

#### Current Housing Trends in Washoe County and Planning's Effect on Housing

Two Parts:

- Some basic housing and socio-demographic trends in Washoe County.
- Overview of the relationship between planning and housing development.

7/10/2017



		Total Population	1	
City	of Reno. City of S	2012 to 2015	county, State of Ne	wada
Year	City of Reno		Washoe County	
real	only of Reno	only of Sparks	washoe county	State of Nevaua
2012	226,305	90,099	422,010	2,704,204
2013	228,442	91,168	425,495	2,730,066
2014	231,103	92,236	429,985	2,761,584
2015	234,161	93,437	435,019	2,798,636
2012-2015 Actual Change	7,856	3,338	13,009	94,432
2012-2015 Percent Change	3.5%	3.7%	3.1%	3.5%
2012-2015 Annual Average	230,002	91,735	428,127	2,748,623

City		Number of Housin 2012 to 2015 Sparks, Washoe C	ng Units County, State of Ne	vada
Year	City of Reno	City of Sparks	Washoe County	State of Nevada
2012	101,279	36,990	184,432	1,171,300
2013	101,400	37,497	184,882	1,177,751
2014	102,408	37,744	185,685	1,185,232
2015	102,888	37,942	186,481	1,192,083
2012-2015 Actual Change	1,609	952	2,049	20,783
2012-2015 Percent Change	1.6%	2.6%	1.1%	1.8%
2012-2015 Annual Average	101,994	37,543	185,370	1,181,592

0:4-1		Household Size 2012 to 2015		
Year	City of Reno		ounty, State of Ne Washoe County	
	ony of Reno	ony of oparks	washee county	otate of Nevaua
2012	2.39	2.66	2.50	2.68
2013	2.40	2.64	2.51	2.69
2014	2.41	2.66	2.53	2.71
2015	2.40	2.69	2.53	2.72
2012-2015 Actual Change	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.04
2012-2015 Percent Change	0.4%	1.1%	1.2%	1.5%
2012-2015 Annual Average	2.40	2.66	2.52	2.70

Basic H	ousing an	d Socio-D	emograph	ic Trends
City		Household Size 2012 to 2015 Sparks, Washoe C	(Owners) county, State of Ne	vada
Year	City of Reno	City of Sparks	Washoe County	State of Nevada
2012	2.55	2.69	2.61	2.69
2013	2.54	2.66	2.61	2.70
2014	2.55	2.63	2.61	2.71
2015	2.56	2.64	2.61	2.71
2012-2015 Actual Change	0.01	-0.05	0.00	0.02
2012-2015 Percent Change	0.4%	-1.9%	0.0%	0.7%
2012-2015 Annual Average	2.55	2.66	2.61	2.71

Basic Housing	and Socio-Demographic	Trends	

Year	City of Reno	City of Sparks	Washoe County	State of Nevad
2012	11.78%	9.39%	12.22%	15.23%
2013	11.17%	8.66%	11.73%	15.78%
2014	11.01%	7.93%	11.43%	15.13%
2015	10.31%	7.79%	10.80%	14.41%
2012-2015 Percent Change	-1.47%	-1.60%	-1.42%	-0.82%
2012-2015 Annual Average	11.07%	8.44%	11.55%	15.14%

		Year Structure Was Built For 2015		
	City of Reno, City	of Sparks, Washoe Coun	ty. State of Nevada	
Age Range	City of Reno	City of Sparks	Washoe County	State of Nevada
Built 2014 or later	150	20	256	1,697
	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Built 2010 to 2013	1,522	658	2,418	20,529
	1.5%	1.7%	1.3%	1.7%
Built 2000 to 2009	22,768	10,763	43,539	365,079
	22.1%	28.4%	23.3%	30.6%
Built 1990 to 1999	18,359	6,630	37,492	317,813
	17.8%	17.5%	20.1%	26.7%
Built 1980 to 1989	14,818	5,836	28,923	186,150
	14.4%	15.4%	15.5%	15.6%
Built 1970 to 1979	19,919	7,145	36,647	163,756
	19.4%	18.8%	19.7%	13.7%
Built 1960 to 1969	10,281	3,268	16,974	72,368
	10.0%	8.6%	9.1%	6.1%
Built 1950 to 1959	7,444	2,317	10,567	36,759
	7.2%	6.1%	5.7%	3.1%
Built 1940 to 1949	3,413	693	4,375	13,961
	3.3%	1.8%	2.3%	1.2%
uilt 1939 or earlier	4,214	612	5,290	13,971
	4.1%	1.6%	2.8%	1.2%
tal Number of Units	102,888	37,942	186,481	1,192,083
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



# Planning affects housing supply and cost through:

- Policy: Master Plans, often called comprehensive plans, provide a long-range vision for the built environment of a community in order to protect the public health and safety and to promote the general welfare.
- Regulation: Implements land use policy through zoning, subdivision, and other regulations

### Master Plan Policies

- Master Plans typically include policies affecting housing.
- Master Plan policies affecting housing may include:
  - Requirements for a percentage of proposed housing to include affordable (below market rate) housing; typically referred to as "inclusionary housing".
  - Policies when rent control is imposed (typically when affordable housing stock as a percentage of all housing stock falls below a certain level).
  - Density ranges for certain locations within a jurisdiction (usually tied to a Master Plan map).
  - Promotion of live/work housing.
  - Included with, or tied to, the Master Plan may be the Capital Improvements Plan (CIP) which can guide the timing of services that will support the development of preferred housing types identified in the Master Plan.

