Special Use Permit for Grading 16265 North Timberline Dr.

For

The Barrett Residence Grading

Prepared for and by: Sean Barrett P.O. Box 2096, Bigfork, MT 59911

January 12, 2023

Table Of Contents

- Washoe County Application:
 - Special Use Permit Application
 - Property Owner Affidavit
 - Property Tax Information
- Proposed Development:
 - Project information
 - Site information
 - Proposed Development and Details
 - o Geological Report
- Plans:
 - **o** Vicinity and Project Notes
 - Existing Contours and Slopes
 - o Site Map
 - o Grading Plan

Washoe County Development Application

Your entire application is a public record. If you have a concern about releasing personal information, please contact Planning and Building staff at 775.328.6100.

Project Information	Staff	Assigned Case No.:	
Project Name: Barrett St	JP Grading		
Drain at		e and landscaping.	
Project Address: 16265 N Timberlin	ne Dr. Reno NV 89511		
Project Area (acres or square feet):	13675 sqf		
Project Location (with point of reference	ence to major cross stre	ets AND area locator):	
NW Corner of Mt. F	Rose Hwy 43	1 and North Tim	berline Dr.
Assessor's Parcel No.(s):	Parcel Acreage:	Assessor's Parcel No.(s):	Parcel Acreage:
049-222-06	2.71		
Indicate any previous Washoe			on:
Case No.(s). WBLD22-10328,			
Applicant Infor	mation (attach ad	ditional sheets if necess	ary)
Property Owner:		Professional Consultant:	
Name: Sean Barrett		Name: See Owner	
Address: 16265 N Timberline Dr. R	leno, NV	Address:	
	p: 89511		Zip:
Phone: 4062613203	Fax:	Phone:	Fax:
Email: sb9mail@gmail.com		Email:	
Cell: 4062613203	Other:	Cell:	Other:
Contact Person: ""		Contact Person:	
Applicant/Developer:		Other Persons to be Con	tacted:
Name: See Owner		Name:	
Address:		Address:	
Zij	o:		Zip:
Phone:	Fax:	Phone:	Fax:
Email:		Email:	
Cell:	Other:	Cell:	Other:
Contact Person:		Contact Person:	
	For Office Us	se Only	
Date Received:	Initial:	Planning Area:	
County Commission District:		Master Plan Designation(s)	:
CAB(s):		Regulatory Zoning(s):	

Special Use Permit Application Supplemental Information

(All required information may be separately attached)

1. What is the project being requested?

A special use permit for major grading resulting in the disturbance of 13675 sqf on slopes greater than 15% and a total cut of 741 cubic yards and 741 cubic yards of fill in anticipation of the construction of a single family home.

2. Provide a site plan with all existing and proposed structures (e.g. new structures, roadway improvements, utilities, sanitation, water supply, drainage, parking, signs, etc.)

A site plan has been provided

3. What is the intended phasing schedule for the construction and completion of the project?

Single phase. The project is expected to take no longer than 18 months.

4. What physical characteristics of your location and/or premises are especially suited to deal with the impacts and the intensity of your proposed use?

The parcel is part of a subdivision designed for rural single family home use. Adequate supporting utilities are provided at the northeast end of the lot.

5. What are the anticipated beneficial aspects or affects your project will have on adjacent properties and the community?

The proposal will provide a location for another beautiful home along North Timberline Dr., improving property values. It will also provide an increase in housing supply in the neighborhood.

6. What are the anticipated negative impacts or affect your project will have on adjacent properties? How will you mitigate these impacts?

The project is similar in intensity to other developments in the community and adjacent properties. Mitigation efforts are discussed on C2 of the grading plans.

7. Provide specific information on landscaping, parking, type of signs and lighting, and all other code requirements pertinent to the type of use being purposed. Show and indicate these requirements on submitted drawings with the application.

Specific information is detailed in the project description and technical plans included with this application.

8. Can the disturbed area be seen from off-site? If yes, from which directions and which properties or roadways?

Yes, the area can be seen from Mt. Rose Hwy. and North Timberline Dr. from the East and South as well as the adjacent properties.

9. Could neighboring properties also be served by the proposed access/grading requested (i.e. if you are creating a driveway, would it be used for access to additional neighboring properties)?

No.

10. What is the slope (horizontal/vertical) of the cut and fill areas proposed to be? What methods will be used to prevent erosion until the revegetation is established?

3:1 slope. Fiber rolls for slope stabilization will be used for erosion control.

11. Are you planning any berms?

	No	If yes, how tall is the berm at its highest?6 ft
--	----	--

12. If your property slopes and you are leveling a pad for a building, are retaining walls going to be required? If so, how high will the walls be and what is their construction (i.e. rockery, concrete, timber, manufactured block)?

Yes. The retaining walls will be rockery and be less than 10 ft.

13. What are you proposing for visual mitigation of the work?

Visual mitigation will consist of landscaping with drought resistant and natural foliage. All retaining walls will be constructed with onsite rocks and the slopes will match natural contours.

14. Will the grading proposed require removal of any trees? If so, what species, how many and of what size?

No

15. What type of revegetation seed mix are you planning to use and how many pounds per acre do you intend to broadcast? Will you use mulch and, if so, what type?

Native grasses and shrubs such as sagebrush

16. How are you providing temporary irrigation to the disturbed area?

Onsite water from Truckee meadow water authority will be used when applicable

17. Have you reviewed the revegetation plan with the Washoe Storey Conservation District? If yes, have you incorporated their suggestions?

Yes. The applicant has reviewed the re-vegetation plan and has incorporated their suggestions

18. Are there any restrictive covenants, recorded conditions, or deed restrictions (CC&Rs) that may prohibit the requested grading?

