To: Lloyd, Trevor; Kerfoot, Lacey
Subject: Fwd: LDS-2 higher zoning density
Date: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 2:46:13 PM

[NOTICE: This message originated outside of Washoe County -- DO NOT CLICK on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.]

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Carey Rotoli crotoli@charter.net Date: March 1, 2022 at 8:50:06 AM PST

To: larrypeyton@comcast.net, katenelsonpe@gmail.com, f.donshick@att.net,

rmflick@washoecounty.us, chviliceks@unce.unr.edu, Ichesney@washoecounty.us, pataphillips@yahoo.com

Subject: LDS-2 higher zoning density

I live in New Washoe City in Washoe Valley and have some questions about the proposed zoning changes being contemplated to 2 homes per acre for the South Valleys, and whether or not if this zoning change would affect Washoe Valley.

Washoe Valley currently has a 1 house per 5 acre minimum due to water quality and quantity issues. The 5 acre minimum zoning has been in place for several decades and can not be circumvented unless water is brought in from an outside of the valley source.

Can any of you tell me if the import of water is being contemplated and if the zoning within Washoe Valley will be changed?

Carey Rotoli 775-742-5017

To: Lloyd, Trevor; Kerfoot, Lacey
Subject: Fwd: Washoe Valley

Date: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 2:46:35 PM

[NOTICE: This message originated outside of Washoe County -- DO NOT CLICK on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.]

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Valerie <renoagent1@aol.com>
Date: March 1, 2022 at 9:35:18 AM PST

To: katenelsonpe@gmail.com, f.donshick@att.net, rmflick@washoecounty.us, chviliceks@unce.unr.edu, lchesney@washoecounty.us, pataphillips@yahoo.com,

ken@kraterconsultinggroup.com

Subject: Washoe Valley

Reply-To: Valerie <renoagent1@aol.com>

Dear Ms. Nelson,

As residents of Washoe Valley for nearly 30 years, we are against any increases in density in our valleys in south Washoe County. It is a pristine area for visitors as well as for the residents here.. Increasing density will only bring more light density but also traffic density as you well know. We want to keep Washoe Valley the way it is with a high quality of quietness and low light levels.

Thank you,

Gil and Valerie Grieve 155 Cottontail Lane Washoe Valley NV 89704

To: Lloyd, Trevor; Kerfoot, Lacey

Subject: Fwd: Opposition to Increasing Housing Density

Date: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 2:47:06 PM

[NOTICE: This message originated outside of Washoe County -- DO NOT CLICK on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.]

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Larry < lmoulton@earthlink.net> Date: March 1, 2022 at 1:37:31 PM PST

To: katenelsonpe@gmail.com

Subject: Opposition to Increasing Housing Density

Dear Kate S. Nelson,

I would like to express my strongly opposition any modifications to the plans that would increase the housing density in rural unincorporated Washoe County. The existing development plan that was agreed upon years ago should not be modified.

The people that live in the rural area of Washoe County do so for a reason. We purposefully chose to live in a rural area due to the low population density, the quiet serenity, and the close proximity to the local wildlife and flora. Increasing the housing density for any new development will destroy the environment that the current citizens enjoy and in many cases have worked and saved a life time to achieve. Making changes to the existing plans so that transient developers can generate more profit at the expense of the people currently residing in these areas is evil.

Please do not increase the development density in unincorporated Washoe County.

Thank you,

Larry Moulton

To: Lloyd, Trevor; Kerfoot, Lacey

Subject: Fwd: My opposition to Low Density Suburban-Two (LDS/2-Two units/acre) as a permissible regulatory zone

Date: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 2:45:59 PM

[NOTICE: This message originated outside of Washoe County -- DO NOT CLICK on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.]

I'll be sending a few more.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Laura M. Hill Temmerman" < laura.marie.hill@gmail.com>

Date: February 28, 2022 at 10:36:26 PM PST

To: katenelsonpe@gmail.com, larrypeyton@comcast.net, f.donshick@att.net,

rmflick@washoecounty.us, chviliceks@unce.unr.edu, lchesney@washoecounty.us, pataphillips@yahoo.com

Subject: My opposition to Low Density Suburban-Two (LDS/2-Two units/acre) as a permissible regulatory zone

Hello,

I am writing this email to express my opposition to Low Density Suburban-Two (LDS/2-Two units/acre) as a permissible regulatory zone within the four areas outlined on the March 1st agenda for the Suburban Character Management Areas (SCMA) of the Southwest Truckee Meadows Area Plan. This runs counter to the original plan for this area where those of us in this community have settled and call home. Additionally, I have grave concerns with the utilization of natural resources in this area if greater population density is allowed, for environmental concerns. As a concerned community member, I adamantly oppose this amendment.

Respectfully, Laura Hill Temmerman From:

To: Lucey, Robert (Bob) L; Herman, Jeanne; Olander, Julee; Jung, Kitty; Berkbigler, Marsha; Hartung, Vaughn

Subject: Agenda item 8b 3-1-22 meeting Date: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 3:28:53 PM

[NOTICE: This message originated outside of Washoe County -- DO NOT CLICK on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.]

Dear commissioners, I am writing to voice opposition to tonight's agenda item 8b, which would deviate from the master plan. Please vote no.

Thank you,

Melanie Peck 1070 Tapadero Trail Reno, NV 89521 775-393-9773 melaniepeck9@gmail.com

Melanie Peck melaniepeck9@gmail.com (775) 393-9773