental Application Attachment	Please provide a copy of your most recent audit and management letter. <i>Projects will meet this threshold if a recently conducted single audit or an independently audited financial statement is provided along with the management letter and organization’s response to the management letter, if any. To be considered “acceptable” the audited financial statements must not identify any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in the internal controls of the organization that have not been remedied.</i>	CoC Threshold	Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	R&R Lead	Y/N

Local CoC Criteria

Application Question #	Question and Rating Criterion	Question Type	Rating and Ranking Tool Correlation	Responsible for Rating	Maximum Points
Most recently completed SAGE APR	On average, new program participants spend XX days or less from project entry to residential move-in	Performance	Length of Stay	R&R Lead	20

	<i>CoC APR: Q22c Length of Time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date. Applicants will receive full points if there were no new program participants enrolled during the APR year.</i>	Measures			
Most recently completed SAGE APR	<p>Percent remain in or move to permanent housing</p> <p><i>CoC APR: (Q23c total persons exiting to positive housing destinations + Q5a.8 Number of stayers) ÷ Q.5a.1 Total number of persons served</i></p> <p>Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH):</p> <p><i>25 points - 85% or greater</i></p> <p><i>20 points – 75% - 84%</i></p> <p><i>15 points – 65% - 74%</i></p> <p><i>10 points – 55% - 64%</i></p> <p><i>0 points – less than 55%</i></p> <p>Rapid Rehousing (RRH):</p> <p><i>25 points – 80% or greater</i></p> <p><i>20 points – 70% - 79%</i></p> <p><i>15 points – 60% - 69%</i></p> <p><i>10 points – 50% - 59%</i></p> <p><i>0 points – less than 50%</i></p>	Performance Measurement	Exits to Permanent Housing	R&R Lead	25
Most recently completed SAGE APR	<p>Percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers</p> <p><i>CoC APR Q19a1</i></p>	Performance Measurement	New or Increased Income and Earned Income #1	R&R Lead	5

	<p>Rapid Rehousing (RRH):</p> <p>5 points – 10% or more</p> <p>2.5 points – 5% - 9%</p> <p>0 points – less than 5%</p>				
<p>Most recently completed SAGE APR</p>	<p>Percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income project stayers</p> <p>CoC APR Q19a1</p> <p>Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and Rapid Rehousing (RRH):</p> <p>5 points – 15% or more</p> <p>2.5 points – 10% - 14%</p> <p>0 points – less than 10%</p>	<p>Performance Measurement</p>	<p>New or Increased Income and Earned Income #2</p>	<p>R&R Lead</p>	<p>5</p>
<p>Most recently completed SAGE APR</p>	<p>Percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers</p> <p>CoC APR Q19a2</p> <p>Rapid Rehousing (RRH):</p> <p>5 points – 20% or more</p> <p>2.5 points – 10% - 19%</p> <p>0 points – less than 10%</p>	<p>Performance Measurement</p>	<p>New or Increased Income and Earned Income #3</p>	<p>R&R Lead</p>	<p>5</p>
<p>Most recently completed SAGE APR</p>	<p>Percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income project leavers</p>	<p>Performance</p>	<p>New or Increased Income and Earned Income #4</p>	<p>R&R Lead</p>	<p>5</p>

	<p>CoC APR Q19a2</p> <p>Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and Rapid Rehousing (RRH):</p> <p>5 points – 25% or more</p> <p>2.5 points – 15% - 24%</p> <p>0 points – less than 15%</p>	Measurement			
<p>Most recently completed SAGE APR</p>	<p>Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry</p> <p>CoC APR Q16 $\text{Income at Start} \div \text{Q05a.2 Number of adults}$</p> <p>Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH):</p> <p>5 points - 50% or greater</p> <p>2.5 points - 25% - 49%</p> <p>0 points – less than 25%</p> <p>Rapid Rehousing (RRH):</p> <p>5 points - 25% or greater</p> <p>2.5 points - 20% - 24%</p> <p>0 points – less than 20%</p>	Performance Measurement	Serve High Needs Populations #1	R&R Lead	5
<p>Most recently completed SAGE APR</p>	<p>Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability</p> <p>CoC APR Q13a2 $\text{Number of Conditions at Start (2 conditions + 3+ Conditions)} \div \text{Q05a.2 Number of adults}$</p> <p>Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH):</p> <p>5 points - 50% or greater</p>	Performance Measurement	Serve High Needs Populations #2	R&R Lead	5

	<p>2.5 points - 25% - 49%</p> <p>0 points – less than 25%</p> <p>Rapid Rehousing (RRH):</p> <p>5 points - 25% or greater</p> <p>2.5 points - 20% - 24%</p> <p>0 points – less than 20%</p>				
<p>Most recently completed SAGE APR</p>	<p>Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation</p> <p><i>CoC APR Q15.3 Living Situation “Place not meant for habitation” ÷ Q05a.2 Number of adults</i></p> <p>Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH):</p> <p>5 points - 50% or greater</p> <p>2.5 points - 25% - 49%</p> <p>0 points – less than 25%</p> <p>Rapid Rehousing (RRH):</p> <p>5 points - 50% or greater</p> <p>2.5 points - 25% - 49%</p> <p>0 points – less than 25%</p>	<p>Performance Measurement</p>	<p>Serve High Needs Populations #3</p>	<p>R&R Lead</p>	<p>5</p>
<p>Most recently completed SAGE APR</p>	<p>Costs are within local average cost per positive housing outcome for project type.</p>	<p>Performance</p>	<p>Project Effectiveness #1</p>	<p>R&R Lead</p>	<p>10</p>