Yes No ×	If yes, please attach a copy.
----------	-------------------------------

Project Information:

Location: 16265 N. Timberline Dr.APN: 049-222-06Acreage: 2.71 AcresZoning: 58% LDS/ 42% GRMaster Plan Designation: 42% Rural / 58% Suburban ResidentialProposed use: Single Family ResidentialSpecial Use Information: Per Washoe County Code 110.438.35

Parcel Information:

This site is currently undeveloped consisting of native grasses and brush. The site is on the northeast corner of North Timberline Dr. and Mt Rose Hwy 431. Furthermore, the site is located in the Forrest development area of the Washoe Master Plan. The site is vacant with southeast facing steep slopes and located in an area of similar single-family homes. A drainage ditch exists along the east side of the lot running along North Timberline Dr. as well as a second drainage ditch running alongside Mt. Rose Hwy. Water and Electric Utilities are supplied at the northeast corner of the site. Prior to minor grading permit WBLD22-101354, there appeared to have been some development creating a 16' wide road at 1.8% grade with an eroded drainage ditch running parallel to North Timberline Dr.

Land Use Compatibility:

The site is surrounded by developed lots all along the western hillside of North Timberline drive with similar geology and slopes. The current and proposed plans conform to the land use and designation of the County Master Plan and the SUP.

A fault line was predicted to be running through the property at the toe of the hill. This was verified by a geological survey via two exploratory trenches that found a Quaternary fault exists. However, the report concludes that the fault is dormant and will not pose any significant threat to structures. The report goes on to recommend no setbacks or increased seismic mitigation actions.

Proposed Development:

The applicant is requesting a Special Use Permit (SUP) to enable grading of a private driveway on slopes greater than 30%, a building pad (in anticipation of a future single-family residence and a detached garage) and a storm drainage / fire protection pond. This grading will require a total cut of 741 cubic yards of material and fill of 741 cubic yards. This amount of material and the slope of the site is below the thresholds set by Washoe County Code 110.438.35 for major grading.

The plans are made with the goals of the Forest Master Plan in mind to keep the natural beauty of the area intact. The grading plans follow the natural contours of the hill and utilize stepped pad designs to minimize grading volumes. All retaining walls will only be used where necessary to keep grading volumes down and be kept as small as practicable and constructed out of native boulders and stones. Landscaping will be added to reduce manmade feature visibility.

Graded slopes will not exceed 3:1 and will be stabilized with native seed mix and formal landscaping. The future home will match the character of the surrounding area.

Pond: The proposed pond will be stocked with native critters and plants such as found in Tamarack Lake, Dry Pond, and other local bodies of water. The aquatic plants will keep nitrates down to prevent algae blooms and the predatory insects such as dragonfly larvae and trout minnows will minimize mosquito populations. Bat boxes will also be installed to further reduce mosquito populations. The pond will be aerated with a small waterfall. The pond will be filled by the seasonal streams that run down the hill that will be captured by the drainage system.

Drainage: During rain, snow and spring melting events, the water flows will be directed via drainage swales and ditches alongside the driveway to the proposed pond. The pond could also provide water for firefighting activities, the prevention of silt in public drainage ways and wildlife. The pond will discharge excess flow to the drainage ditch along Mt Rose Hwy.

Public Service:

Fire Service is currently provided by Truckee Meadows Fire District. The closest station is Truckee Meadows Fire Station 39 at 3.4 miles and Galena Volunteer Fire Department at 0.4 miles away. An alternate method of IF 1 building standards and maintained defensible space will be used in future structures.

Utilities:

Utilities are not a part of this permit, but water and electricity are already onsite, and sewer will be installed in coordination with Washoe County and Truckee Meadows Water Authority to the manhole location approx. 200 ft north of the northeast corner of the site working in the right of way.



August 2, 2021

Earth Tech, LLC 681 Edison Way Reno, NV 89502 ATTN: Chris Betts, P.E.

Thomas L. Sawyer Piedmont Geoseismic Services HC72 Box 20233 Dyer, Nevada 89010

SUBJECT: QUATERNARY FAULT INVESTIGATION, PHASE II 16265 N. Timberline Drive Reno, Washoe County, Nevada APN 049-222-06

Mr. Betts,

Piedmont Geoseismic Services is pleased to submit the attached technical report that summarizes our Phase II Quaternary fault investigation of a proposed development site at 16265 N. Timberline Drive in Reno, Washoe County, Nevada (APN 049-222-06). The purpose of the investigation was to determine the location and recency of activity of a late Quaternary fault trace previously mapped traversing the central portion of the project site. This investigation follows on our Phase I Quaternary fault investigation (PGS, June 15, 2021), which was limited to a surficial geologic studies. The scope of the present investigation consisted of documenting subsurface geologic conditions exposed in two exploratory trenches excavated across the fault trace at the project site. The investigation was conducted by Mr. Thomas L. Sawyer, Seismic Hazards Specialist with Piedmont Geoseismic Services (PGS), Dyer, Nevada. The approach used in present Quaternary fault investigation conforms with the "Guidelines for Evaluation of Potential Surface Fault Rupture/Land Subsidence Hazards in Nevada" (NESC, 1998).

Sincerely, PIEDMONT GEOSEISMIC SERVICES , INC.

Thoma J. Sunger

Thomas L. Sawyer Seismic Hazard Specialist



QUATERNARY FAULT INVESTIGATION—PHASE II 16265 N. TIMBERLINE DRIVE RENO, WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA APN 049-222-06

Prepared for:

Chris Betts, P.E. Earth Tech, LLC 681 Edison Way Reno, NV 89502

Prepared by:

Thomas L. Sawyer Piedmont Geoseismic Services HC72 Box 20233 Dyer, NV 89010

August 2, 2021



TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	EXCUTIVE SUMMARY	i
SUBSURFACE GEOLOGIC INVESTIGATION1Trench 12Trench 22DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS3RECOMMENDATIONS4LIMITATIONS4CLOSURES5		
Trench 1	INTRODUCTION	1
Trench 2. 2 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS. 3 RECOMMENDATIONS 4 LIMITATIONS 4 CLOSURES. 5	SUBSURFACE GEOLOGIC INVESTIGATION	1
DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS		
RECOMMENDATIONS	Trench 2	2
LIMITATIONS		
CLOSURES		
	LIMITATIONS	4
REFERENCES CITED	CLOSURES	5
	REFERENCES CITED	6

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 -Location map Figure 2 -Quaternary surficial geologic map

LIST OF PLATES

Plate 1 -Graphical log of Trench 1 Plate 2 -Graphical log of Trench 2



QUATERNARY FAULT INVESTIGATION—PHASE II 16265 N. Timberline Drive Reno, Washoe County, Nevada APN 049-222-06

EXCUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of the present investigation was to determine the location and recency of activity of a late Quaternary fault trace previously mapped traversing north-northwestward across the central part of the 16265 N. Timberline Drive project site. The scope of the investigation was to document subsurface geologic conditions exposed in two exploratory trenches excavated across the fault trace.