#### **Master Plan Maps**

Master Plan maps can identify the preferred land use pattern over the period of the Master Plan:

- The Master Plan map may show the relationship and location between residential, commercial, industrial and public service uses.
- The Master Plan map will typically guide the location of specific zoning categories currently and in the future.

## Housing and Master Planning

Master Planning in Nevada: 4 distinctions:

- <u>15 Counties</u>: May adopt any element enumerated in NRS; many include a conservation & public lands element.
- <u>Lake Tahoe Basin</u>: TRPA's regional plan trumps, in most cases, the 3 Nevada counties with land in the basin.
- <u>Washoe County</u>: A Regional Planning Agency is required and all local governments must conform their Master Plans and Zoning Ordinances/Maps with the adopted Regional Master Plan.
- <u>Clark County</u>: All local jurisdictions must adopt all elements of a Master Plan as prescribed in NRS.
  - Recently completed Southern Nevada Strong Plan is being voluntarily followed by the local jurisdictions

#### Housing and Zoning

Zoning is the typical tool for implementing a Master Plan.

4 generalized types of zoning tools:

- <u>Euclidian</u>: most common; identification of use types e.g. residential, commercial, industrial, public service, recreation; distinct separation of uses; specific densities; defined lot setbacks and building heights; often results in a grid development pattern e.g. lot and block.
- Planned Unit Development: can be a zoning category, method of arranging uses within Euclidian zoning, or a subdivision process (NRS 278A); typically permits exceptions for lot size and design e.g. setbacks, height of structures, mixing of uses.
- <u>New Urbanism</u>: regulations focus on creating walkable communities; more akin to Planned Unit Development; often represented as a village concept e.g. commercial, workplace and recreation located near by residential uses.
- Form Based: probably least used in the U.S.; more focused on design; sufficient buffering allows seemingly incompatible uses; eschews rigid use categories and lot, height, etc. regulations.

#### Housing and Zoning

As a "rule of thumb", zoning accommodates about 5-10 years of growth:

- Result: not all property will be zoned to its highest and best use per the Master Plan each year.
- Will have an effect on how and where desired types of housing can be located.
- Will have a possible effect on the cost of housing should adopted zoning not address needed housing types.
- Zoning regulations e.g. density, lot size and setbacks, height restrictions, floor area ratios (FAR) for multi-family housing can affect the cost of housing depending on how rigid the regulations are.







Other regulations such as special (conditional) use permits can have an effect.

- Many jurisdictions require a special use permit for Planned Unit Development (PUD) projects
- Use permit requirements for PUDs can lead to a dilemma of balancing public input with best utilization of marginal land
  - Often neighboring property owners in more traditional lot & block subdivisions with uniform sized lots will object to what appears to be more dense development
  - Developers that wish to avoid citizen opposition may develop larger lots on marginal land which leads to increased development costs and resulting increased housing prices







Many different government organizations involved in planning, including:

- Local planning organizations and service providers.
- Regional planning organizations e.g. Metropolitan
  Planning Organization (MPO), and service providers.
- State planning organizations and service providers.
- Federal agencies e.g. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

#### Housing and Government Organizations

The provision and cost of housing affected by the level of coordination between the players in planning:

- <u>Example</u>: a local Master Plan that is not coordinated with a Transportation Plan prepared by another organization can result in housing be permitted at a density that is not supported by a transportation plan; result can be congestion, increased air pollution.
- <u>Example</u>: a local Master Plan that includes inclusionary housing policies, but which has not considered appropriate densities to encourage developers to provide such housing can result in the slowing of the provision of needed housing types.
- <u>Example</u>: local Master Plans by neighboring jurisdictions that are not coordinated can result in disparate service costs e.g. an inter-local fire response agreement whereby one jurisdiction's fire department is responding to another jurisdiction's incidences of fire because of different housing types and densities at the border of both jurisdictions.

#### Housing Costs and Affordability

Four Primary Considerations:

- Demographics
- Interest Rates
- Economic Conditions (Local, Regional, National)
- Government Policies (and Subsidies)



¢

## Thank You.

Frederick Steinmann Assistant Research Professor University Center for Economic Development University of Nevada, Reno

> Email: fred@unr.edu Phone: 775.784.1655