CoC Threshold Requirements	(Delete the X in the box next to any requirements you do not wish to include.)
X Coordinated Entry Participation	
X Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	on .
X Documented, secured minimum match	
X Applicant is active CoC participant	
X Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	1

RENEWAL/ EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL			
Performance Measures	Factor/Goal	Max Point Value	
Length of Stay			
X RRH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	90days	points	
X RRH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	90days		
X PSH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	120days	points	
Exits to Permanent Housing			
X RRH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	80%	points	
X RRH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	80%	points	
X PSH (General) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	85%		
New or Increased Income and Earned Income			
X RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	%	5 points	
X RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	%	5points	
X RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	15%	5 points	
X RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	15%	5 points	
X PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		5points	
X RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	%	5points	
X RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	%	5 points	
X RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	%	5points	
X RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	%	5points	
X PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	%	5points	
Serve High Needs Populations			
Select all APR data on≥ 50% disability/zero income/unsheltered < select from drop-down menu			
RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	25%	5points	
X RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	25%	5 points	
X RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability	%	5 points	
X RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability	25%	5 points	
RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	50 %	5 points	
RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	50 %		
X PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50%	5points	
X PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability	%	5 points	
X PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	%	5points	

Project Effectiveness

Project Effectiveness		
X RRH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10points
X RRH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10points
X PSH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10 points
X RRH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %	10 points
RRH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %	10 points
X PSH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %	points
RRH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	
RRH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	
PSH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	points
Equity Factors		
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies		
X Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes	5 points
X Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness	Yes	5 points
X Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness	Yes	5points
Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	Yes	5 points
Program Participant Outcomes		
x Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	Yes	points
X Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Yes	5points
Other and Local Criteria (select from drop-down menu)		
X CoC Monitoring Score Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes	10points
RRH () - Data completeness and quality percentage is 90% or greater for each data quality element on the CoC APR	90%	5 points
X PSH () - Data completeness and quality percentage is 90% or greater for each data quality element on the CoC APR	90%	5 points
X RRH () - Average bed utilization rate for the project	90%	10 points
X PSH () - Average bed utilization rate for the project	90%	10 points
X RRH () - Applicant coordinates and/ or collaborates with other systems of care	5 or more	5 points
X PSH () - Applicant coordinates and/ or collaborates with other systems of care	5 or more	5 points
RRH () - Regular participation in Coordinated Entry Case Conferencing meetings	Yes	5 points
PSH () - Regular participation in Coordinated Entry Case Conferencing meetings	Yes	5 points
X PSH () - LEAB evaluation of the project - Applicant presentation to the LEAB	Yes	20 points
X RRH () - LEAB evaluation of the project - Applicant presentation to the LEAB	Yes	20 points

Total Maximum Score	RRH-General projects:	200	points	Scores will be weighted to
	RRH-DV projects:	200	points	a 100-point scale for
	PSH-General projects:	190	points	ranking

NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL

Experience	Factor/Goal	Max Point Value
General-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to proposed in the application.	that	points
DV-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.		15points
General-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and crit for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal re (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orient gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance tensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	cords ation,	10points
DV-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria f exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal record (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orients gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	ds ation,	10points
General-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.		10points
DV-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and perform for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and submission of required reporting on existing grants.		points
Design of Housing & Supportive Services		
General-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and loca of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meeds of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for hou and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.	ets the	15points
DV-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing are income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.	needs	15points
X General-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their research.	needs.	5 points
X DV-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs	i.	5 points
X General-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.		5 points
X DV-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.		5 points
X General-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.		5 points
X DV-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.		5 points
X General-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.		5 points

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

X DV-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.	5	points
Timeliness		
General-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	10	_ points
DV-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	10	_ points
Financial		
X General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.	5	points
X DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.	5	points
B. Organization's most recent audit:		
X General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess	5	points
X DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess	5	points
X General-2. Identified agency as 'low risk'	5	points
X DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk'	5	points
X General-3. Indicates no findings	5	points
X DV-3. Indicates no findings	5	points
X General-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	5	_ points
X DV-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	5	points
Equity Factors		
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies		
X New project has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions Yes	5	points
X New project's organizational board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience (per 578.75(g)) Yes	5	points
X New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one Yes	5	points
Program Participant Outcomes		
New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review	5	points
New project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review	5	points
New project describes plan to work with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review	3	points
Other and Local Criteria		
X Project type is Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)	3	points
X Does the project consider the severity of needs and vulnerabilities of high need program participants?	5	points
X Does the agency have a street oureach plan that includes conducting outreach on a regular basis and that is tailored to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assi	s 5	points
X Regular participation in Coordinated Entry Case Conferencing meetings	5	points
X LEAB evaluation of the project - Applicant presentation to the LEAB	20	points
Total Maximum Score General projects:	171	points
DV projects:	171	points