The relevant project findings, conclusions and recommendations are summarized as follows:

- 1. The location of the fault trace traversing the project site was generally verified based on the location of faults exposed in the exploratory trenches.
- 2. The general late Quaternary activity designation previously assigned the fault trace also was verified.
- 3. However, trench exposures show that the fault is concealed by an unbroken sequence of colluvial (slope) deposits and a continuous, also unbroken, well-developed surficial soil.
- 4. The findings of the present investigation document an absence of Holocene surface rupture, indicating that the subject fault trace is not 'active'. The fault, however, was found to be 'potentially active'.
- 5. Thus, no building set-backs are recommended following the Nevada Earthquake Safety Council's "Guidelines for Evaluating Potential Surface Fault Rupture/Land Subsidence Hazards in Nevada". Although engineering mitigation measures might be considered if future developments were planned directly over the potentially active fault trace.
- 6. From a surface-rupture hazard standpoint the 16265 N. Timberline Drive project site is cleared for development.



HC72 Box 20233 • Dyer, Nevada 89010 sawyer.pgs@gmail.com • (775) 772-5902

QUATERNARY FAULT INVESTIGATION—PHASE II 16265 N. TIMBERLINE DRIVE RENO, WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA APN 049-222-06

INTRODUCTION

This technical report summarizes the results of a Phase II Quaternary fault investigation of the proposed 16265 N. Timberline Drive project site, located at 16265 N. Timberline Drive in Reno, Washoe County, Nevada (APN 049-222-06) (Figure 1). This study follows on the Phase I Quaternary fault investigation conducted by Piedmont Geoseismic Services (June, 15, 2021). That surficial geologic investigation found geomorphic evidence consistent with a late Quaternary fault activity, as previously mapped, but fault activity during the Holocene (last 10,000 years) was indeterminant.

The purpose of the present Phase II investigation was to determine the location and recency of activity of the subject fault trace (Figure 2). The scope of the investigation consisted of excavating two exploratory trenches across the mapped trace of the fault at the project site. The investigation was conducted by Mr. Thomas L. Sawyer, Seismic Hazards Specialist with Piedmont Geoseismic Services (PGS), Dyer, Nevada. The approach used in present investigation conforms with the "Guidelines for Evaluation of Potential Surface Fault Rupture/Land Subsidence Hazards in Nevada" (NESC, 1998).

SUBSURFACE GEOLOGIC INVESTIGATION

Two exploratory trenches were excavated across the mapped fault trace at the project site, which generally coincides with the base of the relatively steep Carson Range front (Figure 3). To more precisely locate the fault specifically at the proposed development site, one trench was excavated south of the site and the other directly to the north. The south wall of each trench was cleaned with hand tools and a leaf blower, and distinct stratigraphic contacts and soil-stratigraphic boundaries were flagged. A highly redundant (~75% overlapping) set of high-resolution digital images were acquired of the trench walls. Detailed 3-D models then were constructed from the images and used to produce orothorectified photomosaics of the trench walls, corrected for optical and focal-length distortion. The ortho-photomosaics then were used to graphically log subsurface geologic conditions exposed in the trench walls in considerable detail.



Trench 1

Trench 1 was excavated across the mapped fault trace near the southern boundary of the proposed development site. The trench was about 95 feet long and up to 8 feet deep. The trench exposed coarse colluvial deposits, a moderately well-developed surficial soil and a concealed fault. The fairly steep ground surface had to be scraped to remove numerous boulder-sized blocks of rock, which locally removed parts of the surficial soil (as noted in Plate 1).

A sequence of 7 trench units were identified and flagged in the south wall of trench 1, from youngest to oldest designated units A thru G (Plate 1). In general these are coarse to very coarse, clayey colluvial deposits. A moderately well-developed surficial soil has formed throughout the trench in upper units A, B and C, and in upper part of unit D in the eastern part of the trench. A Bt, probably argillic, horizon exhibiting distinct prismatic structure has formed in unit B. The matrix of units C and locally of unit D have been whitened, as well as, thin powdery coats of on the undersides of clasts exemplify the nature of calcic (Bk) horizon (Plate 1).

In the central part of Trench 1 a distinct fault plane was exposed approximately parallel to and generally coincident with the mapped late Quaternary, subject fault trace. The high-angle fault juxtaposes unit E on the west against unit F on the east. The total down-east offset of unit E could not be determined as this unit was not exposed on the relatively downthrown (east) side of the fault. The fault plane clearly terminates up-dip at the lower contact of unit D. Any discrete surface offset associated with the fault was removed by erosion prior to deposition of unit D, which has a smooth, clear basal contact that is unbroken over the fault plane (Plate 1).

Thus the most-recent surface faulting event exposed in Trench 1 pre-dates unit D and the moderately well-developed argillic soil.

Trench 2

Trench 2 was excavated across the mapped fault trace about 25 feet north of the proposed development site. The trench was about 65 feet long and up to 8 feet deep. Similarly, the trench exposed coarse colluvial deposits, a well-developed surficial soil and concealed faults.

Six units were identified and flagged in the south wall of trench 2 (Plate 2), from youngest to oldest designated units A thru F. Again these are coarse to very coarse, clayey colluvial deposits. A thicker, somewhat better developed surficial soil was exposed throughout the trench in upper units A, B and C. Unit B exhibits an argillic horizon with distinct prismatic structure. The calcic Bk horizon is expressed as thin powdery coats of secondary carbonate on the undersides of clasts in unit C.



Trench 1 exposed a single concealed fault plane, whereas Trench 2 exposed three concealed faults or narrow zones of faulting that are generally coincident with the mapped late Quaternary fault trace. The westernmost fault forms a narrow (1 foot wide, or less) zone consisting of several closely spaced, high-angle faults. This fault juxtaposes unit F on the west against units D and E on the east. The fault planes terminate abruptly up-dip at the lower contact of unit C. This contact is smooth and clearly defined indicating any surficial relief associated with the fault was removed by erosion prior to deposition of unit C.