	<p><i>Total project expenditures (project expenditures + match) ÷ CoC APR: (Q23c total persons exiting to positive housing destinations + Q5a.8 Number of stayers) ÷ Q.5a.1 Total number of persons served</i></p> <p><i>10 points – Equal to or lower than the CoC average cost per positive housing outcome for the specific project type</i></p> <p><i>8 points – Within 5% of the CoC average cost per positive housing outcome for the specific project type</i></p> <p><i>6 points – within 10% of the CoC average cost per positive housing outcome for the specific project type</i></p> <p><i>4 points – within 15% of the CoC average cost per positive housing outcome for the specific project type</i></p> <p><i>2 points - within 20% of the CoC average cost per positive housing outcome for the specific project type</i></p> <p><i>0 points – exceeds 20% of the CoC average cost per positive housing outcome for the specific project type</i></p>	Measurement			
CE & HMIS Data Review	<p>Percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects, if any).</p> <p><i>100% or more of entries into the project come from a CE referral (or alternative system for DV project, if any).</i></p>	Performance Measurement	Project Effectiveness #2	R&R Lead	10
Supplemental Application Attachments Policy Review	<p>Housing First and/ or Low Barrier Implementation – CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from review of project policies and procedures.</p> <p><i>Rating and Ranking Lead will review the Housing First Policy attached to the Supplemental Application</i></p>	Performance Measurement	Project Effectiveness #3	R&R Lead	10

Supplemental Application #24	__Yes __No Does the agency have under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions?	Equity Factors	Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies #1	R&R Lead	5
Supplemental Application #25	__Yes __No Does the agency Board of Directors include representation from someone with lived experience?	Equity Factors	Agency Leadership, Governance #2	R&R Lead	5
Supplemental Application #26	__Yes __No Does the organization have process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience? If yes, how?	Equity Factors	Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies #3	R&R Lead	5
Supplemental Application #27 and 28	What steps have you taken to identify barriers of participation faced by persons of different races, identities, particularly those overrepresented in the local homeless population? What steps have you taken or will you take to lower or eliminate these barriers?	Equity Factors	Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies #4	R&R Lead	5
Supplemental Application #29	Describe the organization’s plan to review participant outcomes data disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/ or age to identify disparities in your service provision, including any plans to collaborate with HMIS to develop a schedule for data review. If already implementing, describe findings:	Equity Factors	Participant Outcomes #1	R&R Lead	10
Supplemental Application #30	Describe the organization’s plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable. If already implementing, describe findings:	Equity Factors	Participant Outcomes #2	R&R Lead	5

CoC Post Monitoring Letter	Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards (Monitoring)	Local Criteria	Other and Local Criteria #1	Lead	10
Most recently completed SAGE APR	Data completeness and quality percentage for the following HMIS data elements are at 90% or greater: Name, SSN, Date of Birth, Race, Ethnicity, Gender, Veteran Status, Relationship to Head of Household, Client Location, Disabling Condition, Exit Destination, and Income and Sources at Start and Exit. <i>Each data element listed above has a 10% or less error rate as identified on the most recently completed SAGE APR.</i>	Other and Local Criteria	Other and Local Criteria #2	R&R Lead	5
Most recently completed SAGE APR	The average bed utilization rate for the project are at or above 90%. <i>CoC APR Question 07b average of the number of persons enrolled in the project on the last Wednesday of January, April, July, and October compared to the total beds proposed in the application.</i>	Other and Local Criteria	Other and Local Criteria #3	R&R Lead	10
Supplemental Application #21, 22 and 23	Describe how the organization collaborates with youth education providers, local education agencies, and school districts to support youth experiencing homelessness. Does the project actively coordinate with the following systems of care to ensure that persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelter (ES), or other homeless assistance programs? a. Foster Care ___Yes ___No b. Health Care ___Yes ___No c. Mental Health Care ___Yes ___No	Other and Local Criteria	Other and Local Criteria #4	Raters	5

	<p>d. Correctional Facilities ___ Yes ___ No</p> <p>Describe how the organization partners with local workforce development centers to improve employment opportunities.</p> <p><i>5 points – Applicant coordinates and/or collaborates with 5 or more systems of care</i></p> <p><i>4 points – Applicant coordinates and/or collaborates with 4 systems of care</i></p> <p><i>3 points – Applicant coordinates and/or collaborates with 3 systems of care</i></p> <p><i>2 points – Applicant coordinates and/or collaborates with 2 systems of care</i></p> <p><i>1 point – Applicant coordinates and/or collaborates with 1 system of care</i></p> <p><i>0 points – Applicant does not coordinate or collaborate with other systems of care</i></p>				
Supplemental Application #2 and 2a	<p>Do you currently participate in Case Conferencing meetings? ___Yes ___No</p> <p>Describe current agency Case Conferencing participation, including specific meetings attended.</p> <p><i>Applicants that are participating in Case conferencing meetings and describe regular participation will receive 5 points.</i></p>	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Other and Local Criteria #5	Lead	5
LEAB Presentation	<p>LEAB evaluation of Project</p> <p><i>Applicants will give an application presentation to the LEAB and receive an evaluation score 0-20.</i></p>	Local CoC Rating Criteria	Other and Local Criteria #6	LEAB	20