Near the middle of Trench 2 a high-angle fault was exposed juxtaposing units D and E on the west against unit F on the east. The fault splays upwards, forming two fault planes, which both terminate at the lower contact of unit C. Hence, like the western fault, any surficial relief across the middle fault was removed by erosion prior to deposition of unit C. Further the trench relationships suggest that these two faults bound a 25 to 30 foot-wide, down-dropped fault block or graben.

The third narrow zone of faulting was exposed in the eastern section of Trench 2, approximately coincident with the toe of the range front. The zone consists of a pair of bounding faults and an intervening zone of pervasive shears. The bounding faults and the shear fabric are noticeably arcuate, that is their dips decreases upwards in a manner consistent with shallow soil creep. As with the other two faults, the third zone of faulting abruptly terminates up-dip at the lower contact of unit C. Here there is minor relief on the contact possibly related to surface faulting. In which case, unit C buried a fault scarp formed during the most-recent event (MRE). Rather trench relationships suggest that it is more likely the relief on the lower contact is related to slope-wash erosion. In any case, the MRE pre-dates deposition of unit C.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The previously mapped late Quaternary fault trace was verified in this Phase II Quaternary fault investigation of the proposed project site. However, the fault was found to be concealed by a sequence of colluvial deposits that contain a well-developed argillic soil. The fault was exposed near the toe of the range front generally coincident with the location mapped by the Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology (i.e., Bonham and Rogers, 1983). Consistent with their late Quaternary fault-activity designation, the fault was found to clearly predate a well-developed late Pleistocene soil in both trenches.

Based on the findings of this Quaternary fault investigation the previously identified late Quaternary fault trace at the 16265 N. Timberline project site (APN 049-222-06) is not Holocene active and, thus, is not considered to be an 'active' fault as defined by NESC (1998).



RECOMMENDATIONS

Following the NESC (1998) guidelines no building set-backs are recommend.

Although no building set-backs are recommended, a late Quaternary fault trace was verified traversing the project site. Holocene faults are considered active because they pose a greater risk of surface rupture as compared to late Quaternary faults. Hence, although considered to be very low, there still is an increased risk of surface rupture at the project site as compared to another site without a late Quaternary fault.

However, differential movement along the fault of a few inches, accompanied by ground cracking, is considered to be a more likely hazard than is surface rupture along the subject fault. This contention is based on two observations. First that while the more-active, nearby faults of the Carson Range fault zone have ruptured repeatedly, the subject fault has remained quiescent. Additionally faults are fractures, that is to say planes of weakness. Consequently, as observed during historical earthquakes, minor differential movement and ground cracking may occur along the fault trace as a consequence of strong to severe ground shaking.

Hence, if possible, avoid building directly over the concealed fault trace. Otherwise consider engineering measures along the fault trace to a mitigate surface offsets of a few inches and associated ground cracking.

LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared by Piedmont Geoseismic Services for Earth Tech, LLC and documents the findings of a Quaternary fault investigation of the 16265 N. Timberline Drive project site in Reno, Washoe County, Nevada. The opinions, conclusions, and recommendations presented in this report have been formulated in accordance with accepted engineering geologic practices that exist in the project area, and elsewhere, at the time the report was prepared. No other warranty is made or should be inferred.





CLOSURE

It has been a pleasure conducting this Quaternary fault investigation for Earth Tech, LLC in support of the proposed 16265 N. Timberline Drive project, Reno, Washoe County, Nevada. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please contact us at your convenience.

Sincerely, PIEDMONT GEOSEISMIC SERVICES, INC.

homa J. Samper

Thomas L. Sawyer Seismic Hazard Specialist



Piedmont Geoseismic Services

HC72 Box 20233 • Dyer, Nevada 89010 sawyer.pgs@gmail.com • (775) 772-5902

REFERENCES CITED

- Bonham H.F. Jr., and Rogers, D.K., 1983, Mt. Rose NE Quadrangle Geologic Map: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology Map 4Bg, 1:24,000 scale.
- Nevada Earthquake Safety Council, 1998, Guidelines for Evaluating Potential Surface Fault Rupture/ Land Subsidence Hazards in Nevada (Revision 1): Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology, Revision 1, dated 20 November 1998, 7 p., http://www.nbmg.unr.edu/nesc/guidelines.html.

FIGURES





Qdm	Qdm	0	200 400	600 800 ft
STP-1	-	PGS	Piedmont Geose Dyer, Nevada • 89010	
	F	16	ACIAL GEOLOG 265 North Timberline no, Washoe County, N	Drive
In a th	10	GEO. MODIFIED BY TLS	SCALE As reported	PROJECT NO. 2021-1600
TI PAR	Bon	SOURCE ham and Rogers, 1983 Carlson et al., 2019	DATE 7/28/2021	FIGURE NO. 2

PLATES









LEGEN	
-------	--

	SUBJECT PL	O		(E) FENCE	_
	ADJACENT PL	O	-00	(P) FENCE	
	SETBACK	SS		(E) SANITARY SEWER	\longrightarrow
	EASEMENT	SS		(P) SANITARY SEWER	\longrightarrow
	(E) ROAD CL	SD	SD	(E) STORM ØRAIN	
	(P) ROAD CL	SD		(P) STORM DRAIN	· EE—
4540	(E) MAJOR CONTOUR	— W —	— w —	(E) WATER LINE	
4539	(E) MINOR CONTOUR	— W —	— W —	(P) WATER LINE	
4540	(P) MAJOR CONTOUR	G	C	(E) GAS LINE	
4539	(P) MINOR CONTOUR	G	G	(P) GAS LINE	

GRADING IMPROVEMENT PLANS 16265 N TIMBERLINE DRIVE FOR

ID

_	_		_
		,	<u>`</u>
	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow
		\rightarrow	
	— FF —	EE	
		LL	

(E) RETAINING WALL 💼 (P) RETAINING WALL (E) FLOW LINE - (₱) FLOW LINE — FAULT LINE

(P) GRAVEL (P) AC (E) PCC (P) PCC (E) STRUCTURE (P) STRUCTURE



SEAN BARRETT

TRUCKEE MEADOWS REGIONAL STOR MANAGEMENT NOTES

- 1. THE OWNER, SITE DEVELOPER, CONTRACTOR AND/O REMOVE ALL SEDIMENT, MUD, CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS MAY HAVE BEEN DISCHARGED TO, OR ACCUMULATED NDOT AS A RESULT OF CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES ASS CONSTRUCTION PROJECT. SUCH MATERIALS SHALL BE
- 2. ADDITIONAL CONSTRUCTION SITE DISCHARGE BEST N OF THE OWNER AND HIS OR HER AGENTS DUE TO UNF SUBMITTED PLAN DOES NOT MEET THE PERFORMANCE TRUCKEE MEADOWS CONSTRUCTION SITE BEST MANA 3. TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT STABILIZATION PRACTIC
- SOON AS PRACTICABLE AND NO LATER THAN 14 DAY PORTION OF THE SITE HAS TEMPORARILY OR PERMAN APPLY; REFER TO STORMWATER GENERAL PERMIT NVR
- 4. AT A MINIMUM, THE CONTRACTOR OR HIS AGENT SHA USED FOR STORAGE OF MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT VEHICLE ENTRANCE AND EXIT LOCATIONS AND ALL B EVENT AND WITHIN 24 HOURS AFTER ANY ACTUAL RA SHALL UPDATE OR MODIFY THE STORMWATER POLLUT EXCEPTIONS TO WEEKLY INSPECTIONS MAY APPLY, SU SUSPENSION OF LAND DISTURBANCE ACTIVITIES. REFE
- 5. ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT IN BMPS SHALL BE REMOVI RUNOFF EVENT OR PRIOR TO THE NEXT ANTICIPATED S SEDIMENT MUST BE REMOVED WHEN BMP DESIGN CA

ABBREVIATIONS

- MDD MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY NDOTNV DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION
- OHE OVERHEAD ELECTRICAL P PROPOSED
- PCC PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE PIV PRESSURE INDICATOR VALVE
- POC POINT OF CONNECTION PUE PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENT
- PVC POLYVINYL CHLORIDE
- ROW RIGHT-OF-WAY
- SD STORM DRAIN SDR STD. DIMENSION RATIO
- SF SQUARE FEET
- SS SANITARY SEWER TC TOP OF CURB
- TW TOP OF WALL
- TYP TYPICAL UNO UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE YH YARD HYDRANT

COTG CLEAN OUT TO GRADE CL CENTER LINE CY CUBIC YARDS EG EXISTING GRADE FDC FIRE DEPT. CONNECTION FFC FRONT FACE OF CURB FFE FINISH FLOOR ELEVATION FG FINISH GRADE FH FIRE HYDRANT FL FLOW LINE HDPE HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE IE INVERT ELEVATION

	Ω			
	CHK'D	SB		
	BΥ	SB		
	DATE	9/9/22		
OWNER / BUILDER THESE PLANS ARE PREPARED AND SUBMITTED BY THE OWNER AS AN EXEMPTION TO NRS 623.330 FOR BUILDING OR IMPROVING A SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING STRUCTURE ON THE PROPERTY FOR MY OWN OCCUPANCY. SEAN BARRETT DWINER'S NAME (PLEASE PRINT) SCOND OWNER'S SIGNATURE SEAN BARRETT PLANS PREPARED BY: APPROVALS	N	1 FOR GRADING SUP		
		REVISIO	NS	
OWNER SEAN BARRETT, OWNER / BUILDER				
PO BOX 2096 BIGFORK, MT 59911			966 59911 203	
(406) 261-3203 sb9mail@gmail.com	FOR:	RETT	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	PREPARED FOR:	sean barreti	PO BOX 2096 BIGFORK MT 599 406-261-3203	
		S		
RMWATER QUALITY				
OR THEIR AUTHORIZED AGENTS SHALL EACH DAY RIS, OR OTHER POTENTIAL POLLUTANTS THAT TED IN, THE PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAYS OF THE SSOCIATED WITH THIS SITE DEVELOPMENT OR BE PREVENTED FROM ENTERING THE STORM				
MANAGEMENT PRACTICES MAY BE REQUIRED IFORESEEN EROSION PROBLEMS OR IF THE CE STANDARDS SPECIFIED IN THE NDOT AND THE AGEMENT PRACTICES HANDBOOK. CES WILL BE INSTALLED ON DISTURBED AREAS AS AYS AFTER THE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY IN THAT NENTLY CEASED. SOME EXCEPTIONS MAY (R100000.				MT 59911
HALL INSPECT ALL DISTURBED AREAS, AREAS I THAT ARE EXPOSED TO PRECIPITATION, BMPS WEEKLY, PRIOR TO A FORECASTED RAIN AIN EVENT. THE CONTRACTOR OR HIS AGENT JTION PREVENTION PLAN AS NECESSARY. SOME UCH AS FROZEN GROUND CONDITIONS OR ER TO STORMWATER GENERAL PERMIT				BIGFORK, MT 59911
VED WITHIN SEVEN DAYS AFTER A STORMWATER STORM EVENT WHICHEVER IS EARLIER. APACITY HAS BEEN REDUCED BY 50 PERCENT				2096 E
				P.O. BOX
				P.O
				NEVADA
		DR.		NE
		5 N TIMBERLINE DR. ING IMPROVEMENTS		
		MPI		54
		L N N		/ LD22-101354
		55 I 0 N		ץ 3LD22
		1626 GRADI		:OUNTY
		- D		WASHOE COUNTY PROJECT NO: WB
				WAS PRO-
		APPR	OVALS	
	[T	1	
		SHEET	1 OF 5	



	EX		PREPARED FOR:	ON	DATE BY CHK'D
		16265 N TIMBERLINE DR.	SEAN BARRETT	1 FOR GRADING SUP	1/4/23 SB SB
	RE PREPAI NRS 622.3 STRUCTUR L/ (VWNER'S M OWNER'S M OWN L/			EVIS	
EET	RED AND S 30 FOR BU E ON THE P 34TC R. U NAME (1 Barn NER'S SH BAR R NS PREP			5101	
0 2 0	ROPERTY F		PO BOX 2096	NS	
0F 5	BY THE OW IMPROVING OR MY OWN PRINT) E		BIGFORK MT 59911		
	A SINGLE		070-107-004		
	E				
		PROJECT NO:			







	Slopes	Table
Number	Minimum Slope	Maximum SI
1	0.00%	15.00%
2	15.00%	20.00%
3	20.00%	28.00%
4	28.00%	37.00%
5	30.00%	100.00%

	E			PREPARED FOR:	ON		DATE BY CHK'D
		16265 N TIMBERLINE DR.		SEAN BARRETT	-	FOR GRADING SUP	1/4/23 SB SB
SHE	OWNER'S N OWNE AN BANS	GRADING IMPROVEMENTS			EVISI		
	D AND S FOR BU IN THE P HCRE AME (F R'S SIG ARR PREP				0		
0 3 0	UBMITTED ILDING OR ROPERTY FIELT PLEASE F			PO BOX 2096	NS		
1 F 5	BY THE OW IMPROVING OR MY OWN PRINT)			BIGFORK MT 59911			
	ASINGLE	WASHOF COUNTY		CU2C-10Z-004			
	x.						





	-			PREPARED FOR:	ON		DATE BY	CHK'D
	EXEMPTIO FAMILY DIVIEL	16265 N TIMBERLINE DR.		SEAN BARRETT	R	FOR GRADING SUP	1/4/23 SB	
	NB ARE PR N TO NRS 6 LING STRUC E AN OWNE SCAN				EVIS			
C	EPARED AM 23.330 FOF TURE ON TI BAR R'S NAM BR BR DWNER'S BAR LANS PF		SIIE FLAN		SIO			
1	BUILDING			PO BOX 2096	NS			
	ED BY THE OR IMPROV Y FOR MY OI E PRINT) IRE BY:			BIGFORK MT 59911				
	ING A SING	WASHOF COUNTY		0070-107-004				
	LE	NO:						



EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES:

- 1. ALL PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAYS LOCATED ADJACENT TO THE SITE (E.G. STREETS AND SIDEWALKS) MUST BE CLEANED DAILY OF ALL SEDIMENT OR WASTES THAT ORIGINATE FROM THE SITE.
- 2.BMP'S IN ADDITION TO THOSE INDICATED IN THE SWPPP MAY BE REQUIRED IF THEY DON'T MEET LOCAL PERFORMANCE STANDARDS.
- 3. TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT STABILIZATION MUST BE APPLIED NO LATER THAN 14 DAYS TO ALL DISTURBED SOILS, INCLUDING STOCKPILES, WHERE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY IS CEASED.
- 4.ALL BMP'S MUST INSPECTED WEEKLY, PRIOR TO FORECASTED RAIN EVENTS, AND WITHIN 24 HOURS AFTER ANY EVENT THAT CREATES RUNOFF AT THE SITE.
- 5. ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT MUST BE REMOVED FROM THE BMP'S WHEN THE DESIGN CAPACITY HAS BEEN REDUCED BY 30 PERCENT OR MORE. SEDIMENT MUST ALSO BE REMOVED WITHIN SEVEN DAYS AFTER A RUNOFF EVENT OR PRIOR TO THE NEXT FORECASTED RAIN EVENT, WHICHEVER IS EARLIER.
- 6.SLOPES WILL BE STABILIZED WITH NATURAL LANDSCAPING AND RIPRAP WHERE NEEDED TO MATCH NATURAL HILLSIDE WHICH HAS A FORM A NATURAL RIPRAP. PROFILE A TO A'





NOTES:

- 1. GRADING PERMIT AND/OR A REVOCABLE OCCUPANCY PERMIT SHALL BE OBTAINED FROM THE WASHOE COUNTY COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPARTMENT PRIOR TO ANY WORK.
- 2. THE MAXIMUM SLOPE ON DRIVEWAYS SHALL NOT EXCEED 14%
- 3. ALL WORK SHALL MEET THE LATEST EDITION OF THE STAND SPECIFICATIONS FOR PUBLIC WORKS CONSTRUCTION.
- 4. SUBGRADE SHALL BE OVER-EXCAVATED IN AREAS DETERMINED UNSTABLE, UNSUITABLE OR TO HAVE EXPANSIVE SOILS TO CONFORM WITH THE SOILS REPORT OR WASHOE COUNTY REQUIREMENTS IF NEEDED.
- 5. NO PORTION OF THE DRIVEWAY SHALL BE PERMITTED WITHIN 5 FEET OF A PROPERTY LINE.
- 6. A MINIMUM OF 50 FEET MUST SEPARATE DRIVEWAY APPROACHES, CENTERLINE TO CENTERLINE, FOR CIRCULAR DRIVEWAYS ON ONE PROPERTY AS APPROVED BY THE COUNTY ENGINEER.
- 7. DRIVEWAY GEOMETRY SHALL REFER TO THE WASHOE COUNTY STANDARD DETAIL W-16.4. CONCRETE DRIVEWAY APRONS SHALL REFER TO THE WASHOE COUNTY STANDARD DETAIL W-5.11.
- 8. MATCH WITH A NEAT LINE ALONG THE EXISTING EDGE OF THE PAVEMENT SAWCUT A MINIMAL DISTANCE FORM THE EDGE AS NEEDED TO OBTAIN A SMOOTH MATCH LINE WITH A FULL DEPTH VERTICAL EDGE.
- 9. NO CONCRETE OR PAVER DRIVEWAYS ARE ALLOWED WITHIN 4 FEET OF THE EDGE OF PAVEMENT.
- 10. HYDRONIC OR HEATED DRIVEWAYS LOCATED WITHIN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY SHALL BE ON A SEPARATE STATION.
- 11. WASHOE COUNTY SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTENANCE OF DRIVEWAY APRONS.
- 12. CURRENT AASHTO REQUIREMENTS FOR CLEAR ZONES SHALL BE MET.

CULVERT NOTES:

- 1. MINIMUM CULVERT SIZE WILL BE 12"
- 2. DESIGN CULVERT SIZE WILL BE 15" FOR UPSTREAM AND DOWNSTREAM CULVERTS.
- 3. CULVERT PIPE SHALL BE SLOPED TO MATCH EXISTING DITCH / ROAD GRADE OR 1% MINIMUM.
- 4. CULVERT PIPE SHALL EXTEND A MINIMUM OF 2 FEET BEYOND THE TOE OF FILL WITH A MINIMUM OF 2 FEET OF RIPRAP HORIZONTALLY PAST THE EDGE OF THE PIPE.
- 5. CULVERT PIPE INSTALLATION AND SOIL COVER DEPTH SHALL BE PER THE PIPE MANUFACTURER'S CULVERT PIPE INSTALLATION AND SOIL COVER DEPTH SHALL BE PER THE PIPE MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS. SOIL COVER SHALL BE TYPE 2 CLASS B AGGREGATE BASE OR TYPE 1 RECYCLED AGGREGATE BASE.



53 **GRADING NOTES:** 1. ALL EXCAVATION AND EMBANKMENT SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF RENO AND WASHOE COUNTY STANDARDS. 2. CONTRACTOR SHALL CAREFULLY INSPECT DETAILS, THE CONTEXT OF PLANS, AND MAKE APPROPRIATE CONFIRMING MEASUREMENTS AGAINST EXISTING CONDITIONS PRIOR TO SETTING SUBGRADE, AND SHALL COORDINATE WITH SURVEYOR TO SET ELEVATION AND LOCATION CONTROL PROPERLY TO ESTABLISH SUBGRADE PRIOR TO FINAL SURFACE TREATMENTS. 3. ALL NATURAL VEGETATION OUTSIDE OF DISTURBANCE LIMITS TO BE PRESERVED; ADDITIONAL DISTURBANCE NOT PART OF REVISIONS PLANNED LANDSCAPING SHALL BE STABILIZED, BROADCAST AND RAKED DURING OCTOBER-NOVEMBER, OR HYDROSEEDED IN COORDINATION WITH, AND SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY PROPERTY OWNER. 4. USE EXTREME CARE WHEN WORKING AROUND EXISTING UTILITIES AND EXISTING ROADS. 5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY OWNER AT LEAST 48 HOURS PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK AND ONLY 096 599 203 AFTER A PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING BETWEEN OWNER, ENGINEER OF RECORD, MATERIALS TESTING LABORATORY, N 20 1-33 AND GENERAL CONTRACTOR HAS OCCURRED. -26 -26 6. THE INSPECTION AND TESTING OF SOILS AND ALL OTHER MATERIALS SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH WASHOE 5FO 406-COUNTY ORANGE BOOK STANDARDS AND SITE SPECIFIC SOILS REPORT AS APPLICABLE. THIRD PARTY TESTING AGENCY SHALL BE NOTIFIED 24 HOURS PRIOR TO REQUIRED TESTING. ALSO, ON-LINE INSPECTION REQUEST TO SPWD TO BE SUBMITTED 24 HOURS PRIOR TO ALL INSPECTIONS. 7. SHOULD ANY PREHISTORIC OR HISTORIC REMAINS/ ARTIFACTS BE DISCOVERED DURING SITE DEVELOPMENT WORK SHALL TEMPORARILY BE HALTED AT THE SPECIFIC SITE AND THE STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION DEPARTMENT SHALL BE NOTIFIED TO RECORD AND PHOTOGRAPH THE SITE THE PERIOD OF TEMPORARY DELAY SHALL BE DETERMINED BY CONSULTATION WITH THE APPROPRIATE JURISDICTION. EARTHWORK SUMMARY: TOTAL AC SURFACE: 8300 SF 0 DISTURBED AREA: 13675 SQ FT CUT: 741 CY FILL: 741 CY NET: 0 CY (CUT AND FILL ARE BALANCED) RK \cap В \mathbf{v} 209 EDGE OF PAVEMENT \times 1) \bigcap ROADWAY CENTERLINE ____ _ _ _ _ (A) EDGE OF PAVEMENT S=0.5% S=4% R=5' (TYP) CULVERT PIPE IF REQUIRED S=14% ± MAX S NT. ASPHALT APRON PLAN VIEW N.T.S. RLINE OVEM 4' MIN. NOTE 5 4" ASPHALT NOTE 10 -GRADE BREAK 14% MA IMBE IMPR(PROVIDE DRAINAGE SWALE FOR DOWNHILL DRIVEWAYS _IF DRIVEWAY CULVERT IS REQUIRED, PIPE SHALL MATCH EXISTING FLOW LINE ASPHALT APRON PROFILE (A) ASPHALT DRIVEWAY APRON - NOTE 265 N DING % MIN. HEADWALLS ALLOWED LE.(FLOWLINE) ONLY UPON APPROVAL 162(RAI BY COUNTY ENGINEER 2' MIN. PRAP. NOTES 14 & 15 ŬŽ 1-2-1 GRAVEL APRON PROFILE (A) ASHOE HEADWALL PROFILE (B) SEE PAGE 2 OF 2 FOR NOTES NO. REVISED DATE STANDARD DETAILS FOR PUBLIC WORKS CONSTRUCTION SECTION: WASHOE ASPHALT AND GRAVEL DRIVEWAY APRONS PAGE 1 OF 2 FOR USE WHERE NO CURB & GUTTER EXISTS DRAWING NO: ₩-5.2 DATE: 12/18 PAGE: 1 OWNER / BUILDER E PLANS ARE PREPARED AND SUBMITTED BY THE OWNER A LY DWELLING STRUCTURE ON SEAN BARRETT OWNER'S NAME (PLEASE PRINT) SCAN BUNUT OWNER'S SIGNATURE SEAN BARRETT PLANS PREPARED BY: **ROCKERY WALL NOTES:** 1. ALL ROCKERY WALL DETAILS SHALL ADHERE TO 110.438.45 OF THE WASHOE COUNTY CODES.

2. ROCKERY WALLS SHALL NOT EXCEED 10 FT IN HEIGHT.

APPROVALS

C1

SHEET 5 OF 5

3. BENCH WIDTH GREATER THAN 60% OF WALL HEIGHT.

NEVADA STATE CONTRACTORS BOARD

5390 KIETZKE LANE, SUITE 102, RENO, NEVADA, 89511 (775) 688-1141 FAX (775) 688-1271, INVESTIGATIONS (775) 688-1150 2310 CORPORATE CIRCLE, SUITE 200, HENDERSON, NEVADA, 89074 (702) 486-1100 FAX (702) 486-1190, INVESTIGATIONS (702) 486-1110 www.nscb.nv.gov

NRS 624.031 Applicability of chapter: Exemptions. The provisions of this chapter do not apply to:

5. An owner of property who is building or improving a residential structure on the property for his own occupancy and not intended for sale or lease. The sale or lease, or the offering for sale or lease, of the newly built structure within 1 year after its completion creates a rebuttable presumption for the purposes of this section that the building of the structure was performed with the intent to sell or lease that structure. An owner of property who requests an exemption pursuant to this subsection must apply to the board for the exemption. The board shall adopt regulations setting forth the requirements for granting the exemption.

If you are seeking an exemption from licensure pursuant to NRS 624.031(4) you must complete the following affidavit, obtain the required signatures, and submit the original to the building department with your application for a building permit.

OWNER BUILDER AFFIDAVIT OF EXEMPTION

I hereby certify that I am the owner of the property listed below, and that I am building or improving a residential structure on this property for my own occupancy and do not intend to sell or lease the property. Type of Permit GRADING

GRADING

Parcel Number: 1249-222-06 Description of Work:

I further acknowledge the following obligations and duties:

- I may not sell or lease this property. If I sell or lease, or offer to sell or lease this property within 1 year after completion, it may be presumed that . I have violated the provisions of this exemption and Chapter 624 of NRS.
- I may not hire an unlicensed person to act as my contractor, agent, or construction manager.
- I must directly supervise the construction. .
- Any subcontractor(s) working on this project must be properly licensed by the Nevada State Contractors Board. .
- Any person working on my project who is not a licensed contractor must work under my direct supervision and must be employed by me. I must comply with all State and Federal laws as an employer in the State of Nevada, including payroll deductions (FICA and . income tax withholding), provide industrial insurance coverage, and pay the required unemployment compensation for that employee.
- If my project requires the repair, restoration, improvement or construction of a pool or spa, I acknowledge my obligation and duty to comply with . the provisions of NRS 624.900 through NRS 624.930 (inclusive).
- I acknowledge that I have received copies of NRS 624.900 through NRS 624.930 (inclusive) and NRS 278.573. .

I have read the above owner builder affidavit of exemption and certify that the information provided is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Dated this H day of APRIL, 2022

Legal Owner of Residential Property (Signature)

BARRETT SEAN

(Print Name)

TIMBERLI1/E DR.

Location of Single Family Residence



Owner/Builder Affidavit Revised 03/2019



APPLICATION FOR ALTERNATE MATERIALS AND METHODS

DATE: 2-8-23

PROJECT NAME: 16265 N TIMBERLINE SINGLE FAMILY	PROJECT ADDRESS: 16265 N TIMBERLINE DR. RENO	NV 89511	PERMIT OR APP. NO. TBD
RESIDENCE OWNER'S NAME SEAN BARRETT	OWNER'S ADDRESS PO BOX 2096 BIGFORK MT 5991	1	PHONE 406 261-3203
TENANT'S NAME (If other than owner)	TENANT'S ADDRESS	Selection and the second	PHONE
APPLICANT'S NAME (Not company name) Please Print SEAN BARRETT	APPLICANT'S ADDRESS PO BOX 2096 BIGFORK MT 5991	1	PHONE 406 261-3203
RELATIONSHIP OF APPLICANT TO PROJECT AND COMPANY NAME OWNER / BUILDER		FIRE DISTRICT EMPLOYEE FAMILIAR WITH PROJECT CHIEF DALE WAY & CAPTAIN BRITTANY LEMON	

Official for an alternate material and method from Section 403 the Fire Code, which requires that:

"DRIVEWAYS IN EXCESS OF 150 FEET IN LENGTH SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH TURNAROUNDS. DRIVEWAYS IN EXCESS OF 200 FT IN LENGTH AND LESS THAN 20 FT IN WIDTH SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH TURNOUTS IN ADDITION TO TURNAROUNDS."

I request your acceptance of:

AN ALTERNATIVE METHOD OF USING CLASS 1 (IR 1) BULIDING STANDARDS AND A 75 FT DEFENSIBLE SPACE AROUND OCCUPPIED RESIDENCE(S) TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF EARTH MOVING THAT WOULD BE GENERATED GRADING A TURNAROUND INTO A HILLSIDE WITH GREATER THAN 30% SLOPES TO FIT WITH THE INTENT OF THE WASHOE COUNTY GRADING CODES. A MAXIMUM OF 12% GRADE IS PROPOSED.

I believe this proposal complies with the intent of the code, and that the material, method or work offered is, for the purpose intended, at least the equivalent of that prescribed in the Fire Code in quality, strength, effectiveness, fire resistance,

durability, and safety because: (Use attachments if necessary)

SITE LOCATION COMPRISES OF SHRUBS AND TREES THAT ARE NOT CLOSE TO THE PROPOSED RESIDENSE. DEFENSIBLE SPACE SHOULD BE SUFFICIENT TO ADDRESS FIRE DANGER. IR I BUILDING STANDARDS SHOULD WITHSTAND FIRE CONDITIONS UNTIL THE FUEL IS CONSUMED. FIRE RESPONSE WILL BE SWIFT AS THE SITE IS 0.5 MILES FROM GALENA VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT. ACCESS IS PROVIDED VIA A DRIVEWAY WITH AN EXTENDED SWITCHBACK THAT CAN BE USED IN AN EMERGENCY.

IF THE APPLICANT IS NOT THE OWNER OR THE OWNER'S ARCHITECT OR

OWNER

ENGINEER, THEN THE OWNER'S SIGNATURE MUST APPEAR ON THE LINE ABOVE

DECISION OF THE DEPUTY FIRE CHIEF -	- FIRE CODE	OFFICIAL
-------------------------------------	-------------	----------

- ARCHITECT OR ENGINEERS SEAL

- Approved 0
- Approved with Stipulations 0
- Denied 0

Stipulations: