# Bryan Canyon Road Pond

**Special Use Permit** 





9222 Prototype Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 775.827.6111 www.LumosInc.com

## Washoe County Development Application

Your entire application is a public record. If you have a concern about releasing personal information, please contact Planning and Building staff at 775.328.6100.

Project Information s		Staff Assigned Case No.:	
Project Name: Bryan Canyon Road Pond Special Use Permit			
Project This application requests an SUP for grading of a pond. Description:			
Project Address: 0 Bryan Canyon	Roaad		
Project Area (acres or square fe	et): 346.480 +/-acres (Dev	relopment Area is only 9.6+/- acres)	
Project Location (with point of re	eference to major cross	streets AND area locator):	
Bryan Canyon Ro	bad and Po	nderosa	
Assessor's Parcel No.(s):	Parcel Acreage:	Assessor's Parcel No.(s):	Parcel Acreage:
055-301-38	346.480 +/- acres		
Indicate any previous Washoe County approvals associated with this application: Case No.(s).			
Applicant Information (attach additional sheets if necessary)			
Property Owner:		Professional Consultant:	
Name: SC Advisors, LLC		Name: Lumos & Associates	
Address: PO Box 3390		Address: 9222 Prototype Drive	
Stateline, CA	Zip: 89449	Reno, NV	Zip: 89521
Phone: Fax:		Phone: 775-827-6111 Fax:	
Email:		Email: ethomas@lumosinc.com	
Cell: Other:		Cell:	Other:
Contact Person:		Contact Person: Ed Thomas, PE	
Applicant/Developer:		Other Persons to be Contacted:	
Name: SC Advisors, LLC		Name: CFA, Inc.	
Address: PO Box 3390		Address: 1150 Corporate Blvd	
Stateline, CA Zip: 89449		Reno, NV	Zip: 89506
Phone: Fax:		Phone: 775-850-7073 Fax:	
Email:		Email: dsnelgrove@cfareno.com	
Cell: Other:		Cell: 775-737-8910 Other:	
Contact Person:		Contact Person: Dave Snelgrove, AICP	
	For Office	Use Only	
Date Received:	Initial:	Planning Area:	
County Commission District:		Master Plan Designation(s):	
CAB(s):		Regulatory Zoning(s):	

### Property Owner Affidavit

#### Applicant Name: SCAP 7, LLC

The receipt of this application at the time of submittal does not guarantee the application complies with all requirements of the Washoe County Development Code, the Washoe County Master Plan or the applicable area plan, the applicable regulatory zoning, or that the application is deemed complete and will be processed.

STATE OF NEVADA ) ) COUNTY OF WASHOE )

2 Ohr

(please print/name)

being duly sworn, depose and say that I am the owner\* of the property or properties involved in this application as listed below and that the foregoing statements and answers herein contained and the information herewith submitted are in all respects complete, true, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that no assurance or guarantee can be given by members of Planning and Building.

(A separate Affidavit must be provided by each property owner named in the title report.)

Assessor Parcel Number(s): 055-301-38	
	Printed Name Huse
	Signed
	Address Mbb Pitter Terrae, 61-Soute WV
Subscribed and succes to before my this	
Subscribed and sworn to before me this,,,,,,,,	(Notary Stamp)
Notary Public in and for said county and state	Notary Certificate attached/affixed pursuant
My commission expires: 051261203	CA Government Code § 8202
*Owner refers to the following: (Please mark app	ropriate box.)

- 🛛 Owner
- Corporate Officer/Partner (Provide copy of record document indicating authority to sign.)
- Dever of Attorney (Provide copy of Power of Attorney.)
- Owner Agent (Provide notarized letter from property owner giving legal authority to agent.)
- D Property Agent (Provide copy of record document indicating authority to sign.)
- Letter from Government Agency with Stewardship

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.
State of California County of <u>Orange</u>
Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this <u>26th</u> day of <u>July</u> , 20 <u>21</u> , by <u>John J Hurry</u>
proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.
EVAN BISSETT Notary Public - California Orange County Commission # 2290130 My Comm. Expires May 26, 2023 (Seal) Signature
(Seal) Signature ////////////////////////////////////

## Special Use Permit Application Supplemental Information

(All required information may be separately attached)

1. What is the project being requested?

An SUP is being requested to facilitate grading to create a pond structure and end a code violation case regarding existing grading that had occurred on site.

2. Provide a site plan with all existing and proposed structures (e.g. new structures, roadway improvements, utilities, sanitation, water supply, drainage, parking, signs, etc.)

See Tab B in the submittal package for a site plan addressing this requested information.

3. What is the intended phasing schedule for the construction and completion of the project?

It is anticipated that construction/grading will commence near to the end of 2021 and completion of the proposed grading will conclude prior to the end of 2022.

4. What physical characteristics of your location and/or premises are especially suited to deal with the impacts and the intensity of your proposed use?

The location chosen for the pond is entirely for aesthetic reasons of the natural beauty. It also provides a "beneficial" use to maintain senior water rights.

5. What are the anticipated beneficial aspects or affects your project will have on adjacent properties and the community?

An increase in wildlife attracted to the pond, potential use as a water source for firefighting equipment

6. What are the anticipated negative impacts or affect your project will have on adjacent properties? How will you mitigate these impacts?

No negative impacts are anticipated with this request

7. Provide specific information on landscaping, parking, type of signs and lighting, and all other code requirements pertinent to the type of use being purposed. Show and indicate these requirements on submitted drawings with the application.

As this is proposed to be private pond in a rural area, no formal parking, signs or lighting is planned. Revegetation will incorporated around the pond.

7

8. Are there any restrictive covenants, recorded conditions, or deed restrictions (CC&Rs) that apply to the area subject to the special use permit request? (If so, please attach a copy.)

	Yes	No No
--	-----	-------

9. Utilities:

a. Sewer Service	Not Applicable to this project
b. Electrical Service	Not Applicable to this project
c. Telephone Service	Not Applicable to this project
d. LPG or Natural Gas Service	Not Applicable to this project
e. Solid Waste Disposal Service	Not Applicable to this project
f. Cable Television Service	Not Applicable to this project
g. Water Service	Not Applicable to this project

For most uses, Washoe County Code, Chapter 110, Article 422, Water and Sewer Resource Requirements, requires the dedication of water rights to Washoe County. Please indicate the type and quantity of water rights you have available should dedication be required.

h. Permit #	See Permits in Tab D	acre-feet per year	
i. Certificate #		acre-feet per year	
j. Surface Claim #		acre-feet per year	
k. Other #		acre-feet per year	

Title of those rights (as filed with the State Engineer in the Division of Water Resources of the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources).

#### 10. Community Services (provided and nearest facility):

a. Fire Station	Truckee Meadows Fire Station 30, 3905 State Route 429
b. Health Care Facility	Renown Urgent Care - North Carson, 2814 N. Carson Street, Carson City
c. Elementary School	Not Applicable to this project
d. Middle School	Not Applicable to this project
e. High School	Not Applicable to this projec
f. Parks	Not Applicable to this project
g. Library	Not Applicable to this project
h. Citifare Bus Stop	Not Applicable to this project

## Special Use Permit Application for Grading Supplemental Information

(All required information may be separately attached)

1. What is the purpose of the grading?

To create a natural looking pond

2. How many cubic yards of material are you proposing to excavate on site?

A total cut area associated with the grading plan is 29,062+/- CY, but the amount of fill will bring the grading work to a virtual balance with a 58+/- CY identified as excess when considered with the fill area.

3. How many square feet of surface of the property are you disturbing?

9.6 +/- acres (418,176 +/- sf)

4. How many cubic yards of material are you exporting or importing? If none, how are you managing to balance the work on-site?

The grading plan for the site is intended to balance. 58+/- CY is shown as excess material on Sheet C2 with this application. This excess material will be spread across the site in a thin layer across additional fill areas to bring the site into balance.

5. Is it possible to develop your property without surpassing the grading thresholds requiring a Special Use Permit? (Explain fully your answer.)

No, in order to accommodate the intended water amount, the affected areas must either be wider or deeper. In this instance, the applicant has chosen to affect more land horizontally rather than digging deeper.

6. Has any portion of the grading shown on the plan been done previously? (If yes, explain the circumstances, the year the work was done, and who completed the work.)

Yes, the requested SUP is in response to a code enforcement action, WVIO-ENG 20-0015. The requested SUP is intended to provide approval for the private pond that was originally envisioned.

7. Have you shown all areas on your site plan that are proposed to be disturbed by grading? (If no, explain your answer.)

Yes, please see site photos in the project narrative that show the existing disturbance.

8. Can the disturbed area be seen from off-site? If yes, from which directions and which properties or roadways?

No. Currently, no structures are constructed on adjoining properties.

9. Could neighboring properties also be served by the proposed access/grading requested (i.e. if you are creating a driveway, would it be used for access to additional neighboring properties)?

No, the proposed area of grading is entirely contained within the parcel.

10. What is the slope (horizontal/vertical) of the cut and fill areas proposed to be? What methods will be used to prevent erosion until the revegetation is established?

Maximum 3:1 slope.

11. Are you planning any berms?

Yes XXX No If yes, how tall is the berm at its highest? 16-18 feet	
--	--

12. If your property slopes and you are leveling a pad for a building, are retaining walls going to be required? If so, how high will the walls be and what is their construction (i.e. rockery, concrete, timber, manufactured block)?

Not applicable

13. What are you proposing for visual mitigation of the work?

The site cannot be seen from anywhere in the valley or from any public right of way due to the topography surrounding this bowl area. As such, no visual mitigation is seen to be necessary.

14. Will the grading proposed require removal of any trees? If so, what species, how many and of what size?

No trees are intended to be removed with the approval of this SUP.

15. What type of revegetation seed mix are you planning to use and how many pounds per acre do you intend to broadcast? Will you use mulch and, if so, what type?

An appropriate seed mix for the area and terrain will be provided with the final plans for the project.

16. How are you providing temporary irrigation to the disturbed area?

No temporary irrigation is proposed. Hydro-seeding or native vegetation that naturally occurs will be incorporated.

17. Have you reviewed the revegetation plan with the Washoe Storey Conservation District? If yes, have you incorporated their suggestions?



18. Are there any restrictive covenants, recorded conditions, or deed restrictions (CC&Rs) that may prohibit the requested grading?

Yes	No X	If yes, please attach a copy.	

# Тав А

SPECIAL USE PERMIT

## **Table of Contents**

Project Narrative	TAB A
Project Request	
Property Location	2
Master Plan & Zoning	
Project Summary	
Overview	
Revegetation	3
Site Hydrology	
Hillside Development Site Analysis	3
Existing Site Photos	
Special Use Permit Legal Findings Review	

## Tabs

Preliminary Civil Engineering Sheets, Slope Analysis and Cut and Fill Exhibits	TAB B
Conceptual Drainage Report, Geotechnical Investigation Report	TAB C
Supporting Information (Assessors Map, Water Rights Permit Information, Well Log Details, Re Survey Map #4473, Proof of Property Tax Payment	



# **Project Description**

## **Project Request**

This application is a request for a special use permit for grading on a portion of the subject parcel. The proposed grading is for a pond that will provide a beneficial use of permitted water that the property owner holds. The pond is proposed to be private but would provide a watering hole for wildlife in the area and an environment for birds. The pond is proposed to be stocked for private use by the owner for fishing.

The proposed pond area is located towards the southern portion of APN 055-301-38, a 346.5+/- acre parcel. The proposed pond area grading totals 9.6+/- acres, which is +\-2.8% of the total site.

This application is presented to address previous grading activities were commenced (in error) by the applicant and their contractor. With this requested special use permit, certain thresholds associated with grading (Article 438) are specifically requested for review and approval as well as review and approval of Hillside Development (Article 424) considerations.

### Article 438 (Grading) Requests

The following code sections from Section 110.438.35 (Major Grading Permit Thresholds) are specifically included with this application:

Grading on slopes of less than (flatter than) fifteen (15) percent:

- 110.438.35(a)(1)(i)(C) Area Grading of an area of more than four (4) acres on a parcel of any size.
- 110.438.35(a)(1)(ii)(A) Volume Excavation of five thousand (5,000) CY or more...

Grading on slopes of fifteen (15) percent or greater (steeper):

- 110.438.35(a)(2)(i)(B) Area Grading of ten (10) percent or more of the area of the parcel on parcels six (6) acres or greater in size ----- Although the overall parcel is significantly large, this threshold is believed to be crossed as the development site is only 9.6+/- acres and the portion of the development site that has grading in association with the pond is greater than 20% of the development site area.
- 110.438.35(a)(2)(ii)(A) Volume Excavation of one thousand (1,000) CY or more...

General thresholds regardless of slope:

- 110.438.35(a)(4) Grading to construct a permanent earthen structure greater than six (6) feet in height on the remainder of the property.
- 110.438.35(a)(6) Creation of a dam structure that holds (retains) more than twenty-five thousand cubic feet of water.

## **Property Location**

The subject parcel contains 346.5+/- acres of land but only 9.6+/- acres or +/-2.8% of subject parcel is proposed to be disturbed with this grading. The development site is located in the southern portion of the subject parcel. A Vicinity Map is provided below showing the subject parcel and development site that is associated with this request.





## **Master Plan and Zoning**

The subject parcel is master planned general rural (GR) and zoned General Rural (GR). The proposed grading for the pond is allowed under the existing zoning designation.

## **Project Summary**

<u>Overview</u> – The proposed grading project consists of earthwork on a small portion of the 346.5+/- acre parcel (APN 055-301-38). Allowance of this grading activity will provide a necessary water structure to create a "beneficial" use for the maintenance of existing water rights.

The proposed grading will create a pond (mostly manmade) located near the southern boundary of the parcel and be supplied with water by an existing well located west of the pond.

#### **Revegetation**

Native revegetation will be incorporated into the final treatment around this pond area using strippings from the site and an appropriate seed mix for the area (to be defined with the final grading permit). There is no formal landscaping proposed as this is simply the creation of a pond in a high desert foothills type setting. Formal landscaping, as is required by code would be out of character for the area in which the development site is located.

#### Site Hydrology

The preliminary hydrology report is provided in Tab C with this application.

## Hillside Development Site Analysis

Following is a review of the supplemental review items required under Article 424 (Hillside Development) in the Washoe County Development Code. Each review item listed in section 110.424.15 is provided

### a. Site Analysis

(1) Major topographic conditions including ridgelines, ravines, canyons and knolls;

Below is an excerpt from the South Valleys Area Plan – Development Suitability Map showing the location of the proposed pond being in an area suitable for development and surrounded by topography. The development site sits in a bowl that helps to conceal views of the pond and associated grading from lands in the valley and along public rights-of-way.



#### SPECIAL USE PERMIT



areas and areas underlain with faults that have been active during the Halocene epoch of geological time;

Seismic Considerations are included in the Geotechnical Investigation Report, provided in Tab C of this application package.

(3) Preliminary soil conditions including soil type, expansiveness, slumping, erodibility and permeability;

Soils Conditions have been reviewed in the Geotechnical Investigation Report, provided in Tab C of this application package.

(4) Significant surface hydrological conditions including natural drainage courses, perennial streams, floodplains, wetlands and ponding areas;

No significant hydrologic resources are identified to be within the development area.

(5) The location and types of significant vegetation including known rare and endangered plant species and general plant communities;



#### SPECIAL USE PERMIT

No rare or endangered plant species are known to be located in the area of the proposed pond by the applicant or consultants on this project.

(6) Habitat areas for rare or endangered animal species;

The location of a pond will have no negative impact on any habitat within the area. From review of the Washoe County habitat area maps from the Conservation Element of the Washoe County Master Plan, only Mule Deer appear to have Key winter habitat in the area of the development site. The pond will provide a water supply for the Mule Deer and can be seen as a benefit.

(7) Preliminary viewshed analysis including cross sections of views to and from the development site from all major roadways within one (1) mile of the project site, and from major focal points on the project site;

Below are aerial images viewed at varying perspectives toward the site that show the proposed location of the pond is not visible from major roadways and vantage points that are in the habited portions of the Washoe Valley area.



Perspective view of the development site from above, viewing toward the southeast. The access canyon (Bryan Canyon and Bryan Canyon Road can be seen in the foreground and the existing disturbance area associated with the proposed pond can be seen in this aerial image.



SPECIAL USE PERMIT



Perspective view toward the site from a couple hundred feet above the ground surface. View exposes that the area of the pond cannot be viewed from this vantage point. View is looking south from north of the Toyabe Golf Course toward the site.





#### SPECIAL USE PERMIT

Perspective view toward the site from a couple hundred feet above the ground surface. View exposes that the area of the pond cannot be viewed from this vantage point due to natural topographic view blockage. View is looking West from the intersection of Eastlake Blvd and U.S. 395 toward the site.

(8) How the development responds to the unique conditions of the hillside; and

For the most part, the development exists in the lesser slope areas (as is evidenced on the Slope Analysis Map provided as Sheet C4 in Tab B of this application. The proposed pond could have been naturally occurring with a slightly higher ground being formed, naturally at the northern portion of the bowl. The site is well suited to have a pond (man-made or natural.

- (9) A slope analysis, submitted on a topographic map with contour intervals of at least five (5) feet for planning purposes.
  - (i) 0 15 percent;
    (ii) 15 20 percent;
    (iii) 20 25 percent;
    (iv) 25 30 percent; and
  - (v) Greater than 30 percent.

A Slope Analysis Map is provided as Sheet C4 in Tab B using the slope categories noted above.

#### b. Developable Area Map.

A developable area map, prepared pursuant to Section 110.424.20(b).

The Existing Site and Preliminary Grading Plans, coupled with the Slope Analysis Map (Sheets C1, C2 and C3), provided with this application adequately address site developable area as the total area of disturbance is only +/-2.8% of the entire subject parcel. The proposed location of the pond, as has been noted previously within this project narrative The total amount of 30% or steeper slopes is only 804+ SF of the 9.6+/- acre development site area or less than 2/10 of 1% of the total development site area.

#### c. Constraint and Mitigation Analysis.

A detailed analysis of how the identified constraints will be mitigated and incorporated into the project's design.

There are no constraints that to the development of this site for a pond. As such, there is no mitigation analysis that is foreseen to be necessary.

#### d. Washoe County Master Plan Amendment.

Not applicable. No Master Plan Amendment is proposed with this application.



SPECIAL USE PERMIT

## **Existing Site Photos**

The development site slopes from south to northwest. The site lies at the intersection of a number of informal dirt trails that can be seen on the Washoe County GIS map. Site photos showing the access road to the development site and the existing site disturbance are provided, below.



View of paved section of Bryan Canyon Road (access to the development site/pond location) – view near the northern entry to the subject parcel

View of gravel section of Bryan Canyon Road (access to the development site/pond location) – view nearing the development site.





SPECIAL USE PERMIT



View to the north of the existing disturbance at the development site

View to the southwest of the existing disturbance/development area. Area vegetation can be seen in the foreground.





SPECIAL USE PERMIT

## Special Use Permit Legal Findings Review

Section 110.810.30 -- Findings. Prior to approving an application for a special use permit, the Planning Commission, Board of Adjustment or a hearing examiner shall find that all of the following are true:

a) Consistency. The proposed use is consistent with the action programs, policies, standards and maps of the Master Plan and the applicable area plan;

The proposed SUP for grading improvements has been prepared to meet the design requirements set forth under the Washoe County Master Plan and Development Code. The subject property is contained within the South Valleys Area Plan Suburban Character Management Area.

#### These measures will be met with the proposed grading and drainage improvements.

SV.1.6 The following Regulatory Zones are permitted within the West Washoe Valley Suburban Character Management Area:

- a. General Rural (GR One unit per 40 acres).
- b. Low Density Rural (LDR One unit per 10 acres).
- c. Medium Density Rural (MDR One unit per 5 acres).
- d. Public/Semi-public Facilities (PSP).
- e. Parks and Recreation (PR).
- f. Open Space (OS).
- g. High Density Rural (HDR One unit per 2.5 acres).

#### The development site is zoned GR and appropriate to the Master Plan and the WWVRCMA.

SV.2.3 Site development plans in the South Valleys planning area must submit a plan for the control of noxious weeds. The plan should be developed through consultation with the Washoe County District Health Department, the University of Nevada Cooperative Extension, and/or the Washoe-Storey Conservation District. The control plan will be implemented on a voluntary compliance basis.

# An appropriate control plan will be submitted with final plans, as is typically required through condition of approval.

SV.2.14 Development activities should be designed to support the efficient use of infrastructure and the conservation of recharge areas, habitat, and open vistas.

# The proposed drainage will provide an additional recharge area for the West Washoe Valley area.



SPECIAL USE PERMIT

SV.2.16 The approval of special use permits and administrative permits must include a finding that the community character as described in the Character Statement can be adequately conserved through mitigation of any identified potential negative impacts.

The proposed grading SUP will not negatively impact the surrounding parcels owners nor community character. The pond structure is intended to directly affect the parcel owner by providing a use for existing water rights in the area. Indirectly, the pond structure should provide a water source for wildlife in the area, particularly mule deer and may be available as a water source for fire fighting efforts, if necessary and agreed by all stakeholders in such use..

b) Site Suitability. The site is physically suitable for the type of development and for the intensity of development;

# Response: The pond is suitable within the area in which is it located and with slightly different topography at the northwest corner of the pond, could be naturally occurring.

 c) Issuance Not Detrimental. Issuance of the permit will not be significantly detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare; injurious to the property or improvements of adjacent properties; or detrimental to the character of the surrounding area; and

# Response: This request will not be detrimental to the character of the surrounding area and is appropriate to the setting of a forest/natural area in the foothills of the Sierra Nevada mountain range.

d) Effect on a Military Installation. Issuance of the permit will not have a detrimental effect on the location, purpose or mission of the military installation.

Response: Not applicable as there are no military installations in proximity to the project site.



# Тав В









#### GRADING LEGEND

/		EX ACCESS ROAD
/		EX FLOWLINE
/	<b>_</b>	PROP FLOWLINE

#### GENERAL NOTES

TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, BELIEF, AND ABILITY THESE PLANS ARE IN COMPLIANCE WITH WASHOE COUNTY DEVELOPMENT CODE.

#### EARTHWORK TABLE

DISTURBED AREA - TOTAL	Γ
CUT	
FILL	
TOTAL EARTHWORK VOLUME	Γ
MAXIMUM DEPTH OF CUT	
MAXIMUM DEPTH OF FILL	
AREA OF CUT GREATER THAN 10'	
AREA OF FILL GREATER THAN 10'	
POND VOLUME @ EL. 5924	
NORMAL WSEL	
AREA OF FILL GREATER THAN 6' DEEP	















ABLE							
lope	Area	Color					
	317959.69						
	67993.73						
	28389.36						
	1761.64						
,	3191.63						



308 N. CURRY ST., STE. 200 CARSON CITY, NV 89703 TEL: 775.883.7077

WWW.LUMOSINC.COM INFO@LUMOSINC.COM

© LIMICS & ASSOCIATES, INC.: THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF LIMICS ASSOCIATES, INC.: USE OR REPROJUCTION OF THIS DRAWING, IN UNEOLE OR IN PART, WITHOUT THE WIRITIEN PERMISSION OF LUMICS & ASSOCIATES, INC.: STRICTLY PROHIBITED. THIS DRAWING IS NOT BE LIGED FOR AWPROLECT OTHER THAN THE PROJECT FOR WHICH IT WAS PREPARED.





ELEVATIONS TABLE							
ELEVATION	MAX ELEVATION	AREA	COLOR				
-16.00	-14.00	1549.59					
-14.00	-12.00	6849.41					
-12.00	-10.00	7137.43					
-10.00	-8.00	18154.59					
-8.00	-6.00	17965.41					
-6.00	-4.00	28714.76					
-4.00	-2.00	34452.31					
-2.00	0.00	78899.29					
0.00	2.00	96466.73					
2.00	4.00	55138.29					
4.00	6.00	33976.78					
6.00	8.00	18432.33					
8.00	10.00	9407.71					
10.00	12.00	6368.16					
12.00	14.00	3475.68					
14.00	16.00	2030.60					
16.00	18.00	276.99					

-12.00

-10.00

0.00

2.00

6.00

8.00

10.00

12.00

14.00

16.00



308 N. CURRY ST., STE. 200 CARSON CITY, NV 89703 TEL: 775.883.7077

WWW.LUMOSINC.COM INFO@LUMOSINC.COM

ULIMOS & ASSOCIATES, INC.: THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF LIMOS & ASSOCIATES, INC.: USE OR REPROLICITION OF THIS DRAWING, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF LIMOS & ASSOCIATES, INC.: STRICTLY PROHIBITED. THIS DRAWING IS NOT DE USED FOR ANY PROLECT OTHER THAN THE PROJECT FOR WHICH IT WAS PREPARED.





22x34 SHEETS = HORIZONTAL:1"=50' 11x17 SHEETS = HORIZONTAL:1"=100'

# Тав С

### **CONCEPTUAL DRAINAGE REPORT**





**Prepared For:** 

SCAP 7, LLC

<u>Prepared By:</u> Taylor Adams, E.I. Ed Thomas, P.E.



Lumos & Associates, Inc. 9222 Prototype Drive Reno, NV 89521 (775) 827-6111

JN 10334.000

July 2021



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Introd	luction	1
	1.1.	Existing Site Description	.1
	1.2.	Proposed Project Description	.2
	1.3.	FEMA FIRM Panels	.2
2.	Metho	odology	2
3.	Histor	ic Drainage System	3
4.	Propo	sed Drainage System	3
5.	Water	Quality	4
6.	Concl	usions	4
7.	Refer	ences	4

[File Doc: L:\LAProj\10334.000 - Bryan Canyon Road Pond SUP\Civil\Hydrology\Report]

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Vicinity Map	1
------------------------	---

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Selected Rational C Values2	
Table 2: Existing Peak Flow Summary	;
Table 3: Proposed Peak Flow Summary	;

# TABLE OF APPENDENICES

#### A Background Data

- A.1 Effective FIRM Panels
- A.2 NOAA Data
- A.3 USDA Soil Map and Data
- **B** Hydrologic Calculations
- C Drainage Exhibits



# **1. INTRODUCTION**

This document is presented as a Conceptual Drainage Report in support of the proposed two acre private fishing pond in Washoe Valley. This report is to provide support for the Special Use Permit (SUP) for the developed area as required by Washoe County.

SCAP 7, LLC intends to develop upon assessor parcel number (APN) 055-301-38. The subject area is approximately 1.6 miles southwest of Washoe Lake and 1 mile south of the current terminus of Bryan Canyon Road. The site currently has dirt roadways but is otherwise undeveloped. Refer to Figure 1 for a vicinity map of the area. The total proposed pond area is 2 acres, with a total disturbed area of approximately 6.1 acres. The entire project site is within Section 34, Township 27 North, and Range 19 East in unincorporated Washoe C.



Figure 1: Vicinity Map

#### **1.1. Existing Site Description**

The site is located in the eastern foothills of the Sierra Nevada mountain range (the Carson Range) in the southern portion of Washoe Valley. No existing structures are located on site. Dirt/gravel access roads provide connectivity to the proposed project area. The site is currently undeveloped with some clearing and grubbing taking place on site. The site generally slopes to the northwest into Bryan Canyon, with the surrounding area sloping severely onto the site ranging from 20-40 percent. The site eventually flows into an existing onsite creek.



#### **1.2.** Proposed Project Description

The proposed 2 acre fishing pond will be developed upon a portion of the property near the southern property line. The design will feature the pond, an access road that leads up to the top of the pond and around the perimeter, a berm surrounding the base of the pond, grading to dispose of earth that is excavated from the pond, and drainage improvements. Drainage improvements include the collector swales along the access roads, swales on either side of the pond grading limits, and various side channels that eventually lead into the existing creek.

#### 1.3. FEMA FIRM Panels

Based on a review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map Index (panel 32031C3430G dated 2009), the site is in an un-mapped area of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). The project site is, therefore identified as Flood Hazard Zone X (unshaded), which is defined as areas determined to be outside the 500-year floodplain. A FIRMette of the project site is included in Appendix A.

# 2. METHODOLOGY

According to the drainage guidelines for Washoe County Development Code and Truckee Meadows Regional Drainage Manual (TMRDM), the Rational Formula Method was used to generate peak discharges for all drainage hydrologic basins [1]. The peak discharges for the project were calculated using:

Design Discharge, Q = C I A

Where:

- Q = maximum rate of runoff (cfs),
- A =contributing basin area (acres),
- C = runoff coefficient,
- I = average rainfall intensity for a duration equal to the T<sub>c</sub> (in/hr),
- $T_c$  = time of concentration,  $T_c$  (minutes).

Rational runoff coefficients (C-values) for the local design were applied from the TMRDM. The selected values are presented in Table 1. C-values for local sub-basins were defined for the 5- and 100-year events based on the percentage of water surface and natural coverage. Time of Concentration was determined from equations provided in the TMRDM. The minimum time of concentration for undeveloped areas is 10 minutes, as defined by TMRDM. Precipitation values were computed using National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA's) Point Precipitation Frequency Estimates function available on the NOAA website [2].

Table 1: Selected Rational	С	Values
----------------------------	---	--------

Land Use	Average % Impervious Area	Runoff Coefficient 5-year (C <sub>5</sub> )	Runoff Coefficient 100-year (C100)	
Open Water Body	100	1	1	
Range	0	0.20	0.50	



# **3. HISTORIC DRAINAGE SYSTEM**

A single hydrologic drainage basin was delineated based on existing topography. A summary of the calculations is provided in Table 2. Refer to Appendix C for the existing conditions drainage exhibit.

Sub-basin ID	Description	Area	Тс	C <sub>5</sub>	C100	I₅[in/hr]	I <sub>100</sub> [in/hr]	Q₅[cfs]	Q <sub>100</sub> [cfs]
000 000 12	2 0001.pt.011	[ac]	[min]	6	0100	-5[,]	100 [,]	43 [ 0.0 ]	£100 [0.0]
E1	Overall	32.45	15.37	0.20	0.50	1.52	3.57	9.90	57.99

Table 2:	Fxistina	Peak	Flow	Summary
Tubic 2.	LAISting	i cun	11011	Summary

As a result of the analysis, it was determined 57.99 cfs is generated from the existing site for the 100-year storm event. All calculations can be found in Appendix B.

# 4. PROPOSED DRAINAGE SYSTEM

Development of the project will involve the construction of the pond, access road, grading to dispose of excavated earth, and drainage swales. The uphill runoff will either enter a drainage swale on the southern edge of the pond or a drainage swale on the eastern edge of the pond. Both swales will be located along the bottom of the berm surrounding the pond. Swales will eventually discharge into the existing creek. All swale sizing calculations will be included in the final design.

To appropriately compare pre-developed and post-developed conditions, the site was treated as one subbasin. Reference the proposed drainage exhibit in Appendix C for the drainage schematic. Rational C-values were determined based on post-developed condition and land cover. The site is located entirely in hydrologic soil group D determined from the USDA Web Soil Survey [3]. Refer to Appendix A for the soil map and corresponding soil data for the project site. The peak runoff rate calculated for the developed area of the site is summarized in Table 3.

Sub-basin	Description	Area [ac]	Тс	C₅	C100	I₅[in/hr]	I <sub>100</sub>	Q₅[cfs]	Q100 [cfs]
ID			[min]				[in/hr]		
P1	Overall	32.45	16.10	0.25	0.54	1.49	3.48	12.05	60.96

Table 3: Proposed Peak Flow Summary

The 100-year peak rate of runoff for the entire site was determined to be 60.96 cfs. This is a 2.97 cfs increase from the existing condition, which is primarily due to the surface of the pond being treated as impervious. Volume in the pond will be controlled by an overflow weir, and during a storm event it is assumed rainfall will be stored in the pond with 0.5' of freeboard below the spillway elevation remaining at all times. The total precipitation from the 100-year storm is 0.93 inches, so the pond itself will act as stormwater storage. In the case of rainfall resulting in excess volume in the pond, it will spill out via the overflow weir and travel over an energy dissipater before reaching the existing creek. The proposed condition results in similar land cover, so onsite detention is unnecessary. Riprap sizing of the overflow weir will be included in the final design. All runoff calculations can be found in Appendix B.



# **5. WATER QUALITY**

As required by the TMRDM, Low Impact Development (LID) methods of treating runoff will be required to address water quality. Flow-based controls will be designed to treat runoff from the 2-year storm event (WQ<sub>F</sub>). All improvements to the site drain to a proposed swale. Riprap calculations for the swales have been performed to determine median stone diameter of 6 inches (Class 150). In all swales, the WQ<sub>F</sub> produces a depth of flow that is less or approximately equal to the diameter. The swales will effectively remove collected sediments to meet the Truckee Meadows Structural Controls Design and Low Impact Development Manual [4]. The swale and riprap calculations will be included in the final design.

# 6. CONCLUSIONS

The project, as proposed, will allow for the construction of a private fishing pond for Bryan Canyon SUP. Drainage improvements to the site shall convey anticipated flows via a network of swales and ditches. Development of the project will result in a slight increase in impervious ground cover in the form of an open pond, but increased runoff will occur in the pond limits. As a result, stormwater detention facilities have been determined unnecessary. Water quality of the runoff will all be controlled by swales along the toe of the berm surrounding the pond and into the existing ditch. The design and hydrologic studies of the proposed pond have been conducted in compliance with the drainage guidelines for Washoe County and TMRDM.

# **7. REFERENCES**

- [1] Washoe County, "Truckee Meadows Regional Drainage Manual," Reno, 2009.
- [2] National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), "Atlas 14 Precipitation-Frequency Atlas," 2018. [Online]. Available: https://hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/pfds/pfds\_map\_cont.html?bkmrk.
- [3] United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), "Web Soil Survey," 2020. [Online]. Available: https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx
- [4] NCE, "Truckee Meadows Structural Controls Design and Low Impact Development Manual," Reno, NV, April 2015.



Background Data
# National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette

250

500

1,000

1,500

2.000



### Legend

#### 119°50'4"W 39°13'12"N SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE) Zone A. V. A9 With BFE or Depth Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS **Regulatory Floodway** 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depth less than one foot or with drainage areas of less than one square mile Zone X Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard Zone X Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee. See Notes. Zone X OTHER AREAS OF Area with Flood Risk due to Levee Zone D FLOOD HAZARD NO SCREEN Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X Effective LOMRs OTHER AREAS Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard Zone D - — – – Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer GENERAL STRUCTURES LIIII Levee, Dike, or Floodwall 20.2 Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance <u>17.5</u> Water Surface Elevation AREA OF MINIMAL FLOOD HAZARD **Coastal Transect** Mase Flood Elevation Line (BFE) Limit of Study WASHOE COUNTY UNINCORPORATED AREAS Jurisdiction Boundary **Coastal Transect Baseline** 32FED OTHER 32031C3430G **Profile Baseline** FEATURES Hydrographic Feature eff. 3/16/2009 **Digital Data Available** No Digital Data Available MAP PANELS Unmapped The pin displayed on the map is an approximate point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property location. This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on 7/15/2021 at 7:25 PM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time. This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for 119°49'27"W 39°12'44"N Feet 1:6.000 unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes.

Basemap: USGS National Map: Orthoimagery: Data refreshed October, 2020

Precipitation Frequency Data Server



NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 1, Version 5 Location name: Washoe Valley, Nevada, USA\* Latitude: 39.2174°, Longitude: -119.8306° Elevation: 5883.62 ft\*\* \* source: USGS



#### POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Sarah Dietz, Sarah Heim, Lillian Hiner, Kazungu Maitaria, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Fenglin Yan, Michael Yekta, Tan Zhao, Geoffrey Bonnin, Daniel Brewer, Li-Chuan Chen, Tye Parzybok, John Yarchoan

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

PF\_tabular | PF\_graphical | Maps\_&\_aerials

### PF tabular

PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches/hour) <sup>1</sup>										
Duration				Avera	ge recurren	ce interval (y	/ears)			
Duration	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	<b>1.40</b>	<b>1.74</b>	<b>2.29</b>	<b>2.82</b>	<b>3.68</b>	<b>4.46</b>	<b>5.41</b>	<b>6.54</b>	<b>8.39</b>	<b>10.1</b>
	(1.21-1.63)	(1.51-2.05)	(1.98-2.70)	(2.41-3.31)	(3.06-4.33)	(3.60-5.30)	(4.21-6.49)	(4.88-8.00)	(5.88-10.5)	(6.73-12.9)
10-min	<b>1.06</b>	<b>1.33</b>	<b>1.75</b>	<b>2.15</b>	<b>2.80</b>	<b>3.40</b>	<b>4.12</b>	<b>4.98</b>	<b>6.38</b>	<b>7.69</b>
	(0.930-1.24)	(1.16-1.56)	(1.51-2.05)	(1.84-2.52)	(2.33-3.29)	(2.74-4.04)	(3.20-4.94)	(3.72-6.10)	(4.48-7.99)	(5.12-9.83)
15-min	<b>0.880</b>	<b>1.10</b>	<b>1.44</b>	<b>1.78</b>	<b>2.32</b>	<b>2.81</b>	<b>3.40</b>	<b>4.12</b>	<b>5.27</b>	<b>6.35</b>
	(0.768-1.03)	(0.956-1.29)	(1.24-1.70)	(1.52-2.08)	(1.92-2.72)	(2.26-3.34)	(2.65-4.08)	(3.08-5.04)	(3.70-6.60)	(4.24-8.12)
30-min	<b>0.592</b> (0.516-0.692)	<b>0.738</b> (0.644-0.866)	<b>0.972</b> (0.838-1.14)	<b>1.20</b> (1.02-1.40)	<b>1.56</b> (1.30-1.83)	<b>1.89</b> (1.53-2.25)	<b>2.29</b> (1.78-2.75)	<b>2.77</b> (2.07-3.39)	<b>3.55</b> (2.49-4.44)	<b>4.28</b> (2.85-5.47)
60-min	<b>0.366</b>	<b>0.457</b>	<b>0.602</b>	<b>0.740</b>	<b>0.965</b>	<b>1.17</b>	<b>1.42</b>	<b>1.72</b>	<b>2.20</b>	<b>2.65</b>
	(0.319-0.428)	(0.399-0.537)	(0.519-0.707)	(0.633-0.869)	(0.801-1.14)	(0.944-1.39)	(1.10-1.70)	(1.28-2.10)	(1.54-2.75)	(1.77-3.38)
2-hr	<b>0.244</b>	<b>0.302</b>	<b>0.380</b>	<b>0.448</b>	<b>0.552</b>	<b>0.646</b>	<b>0.752</b>	<b>0.886</b>	<b>1.12</b>	<b>1.34</b>
	(0.218-0.276)	(0.270-0.340)	(0.338-0.429)	(0.396-0.508)	(0.474-0.628)	(0.542-0.742)	(0.616-0.876)	(0.703-1.06)	(0.850-1.39)	(0.982-1.71)
3-hr	<b>0.198</b>	<b>0.246</b>	<b>0.303</b>	<b>0.350</b>	<b>0.417</b>	<b>0.475</b>	<b>0.538</b>	<b>0.626</b>	<b>0.771</b>	<b>0.911</b>
	(0.179-0.220)	(0.224-0.274)	(0.274-0.337)	(0.314-0.389)	(0.368-0.466)	(0.412-0.535)	(0.458-0.613)	(0.522-0.724)	(0.625-0.934)	(0.720-1.15)
6-hr	<b>0.145</b>	<b>0.180</b>	<b>0.220</b>	<b>0.252</b>	<b>0.293</b>	<b>0.324</b>	<b>0.356</b>	<b>0.393</b>	<b>0.448</b>	<b>0.498</b>
	(0.132-0.161)	(0.163-0.200)	(0.199-0.244)	(0.226-0.279)	(0.259-0.326)	(0.284-0.364)	(0.306-0.403)	(0.332-0.451)	(0.372-0.522)	(0.406-0.590)
12-hr	<b>0.099</b>	<b>0.124</b>	<b>0.155</b>	<b>0.178</b>	<b>0.210</b>	<b>0.235</b>	<b>0.260</b>	<b>0.285</b>	<b>0.318</b>	<b>0.343</b>
	(0.089-0.111)	(0.112-0.139)	(0.138-0.173)	(0.159-0.199)	(0.185-0.237)	(0.204-0.266)	(0.222-0.297)	(0.239-0.330)	(0.260-0.375)	(0.276-0.411)
24-hr	<b>0.069</b>	<b>0.086</b>	<b>0.109</b>	<b>0.127</b>	<b>0.153</b>	<b>0.173</b>	<b>0.195</b>	<b>0.217</b>	<b>0.248</b>	<b>0.272</b>
	(0.062-0.077)	(0.077-0.097)	(0.098-0.122)	(0.114-0.142)	(0.136-0.171)	(0.153-0.194)	(0.170-0.219)	(0.188-0.246)	(0.211-0.283)	(0.228-0.314)
2-day	<b>0.043</b>	<b>0.054</b>	<b>0.069</b>	<b>0.082</b>	<b>0.100</b>	<b>0.115</b>	<b>0.130</b>	<b>0.147</b>	<b>0.170</b>	<b>0.189</b>
	(0.038-0.049)	(0.048-0.062)	(0.061-0.079)	(0.072-0.094)	(0.087-0.115)	(0.099-0.132)	(0.111-0.150)	(0.124-0.171)	(0.141-0.200)	(0.154-0.225)
3-day	<b>0.032</b>	<b>0.040</b>	<b>0.053</b>	<b>0.063</b>	<b>0.077</b>	<b>0.089</b>	<b>0.101</b>	<b>0.115</b>	<b>0.134</b>	<b>0.150</b>
	(0.028-0.036)	(0.036-0.046)	(0.046-0.060)	(0.055-0.072)	(0.067-0.088)	(0.076-0.102)	(0.086-0.117)	(0.097-0.133)	(0.111-0.157)	(0.122-0.178)
4-day	<b>0.026</b>	<b>0.034</b>	<b>0.044</b>	<b>0.053</b>	<b>0.065</b>	<b>0.076</b>	<b>0.087</b>	<b>0.099</b>	<b>0.116</b>	<b>0.130</b>
	(0.023-0.030)	(0.030-0.039)	(0.039-0.051)	(0.046-0.061)	(0.057-0.075)	(0.065-0.087)	(0.074-0.100)	(0.083-0.115)	(0.095-0.136)	(0.105-0.154)
7-day	<b>0.018</b>	<b>0.023</b>	<b>0.031</b>	<b>0.037</b>	<b>0.046</b>	<b>0.053</b>	<b>0.060</b>	<b>0.068</b>	<b>0.080</b>	<b>0.089</b>
	(0.016-0.021)	(0.020-0.027)	(0.027-0.035)	(0.032-0.042)	(0.040-0.052)	(0.045-0.061)	(0.051-0.070)	(0.058-0.080)	(0.066-0.094)	(0.073-0.106)
10-day	<b>0.015</b>	<b>0.019</b>	<b>0.025</b>	<b>0.030</b>	<b>0.037</b>	<b>0.042</b>	<b>0.048</b>	<b>0.054</b>	<b>0.062</b>	<b>0.069</b>
	(0.013-0.017)	(0.016-0.021)	(0.022-0.029)	(0.026-0.034)	(0.032-0.042)	(0.036-0.048)	(0.041-0.055)	(0.045-0.062)	(0.052-0.073)	(0.056-0.081)
20-day	<b>0.010</b>	<b>0.012</b>	<b>0.016</b>	<b>0.019</b>	<b>0.023</b>	<b>0.027</b>	<b>0.030</b>	<b>0.033</b>	<b>0.038</b>	<b>0.042</b>
	(0.008-0.011)	(0.011-0.014)	(0.014-0.018)	(0.017-0.022)	(0.020-0.026)	(0.023-0.030)	(0.026-0.034)	(0.028-0.038)	(0.032-0.044)	(0.035-0.049)
30-day	<b>0.008</b>	<b>0.010</b>	<b>0.013</b>	<b>0.015</b>	<b>0.018</b>	<b>0.021</b>	<b>0.024</b>	<b>0.026</b>	<b>0.030</b>	<b>0.033</b>
	(0.007-0.009)	(0.009-0.011)	(0.011-0.015)	(0.013-0.017)	(0.016-0.021)	(0.018-0.024)	(0.020-0.027)	(0.022-0.030)	(0.025-0.035)	(0.027-0.038)
45-day	<b>0.006</b>	<b>0.008</b>	<b>0.010</b>	<b>0.012</b>	<b>0.015</b>	<b>0.017</b>	<b>0.019</b>	<b>0.021</b>	<b>0.023</b>	<b>0.025</b>
	(0.005-0.007)	(0.007-0.009)	(0.009-0.012)	(0.011-0.014)	(0.013-0.017)	(0.014-0.019)	(0.016-0.021)	(0.018-0.023)	(0.020-0.027)	(0.021-0.029)
60-day	<b>0.005</b>	<b>0.007</b>	<b>0.009</b>	<b>0.011</b>	<b>0.013</b>	<b>0.014</b>	<b>0.016</b>	<b>0.017</b>	<b>0.019</b>	<b>0.020</b>
	(0.005-0.006)	(0.006-0.008)	(0.008-0.010)	(0.009-0.012)	(0.011-0.014)	(0.012-0.016)	(0.013-0.018)	(0.015-0.019)	(0.016-0.022)	(0.017-0.023)

<sup>1</sup> Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).

Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values.

Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

Back to Top

**PF graphical** 







NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 1, Version 5

Created (GMT): Wed Jul 14 22:33:51 2021

Back to Top

Maps & aerials

Small scale terrain



Large scale terrain



Chico Chico

Large scale aerial

Precipitation Frequency Data Server



Back to Top

US Department of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Weather Service National Water Center 1325 East West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910 Questions?: <u>HDSC.Questions@noaa.gov</u>

**Disclaimer** 



Area of Interest (ACI)       Rea of Interest (ACI)       Rony Spot         Solis       Soli Map Unit Points       Very Story Spot         Soli Map Unit Lines       Soli Map Unit Points       Wet Spot         Soli Map Unit Lines       Soli Map Unit Lines       Wet Spot         Soli Map Unit Lines       Soli Map Unit Lines       Wet Spot         Soli Map Unit Lines       Soli Map Unit Lines       Wet Spot         Soli Map Unit Lines       Soli Map Unit Lines       Wet Spot         Soli Map Unit Lines       Soli Map Unit Lines       Wet Spot         Soli Map Unit Lines       Soli Map Unit Lines       Wet Spot         Soli Map Unit Lines       Soli Map Unit Lines       Wet Spot         Soli Spot       Borrow Pit       Endent       Special Line Features         Soli Spot       Clay Spot       Wet Spot       Wet Spot         Soli Spot       Marsh or swamp       Marsh or swamp       Marsh or swamp         Marsh or swamp       Mersh or swamp       Marsh or swamp       Marsh or swamp         Mine or Quarry       Mersh or swamp       Marsh or swamp       Marsh or swamp         Mine or Quarry       Marsh or swamp       Marsh or swamp       Marsh or swamp         Mine or Quarry       Marsh or swamp       Marsh or swamp       <	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at	1:24,000.	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service	Web Soil Survey URL:	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)	Mone from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more	מרכתו מום כמוכתומווטוא טו שאמווכם טו מוכמ מוכ ובקשוובת.	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.	Soil Survey Area: Washoe County, Nevada, South Part		Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales	1:50,000 of larger.	Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Mar 26, 2015—Jun 30, 2018	The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were	compiled and digitized probably differs from the background	intagery displayed on triese maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.							
erest (AOI) Jnit Polygons Jnit Lines Jnit Points <b>res</b> pot pot swamp uarry sous Water Water to t Eroded Spot							Special Line Features	Vater Features	Streams and Canals	rans portation	+++ Rails		US Routes	Major Roads	Local Roads	3ackground	Aerial Photography										
	(IO	Area of Interest (AOI)	Soil Mon Hait Bolyacon		Soil Map Unit Lines	Soil Map Unit Points	Doint Fosturos		Borrow Dit			Closed Depression	Gravel Pit	Gravelly Spot	Landfill			Mine or Quarry	Miscellaneous Water	Perennial Water	Rock Outcrop	Saline Spot	Sandy Spot	Severely Eroded Spot	Sinkhole	Slide or Slip	Sodic Spot

# Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
282	Wedekind gravelly sandy loam, 30 to 50 percent slopes	38.3	3.9%
492	Graufels bouldery sand, 15 to 30 percent slopes	15.0	1.5%
493	Graufels-Glenbrook complex, 8 to 50 percent slopes	88.9	9.0%
494	Graufels gravelly loamy coarse sand, 4 to 8 percent slopes	1.7	0.2%
505	Mottsville gravelly coarse sand, 4 to 8 percent slopes	6.7	0.7%
752	Toiyabe-Corbett-Rock outcrop association, moderately steep	72.7	7.4%
753	Toiyabe-Corbett-Rock outcrop association, steep	402.0	40.8%
754	Toiyabe-Rock outcrop complex, 50 to 70 percent slopes	161.2	16.4%
890	Indiano gravelly loam, warm, 15 to 30 percent slopes	5.1	0.5%
1010	Gabica very gravelly sandy loam, 8 to 30 percent slopes	71.3	7.2%
1121	Apmat gravelly sandy loam, 2 to 8 percent slopes	1.4	0.1%
1432	Fraval-Hirschdale-Jumbo association	120.2	12.2%
Totals for Area of Interest		984.4	100.0%

### Washoe County, Nevada, South Part

# 752—Toiyabe-Corbett-Rock outcrop association, moderately steep

#### **Map Unit Setting**

National map unit symbol: hxm7 Elevation: 5,500 to 7,000 feet Mean annual precipitation: 25 to 35 inches Mean annual air temperature: 42 to 44 degrees F Frost-free period: 60 to 80 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### **Map Unit Composition**

Toiyabe and similar soils: 40 percent Corbett and similar soils: 35 percent Rock outcrop: 15 percent Minor components: 10 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Toiyabe**

#### Setting

Landform: Mountains Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Residuum and colluvium derived from granitic rocks

#### **Typical profile**

*H1 - 0 to 8 inches:* bouldery coarse sand *H2 - 8 to 13 inches:* gravelly coarse sand *Cr - 13 to 60 inches:* bedrock

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 15 to 30 percent
Surface area covered with cobbles, stones or boulders: 2.0 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 10 to 20 inches to paralithic bedrock
Drainage class: Excessively drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.06 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Very low (about 0.9 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7e Hydrologic Soil Group: D

USDA

*Ecological site:* F022AY116NV - PIJE/ARTRV/ACOCO *Hydric soil rating:* No

#### **Description of Corbett**

#### Setting

Landform: Mountains Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Residuum and colluvium derived from granitic rocks

#### **Typical profile**

*H1 - 0 to 8 inches:* gravelly sand *H2 - 8 to 32 inches:* gravelly loamy coarse sand *Cr - 32 to 60 inches:* bedrock

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 15 to 30 percent
Surface area covered with cobbles, stones or boulders: 2.0 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 39 inches to paralithic bedrock
Drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water
(Ksat): Moderately low to very high (0.06 to 19.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Very low (about 1.5 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7s Hydrologic Soil Group: A Ecological site: F022AY130NV - Pinus Jeffreyi/ Artemisia Tridentata Ssp. Vaseyana-Purshia Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Description of Rock Outcrop**

#### Setting

Landform: Peaks Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex

#### **Minor Components**

#### Graufels

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Mountains Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Ecological site: R026XY026NV - GRANITIC SLOPE 10-12 P.Z. Hydric soil rating: No

USDA

#### Temo

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Mountains Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Ecological site: F022AY121NV - Pinus contorta-Abies magnifica/ Artemisia tridentata ssp. tridentata/Achnatherum occidentale ssp. oca Hydric soil rating: No

#### Witefels

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Mountains Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Ecological site: F022AY118NV - ABMA-PICO/ARTRV/BRMA4 Hydric soil rating: No

#### Aquolls

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Swales Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Ecological site: R022AY016NV - WET MEADOW Hydric soil rating: Yes

### **Data Source Information**

Soil Survey Area: Washoe County, Nevada, South Part Survey Area Data: Version 17, Aug 26, 2020

# **Appendix B**

Hydrologic Calculations

#### **BRYAN CANYON POND RUNOFF CALCULATIONS**

	1			
		Subbasin ID	E1	P1
Basin		Drainage Direction	N/NW	N/NW
Ba		Area, A [sf]	1413437.73	1413437.73
		Area, A [ac]	32.45	32.45
Coef.	С	Composite C <sub>5</sub>	0.20	0.25
S	C	Composite C <sub>100</sub>	0.50	0.54
p		Flow Runoff Coefficient, $C_5$ '	0.20	0.25
lan	Ti	Flow Length, L [ft] <sup>1</sup>	500	500
Initial Overland	•	Land Slope, s [%]	31.00	31.00
0		Initial Overland Time: T <sub>i</sub>	11.53	10.89
e		Flow Length, L [ft]	1085	1330
<u>E</u>		Channel Slope, s [%]	9.86	8.0
Travel Time	Tt	Travel Time Coefficient <sup>3</sup>	1.50	1.50
lav		Average Velocity, V <sub>5</sub> [ft/s]	4.71	4.25
Ĺ		Travel Time: T <sub>t</sub> [min]	3.84	5.21
	Tc	Time of Concentration, $T_c$		
	· (	[min]	15.37	16.10
μλ		Required? - Y/N	Y	Y
isua	Urban.	Total Length: L <sub>total</sub> [ft]	1585	1830
Inte	Спеск	Time of Concentration -	10.0	20.2
_ చ	┝	Check, T <sub>c,check</sub> [min]	18.8	20.2
ToC & Intensity	l <sub>c,final</sub>	Final ToC, T <sub>c,final</sub> [min]	15.37	16.10
		E Takanaika T. Fin //a 3	1 52	1.40
	I <sup>2</sup>	5-yr Intensity I <sub>5</sub> [in/hr]	1.52	1.49
		100-yr Intensity I <sub>100</sub> [in/hr]	3.57	3.48

Flov	~	Design 100-yr Flow, Q <sub>100</sub>	57.99	60.96
>	0	5-yr Flow, Q₅ [cfs]	9.90	12.05



Drainage Exhibits



#### LEGEND:

ACCESS ROAD

TIME OF CONCENTRATION PATH EXISTING HYDRO SUB-BASIN ----- PARCEL LINE

----- EXISTING FLOWLINE



9222 PROTOTYPE DRIVE RENO, NV 89521 TEL: 775.827.6111 WWW.LUMOSINC.COM

© LUMOS & ASSOCIATES, INC.: THIS DRAVING IS THE PROPERTY OF LUMOS & ASSOCIATES, INC.: USE OR REPROJUCTION OF THIS DRAVING, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PREMISSION OF LUMOS & ASSOCIATES, INC. IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED, THIS DRAVING IS NOT TO BE USED FOR AWR POLICET OTHER THAN THE PROJECT FOR WHICH IT WAS PREPARED.







#### LEGEND:

ACCESS ROAD

WATER SURFACE TIME OF CONCENTRATION PATH

PROPOSED DRAINAGE SUB-BASIN

PARCEL LINE ----- PROPOSED FLOWLINE

WATER SURFACE AREA = 2.0 AC

- WATER STORAGE VOLUME = 23.36 AC FT
- AVERAGE POND DEPTH = 15 FT
- NET EARTHWORK = 340 CU YD (CUT)



9222 PROTOTYPE DRIVE RENO, NV 89521 TEL: 775.827.6111 WWW.LUMOSINC.COM

© LUMOS & ASSOCIATES, INC.: THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF LUMOS & ASSOCIATES, INC.: USE OR REPRODUCTING OF THIS DRAWING, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PREMISSION OF LUMOS & ASSOCIATES. INC.: STRICTLY PROHIBITED, THIS DRAWING IS NOT TO BE USED FOR AWROLECT OTHER THAN THE PROJECT FOR WHICH IT WAS PREPARED.





# GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT Bryan Canyon Road Pond SUP Washoe County, NV

10334.000

April 2021

### **PREPARED FOR:**

SCAP 7, LLC 7170 E. MCDONALD DRIVE, SUITE 4 SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA 85253

### **PREPARED BY:**

LUMOS & ASSOCIATES, INC. 808 E. COLLEGE PARKWAY, SUITE 101 CARSON CITY, NV 89706 775.883.7077



### GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT BRYAN CANYON ROAD POND SUP WASHOE COUNTY, NV

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

#### <u>Page</u>

1.0 2.0	Introduction Geologic Setting	
3.0	Seismic Consideration	4
4.0	Site Conditions and Field Exploration	
5.0	Field and Laboratory Test Data	
6.0	Discussion and Recommendations 6.1 General 6.2 General Site Grading	. 9 . 9
7.0	Slope Stability and Erosion Control	11
8.0	Construction Specifications	
9.0	Limitations	13
10.0	References	15

#### **List of Plates**

- 1 Project Vicinity
- 2 Project Site
- 3 Geologic Map
- 4.1 Earthquake Map 1
- 4.2 earthquake Map 2
- 5 Major Earthquake and Seismic Belts
- 6 Modified Mercalli Scale

#### **List of Appendices**

- Appendix A Field Exploration
- Appendix B Soils Laboratory Test Results
- Appendix C Design Response Spectrum
- Appendix D Investigation Field Density Testing
- Appendix E Previous Laboratory Testing
- Appendix F Previous Field Density Testing
- Appendix G Slope Stability



### BRYAN CANYON ROAD POND SUP WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA

# **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Submitted herewith are the results of Lumos & Associates, Inc. (Lumos) Geotechnical Investigation Report for the pond located within Washoe County, Nevada on parcel APN 055-301-38. This parcel is in the southern portion of Washoe Valley at the end of Bryan Canyon Road (Plate 1).

It is our understanding that the proposed pond will have a surface area of two (2) acres. We understand the pond will have a water depth of up to twenty (20) feet. The maximum fill height will be ten (10) feet which includes five (5) feet of freeboard. The pond water will be contained partially by native undisturbed material and fill soil.

The purpose of our investigation was to characterize the site geology and soil conditions, describe the native soils, and determine their engineering properties as they relate to the proposed construction. The investigation was also intended to identify possible adverse geologic, soil, and or water table conditions. However, this study did not include an environmental assessment, a fault study, a liquefaction analysis or an evaluation for soil and/or groundwater contamination at the site.



### **GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT**

This report concludes with recommendations for site grading. In addition, information such as logs of all exploratory test pits, laboratory test data, and slope stability are provided in this report.

The recommendations contained herein have been prepared based on our understanding of the proposed construction, as outlined above. Re-evaluation of the recommendations presented in this report should be conducted after the final site grading and construction plans are completed, if there are any variations from the assumptions described herein.

It is possible that subsurface discontinuities may exist between and beyond exploration points. Such discontinuities are beyond the evaluation of the Engineer at this time. No guarantee of the consistency of site geology and sub-surface conditions is implied or intended.





# 2.0 GEOLOGIC SETTING

The eastern foothills of the Sierra Nevada mountain range (the Carson Range) in the southern portion of Washoe Valley, Nevada is located within the Great Basin geomorphic province. The geologic evolution of this Basin and Range province is extremely complex and involved a long sequence of events. Extension caused thinning and faulting of the North American Continental crust due to the subduction of the Pacific Oceanic Plate, and abduction of the North American Continental plate. The north-south trending dip-slip faults created low valleys and mountains with steep slopes. The western margin of the Basin and Range province can also be characterized by the interplay of the strike-slip faults of the Walker Lane and the normal faulting related to the Basin and Range extension. Approximately 10,000 years ago, large expanses of the Great Basin were covered by water. One of these expanses was The Ancient Lake Lahontan, which connected Walker Lake, the Carson Sink, Pyramid Lake, and Lake Bonneville.

The surface geology of the project area has been mapped by Dennis T. Trexler (1977) refer to Plate 3. The mapping indicates that Hornblende-Biotite Granodiorite (Kgd) deposits underlie the site. Hornblende-Biotite Granodiorite is defined as grayish white to gray and greenish, gray, medium- to coarse-grained. Equigranular to porphyritic, and locally foliated and lineated. Locally grades into quartz monzonite or quartz diorite.



## **3.0 Seismic Considerations**

The Carson Range, similar to many areas in Nevada, is located near active faults that are capable of producing significant earthquakes. We reviewed the Quaternary Fault Map of Nevada's interactive map (https://gisweb.unr.edu/Quaternary/Faults) and the Carson City Quadrangle Earthquake Hazards Map which show the nearest active fault of Holocene age (<15,000 years), a fault of the Mount Rose Fault Zone, to be three-quarters (0.75) of a mile east of the site. Refer to Plates 4.1 and 4.2. No Holocene faults are shown to extend into the site and no evidence of faulting was noted during our site investigation. The maximum credible earthquake (MCE) for the vicinity of the project is estimated at 7.5 in moment magnitude and many large earthquakes have occurred near the site as presented on Plate 5. This correlates to a Modified Mercalli Intensity of IX-X. Refer to Plate 6.

Liquefaction is the phenomenon where loose saturated granular soils lose their shear strength when subjected to strong vibration or cyclical loading and become unstable. Large earthquakes, as described above, may provide that type of cyclical loading. Loose saturated sands are the most susceptible to this phenomena. These conditions were not encountered during our field investigation. The soils encountered on-site were primarily dry, medium dense to very dense sands with varying amounts of silts. Therefore, the liquefaction of subsurface soils at the site is not considered likely to occur. The majority of any damage to a structure at this site is most likely to be the result of strong seismic shaking rather than subsurface soil liquefaction.

2018 IBC Design: The mapped maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration at short periods ( $S_s$ ) is 2.167g corresponding to a 0.2 second spectral response acceleration at five percent (5%) of critical damping and for a Site Class B (IBC Figure 1613.2.1(1)). The mapped maximum considered earthquake spectral response



### **GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT**

acceleration at a 1.0 second period (S<sub>1</sub>) is 0.783g corresponding to a 1.0 second spectral response acceleration at five percent (5%) of critical damping and for a Site Class B (IBC Figure 1613.2.1(2)). At this time, the soil conditions are not known in sufficient detail to a depth of one hundred (100) feet, thus, a Site Class D-default may be assumed per the IBC. These spectral response accelerations are adjusted for site class effects because Site Class D-default is assumed instead of Site Class B. The site coefficient for spectral response accelerations adjustment at short periods ( $F_a$ ) is 1.2 (IBC Table 1613.2.3(1)). The maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration parameter for short period ( $S_{MS}$ ) is 2.600g. This corresponds to design spectral response acceleration parameters of 1.733g for short period ( $S_{DS}$ ). Refer to Appendix C.

It is emphasized that the above values are the minimum requirements intended to maintain public safety during strong ground shaking. These minimum requirements are meant to safeguard against loss of life and major structural failures, but are not intended to prevent damage or insure the functionality of the structure during and/or after a large seismic event.

The seismic risks at this site are similar to other sites within western Nevada. The risks associated with this site can be mitigated utilizing widely accepted design and construction standards.



# **4.0 SITE CONDITIONS AND FIELD EXPLORATION**

At the time of our investigation, construction (grading and filling) of the site had already began. The pond site slopes generally to the northwest into Bryan Canyon.

Field exploration included a site reconnaissance and subsurface soil-exploration. During the site reconnaissance, surface conditions were noted and the locations of the exploratory test pits were determined. Test pit locations were located using a hand held GPS, existing staking, and existing features. Locations and elevations should be considered accurate only to the degree implied by these methods.



Five (5) exploratory test pits were excavated across the area to a maximum depth of thirteen (13) feet below-ground-surface (bgs). All explorations were terminated due to the difficulty encountered while digging as the material transitioned to bedrock. The



### **GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT**

approximate locations of the explorations within the site are shown on Plate 2. The subsurface soils were continuously logged and visually classified in the field by our Geotechnician in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System. Representative soil samples were collected at regular intervals and at material changes within the exploratory test pits and subsequently transported to our Carson City geotechnical laboratory for testing and analysis.

The subsurface soils (native and fill) consisted of well-graded sands with silt and was encountered the entire depth in every excavation. The fill soils encountered during exploration and during previous testing were medium dense. The native material was medium dense to very dense as it transitioned to bedrock. Groundwater was not encountered at the time of our investigation. However, fluctuations in the groundwater table should be anticipated.



## **5.0 FIELD AND LABORATORY TEST DATA**

Field and laboratory data was developed from samples taken and tests conducted during the field exploration and laboratory phases of this project. A Link Belt 145x4 track hoe was employed to excavate the test pits. Field nuclear density tests were performed on the existing fill soils (Refer to Appendix D). Representative bulk samples were collected at regular intervals which encompassed each lithological change. All samples were subsequently transported to our Carson City geotechnical laboratory for testing and analysis.

Laboratory tests performed on representative samples included sieve analysis (including fines content), Atterberg limits, moisture content, direct shear, and modified proctor. Much of this data is displayed on the "logs" of the exploratory test pits to facilitate correlation. Field descriptions presented on the logs have been modified, where appropriate, to reflect laboratory test results. The logs of the exploratory test pits are included in Appendix A of this report as Plates A-1 through A-5. Plate A-6 the "Legend" describes the various symbols and nomenclature shown on the logs.

Individual laboratory test results are presented in Appendix B as Plates B-1 through B-4. Laboratory testing was performed per ASTM standards, except when test procedures are briefly described and no ASTM standard is specifically referenced in the report. Atterberg limits were determined using the dry method of preparation (Plate B-2). Field density testing with the associated laboratory testing was conducted prior to this investigation and are presented in Appendix E and F.



# **6.0 DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

### 6.1 General

From a geotechnical viewpoint, the site is considered suitable for the proposed improvements when prepared as recommended herein.

The following recommendations are based upon the construction and our understanding of this project, as outlined in the introduction of this report. If changes in the construction are proposed, they should be presented to the Lumos Geotechnical Department, so that these recommendations can be reviewed and modified in writing, as necessary. As a minimum, final construction drawings should be submitted to the Lumos Geotechnical Department for review prior to actual construction and verification that our geotechnical design recommendations have been implemented.

### 6.2 General Site Grading

We understand an embankment will be required for the proposed pond. At the time of the investigation the majority of the pond area had been cleared and grubbed, however, if the construction is to extend beyond the current footprint, all soils with organics and any loose or otherwise disturbed native soils within the proposed pond areas should be removed.

Organic material encountered during excavations, should be stockpiled in a designated area on site for later use in landscaping, or removed off site as directed by the owner.

If fill is to be placed on a slope greater than five-to-one (5:1), the slope shall be benched and keyed. The width of the bench shall be the width of the equipment being used, and the



key shall be a minimum of two (2) feet deep and ten (10) feet wide located at the toe of the slope to prevent the migration of fill soils down slope.

Exposed soil to receive fill should be scarified in place to a minimum depth of twelve (12) inches, the oversize particles (greater than four (4) inches) removed, moisture conditioned to within two percent (2%) of optimum, and re-compacted to at least ninety percent (90%) of the ASTM D1557 standard. Additionally, prior to placing any fill, the surface shall be proof-rolled to identify any possible yielding surfaces. Proof rolling should be conducted with a heavy rubber-tire loader with a fully loaded bucket, and observed and approved by a Lumos representative. Also, the surface shall be "roughened" to insure a good bond with fill and to prevent seepage between the cut/fill interface. A "sheep's foot" can provide such a surface. The site sands, provided oversized particles (+4") are removed, are suitable for reuse as embankment fill. Embankment fill shall be placed in twelve (12) inch maximum loose lifts, moisture conditioned to within two percent (2%) of optimum and compacted to a minimum of ninety percent (90%) of the ASTM D1557 standard. Each lift shall be "roughened" to prevent seepage between layers.

A representative of Lumos should be present during site grading operations to ensure that any unforeseen or concealed conditions within the site are identified and properly mitigated, and to test and observe earthwork construction. This testing and observation is an integral part of our service as acceptance of earthwork construction and is dependent upon compaction and stability of the subgrade soils. The soils engineer may reject any material that does not meet engineering characteristics, compaction, and stability requirements. Further, recommendations of this report are based upon the assumption that earthwork construction will conform to recommendations set forth in this section of the report.



# **7.0 SLOPE STABILITY AND EROSION CONTROL**

The results of our exploration, testing and analysis indicate that 2:1 (H:V) maximum slopes will be stable for on-site materials used as embankment fill, provided the embankment fill is placed as recommended earlier in this report. "Cut" slopes in native on-site materials will also be stable up to a maximum of 2:1 (H:V). Measures shall be taken to direct surface drainage away from the slope faces.

In order to analyze the stability of the slopes, a maximum embankment height of twenty (20) feet, with maximum fill of ten (10) feet, and a minimum top width of ten (10) feet for the embankment was assumed. We then assumed there would be five (5) foot of freeboard from the water surface to embankment top.

We then reviewed the laboratory test results and utilized them in order to predict the engineering characteristics of the embankment fill, provided native soils will be utilized. The following characteristics/properties were utilized in our analysis:

Cohesion of Fill = 160 psf

Lowest Value of Cohesion from Direct Shear Test Results

Friction Angle of Fill =  $36^{\circ}$ 

Shallowest Friction Angle from Direct Shear Test Results

We then performed slope stability analyses utilizing Janbu (1968) methods as presented in EM 1110-2-1902 (Army Corps of Engineers) for 2:1 (H:V), slopes utilizing our predicted embankment fill characteristics, the assumed dimensions, and a surcharge load at top of embankment equal to 240 psf to simulate maintenance vehicular traffic. Results of our analysis are included in Appendix G.



The potential for dust generation is high at this project. Dust control will be mandatory on this project in order to comply with air quality standards. The contractor shall be responsible for submitting a dust control plan and securing any required permits.

Stabilization of all slopes and areas disturbed by construction will be required to prevent erosion and to control dust. Stabilization may consist of rip-rap, revegetation, or dust pallative, depending on the inclination of the slope. The steeper the slope, the more aggressive the stabilization technique will be required. We also recommend that rip rap underlain by filter fabric be utilized from the toe of downstream slope to five (5) feet from the top of the slope to prevent erosion of the toe due to possible seepage.

# **8.0 CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS**

All work on-site shall be governed by the latest editions of the International Building Code (IBC) and The Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction (Orange Book) as accepted by Washoe County, except where modified herein.



## **9.0 LIMITATIONS**

This report has been prepared in accordance with the currently accepted engineering practices in Northern Nevada and Northern California. The analysis and recommendations in this report are based upon exploration performed at the locations shown on the site plan, the proposed improvements as described in the Introduction section of this report and upon the property in its condition as of the date of this report. Lumos makes no guarantee as to the continuity of conditions as subsurface variations may occur between or beyond exploration points and over time. Any subsurface variations encountered during construction should be immediately reported to Lumos so that, if necessary, Lumos' recommendations may be modified.

This report has been prepared for and provided directly to SCAP 7 ("The Client"), and any and all use of this report is expressly limited to the exclusive use of the Client. The Client is responsible for determining who, if anyone, shall be provided this report, including any designers and subcontractors whose work is related to this project. Should the Client decide to provide this report to any other individual or entity, Lumos shall not be held liable for any use by those individuals or entities to whom this report is provided. The Client agrees to indemnify, defend and hold harmless Lumos, its agents and employees from any claims resulting from unauthorized users.

If this report is utilized in the preparation of an Engineer's Estimate of Probable Construction Costs, then the preparer of the estimate acknowledges that the report recommendations are based on the subsurface conditions found at the specific locations investigated on site; that subsurface conditions may vary outside these locations; and that no guaranty or warranty, express or implied, is made that the conditions encountered are representative of the entire site. The preparer of the estimate agrees to indemnify,



defend and hold harmless Lumos & Associates, its agents and employees from any and all claims, causes of action or liability arising from any claims resulting from the use of the report in the preparation of an Engineer's Cost Estimate.

This report is not intended for, nor should be utilized for, bidding purposes. If it is utilized for bidding purposes, Client acknowledges that the report recommendations are based on the subsurface conditions found at the specific locations investigated on site; that subsurface conditions may vary outside these locations; and that no guaranty or warranty, express or implied, is made that the conditions encountered are representative of the entire site. The Client agrees to indemnify, defend and hold harmless Lumos & Associates, Inc., its agents and employees from any and all claims, causes or action or liability arising from any claims resulting from the use of the report for bidding purposes.

As explained above, subsurface variations may exist and as such, beyond the express findings located in this report, no warranties express, or implied, are made by this report. No affirmation of fact, including but not limited to statements regarding suitability for use of performance shall be deemed to be a warranty or guaranty for any purpose.

Christopher "Pete" McCreary, E.I. Geotechnician Lumos & Associates, Inc.



Lumos & Associates, Inc.



### **10.0 References**

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), 2016, Annual Book of ASTM Standards, West Conshohoken

International Code Council, Inc. (ICC), 2018 International Building Code

Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 1986, Design Manual 7.01

Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 1986, Design Manual 7.02

Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology, Quaternary Faults in Nevada website, <u>https://gisweb.unr.edu/QuaternaryFaults/</u>

Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), 1995, Occupational Safety and Health Standards for the Construction Industry, Commerce Clearing House, Inc.

Standard Specifications for Public Works Construction, "SSPWC", Mineral County, NV

Trexler, Dennis T., (1977) Carson City Folio Geologic Map, Nevada Bureau of Mine and Geology, Reno, Nevada

Trexler, Dennis T., (1979) Carson City Quadrangle Earthquake Map, Nevada Bureau of Mine and Geology, Reno, Nevada

US Army Corps of Engineers Engineering and Design, 2000, Design and Construction of Levees, EM1110-2-1913








Lake Tahoe Nevada State Park

### Legend

### Quaternary Faults

Historical Ruptures

less than 150 years

Quaternary Faults by Age

- less than 15,000 years
- less than 130,000 years
- less than 750,000 years
- less than 1.8 million years

Lumos & Associates

S Fax: (775) 883-7114 mburns@lumosinc.com

808 E. College Pkwy, Suite 101 Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077

- Class B faults
- Unclassified

LUMOS



### Bryan Canyon Road Pond SUP

Tolyaba Golf Club

PLATE

**EARTHQUAKE MAP 1** 

4.1

Job Number: 10334.000

Date: April 2021

Ash Canyon Creek





### MODIFIED MERCALLI INTENSITY SCALE

INTENSITY	EFFECTS
1	Not felt except by a very few under especially favorable circumstances.
н	Felt only by a few persons at rest, especially on upper floors of buildings. Delicately suspended objects may swing.
Ĩ	Felt quite noticeable indoors, especially on upper floors of buildings, but many people do not recognize it as an earthquake. Standing motor cars may rock slightly. Vibration like passing of truck. Duration estimated.
ĪV	During the day felt indoors by many, outdoors by few. At night some awaken. Dishes, windows, doors disturbed; walls make cracking sound. Sensation like heavy truck striking building; standing motor cars rock noticeably.
'v	Felt by nearly everyone; many awakened. Some dishes, windows, etc., broken; a few instances of cracked plaster; unstable objects overturned. Disturbance of trees, poles, and other tall objects sometimes noticed. Pendulum clocks may stop.
vi	Felt by all; many frightened and run outdoors. Some heavy furniture moved; a few instances of fallen plaster or damaged chimneys. Damage slight.
VII	Everybody runs outdoors. Damage negligible in buildings of good design and construction; slight to moderate in well- built ordinary structures; considerable in poorly built or badly designed structures; some chimneys broken. Noticed by persons driving motor cars.
VIII	Damage slight in specially designed structures; considerable in ordinary substantial buildings with partial collapse; great in poorly built structures. Panel walls thrown out of frame structures. Fall of chimneys, factory stacks, columns, monuments, walls. Heavy furniture overturned. Sand and mud ejected in small amounts. Changes in well water. Disturbs persons driving motor cars.
IX	Damage considerable in specially designed structures; well-designed frame structures thrown out of plumb; great in substantial buildings, with partial collapse. Buildings shifted off foundations. Ground cracked conspicuously. Underground pipes broken.
x	Some well-built wooden structures destroyed; most masonry and frame structures with foundations destroyed; ground badly cracked. Rails bent. Landslides considerable from river banks and steep slopes. Shifted sand and mud. Water splashed (sloped) over banks.
XI	Few, if any (masonry) structures remain standing. Bridges destroyed. Broad fissures in ground. Underground pipe lines completely out of service. Earth slumps and land slips in soft ground. Rails bent greatly.
XII	Damage total. Waves seen on ground surfaces. Lines of sight and level distorted. Objects thrown upward into the air.

From Wood and Newman, 1931, by U.S. Geological Survey, 1974, Earthquake Information Bulletin, v. 6, no. 5, p. 28i

Richter Magnitude	Intensity (maximum expected Modified Mercalli)
3.0 - 3.9	11 - 111
4.0 - 4.9	IV - V
5.0 - 5.9	VI - VII
6.0 - 6.9	VII - VIII
7.0 - 7.9	IX - X
8.0 - 8.9	XI - XII

808 E. College Pkwy, Suite 101 Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114 TCIATES mburns@lumosinc.com

Lumos & Associates

Bryan Canyon Road Pond SUP

PLATE

MODIFIED MERCALLI SCALE

Job Number: 10334.000

Date: April 2021

6

## **APPENDIX** A

### **Field Exploration**



ſ													٦	ΓES	ΤP	IT	No.	. 1
	Logo	-	-	P. McCreary					Depth		feet							
	Date	-	-						Dept		-		wate	er en	coun	tere	d	
┢	Equi	pmei	nt ly	/pe: Link Belt 145X4			Gro	oun	d Ele	v.: Ex	cistir	ng	1	1				
	Depth in Feet	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Percolation Test	Split Spoon	Ziplock Sample		Natural Moisture Content, %	Optimum Moisture Content, %	Maximum Dry Density, pcf	Liquid Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Plastic Index, %	Gravel, % (3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % (#4 - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % (< #200 Sieve)	Expansion Index	R-Value	Direct Shear
	ă –	Gra	Sam	Sampler	Sample	<sup>-</sup> Table		Natur Co	Optim. Co	Ma Dry D	Liqui	PI Plasti	. Gr (3" -	(#4 - #	Fi (< #2	Expar	£	Dire
F				Fill - Well-Graded S			+							1				
	1 -		6	Reddish Brown to Me Medium Dense. Some Small Distrube	edium Brown, l ed Roots Obse	Moist, and erved.												
	2 -		В	Estimated Trace Fine Sand, and 10% Non-		Coarse to Fine												
	3 -																	
	4 -																	
	4						5.0											
	- 5 -			Well-Graded SAND	with Silt													
ŀ	6 -			Brown, Moist, and M Estimated Trace Fine Sand, and 10% Non-	e Gravel, 90%	to very Dense. Coarse to Fine												
			В				7.0											
ŀ	7 -	<u>.*.</u> }.٩.		Very Hard Digging (	Transitioning to	Bedrock)	7.0											
				vory nara Bigging (	i ranoldorning a	boureony												
4/12/21																		
AB.GI																		
US_L																		
[.GPJ																		
CINI CINI																		
PON																		
RYAN																		
AR B																		
D SHE																		
-V AN																		
/ITH R																		
AGE V																		
LUMOS_TP_FULL_PAGE WITH R-V AND SHEAR BRYAN POND GINT.GPJ_US_LAB.GDT				Latitude, Longitude: 3	39 217442° -1	19 827783°												
TP_F				Test pit terminated at 7 feet. Test pit backfilled without compact														
MOS		1		Lumos & Associ		Rr	/an i	Can		Road F	Pond	SUP	)	I				
LU				808 E. College Pkv	vy, Suite 101				-					<b>-</b>		Pl	LA1	
			4	Carson City, NV 89 (775) 883-7077		LOG OF	E)	(P	OR/	ATO	RY	TE	ST	PI	ſ		<b>A</b> -	4
	LU	& AS		Fax: (775) 883-71 CIATES mburns@lumosinc		Job Number: 10334	.000						Date:	April	2021		4-1	1

											-	TES	ST P	TI	No.	. 2
	Logg	-	-	P. McCreary			al Dep		3 fee							
	Date	-	-				er De	•	lo gro		wate	er en	cour	ntere	ed	
ŀ	Equi	pme	nury	/pe: Link Belt 145X4		GIO			xistir	ig						
	Depth in Feet	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Percolation Split Test Spoon	Ziplock Sample	Natural Moisture	Content, % Optimum Moisture	Content, % Maximum Dry Density, pcf	Liquid Liquid Limit, %	sticity Index, %	Gravel, % (3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % (< #200 Sieve)	Expansion Index	R-Value	Direct Shear
		Grap	Samp	California Sampler Bulk Sample SOIL DESCRIPTION		Natura	Optimur	Dry De	Li Liquid	Plasticity Plastic Index,	Gra (3" - #	(#4 - #2	Fin (< #20	Expans	R-	Direc
F				Fill - Well-Graded SAND with Silt		-										
	1 -		В	Brown, Moist, and Medium Dense. Some Small Distrubed Roots Obser Estimated Trace Fine Gravel, 90% C Sand, and 10% Non-Plastic Silt.												
-	2 -															
-	3 -															
-	4 -															
	5 —		R													
-	6 -															
	7 -					7.0										
5-	, 8 -			Well-Graded SAND with Silt Reddish Brown, Moist, and Medium Dense. Estimated Trace Fine Gravel, 90% C												
.GDT 4/12/21	9 -			Sand, and 10% Non-Plastic Silt.												
PJ US_LAB																
ond Gint.G	10 -															
AR BRYAN P	11 -															
V AND SHEA	12 -		В		1	3.0										
AGE WITH R-	13 -	<u>• • • • 1</u> •		Very Hard Digging (Transitioning to I												
LUMOS TP FULL PAGE WITH R-V AND SHEAR BRYAN POND GINT.GPJ US LAB.GDT				Latitude, Longitude: 39.217054°, -11 Test pit terminated at 13 feet. Test pit backfilled without compaction verification.	9.828877°											
LUMO				Lumos & Associates 808 E. College Pkwy, Suite 101	-			n Road						Ρ	LA	ΓE
	LU	M & A		Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114 mburns@lumosinc.com	LOG OF		POF	RATC	RY	TE		PI April			4-2	2

										ΓES	ΤP	TI	No.	. 3
Logo	-	-	P. McCreary		al De	•	10 fee							
Date	-	-				•	No gro		lwate	er en	cour	ntere	d	
Equi	pme	nt Ty	/pe: Link Belt 145X4	Gro	bund	Elev.:	Existir	ng	1		1			
Depth in Feet	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Percolation Split Ziplock Test Spoon Ziplock Sample	Natural Moisture	turiar moisure Content, % timum Moisture	Content, % Maximum Drv Densitv, pcf	Liquid Liquid Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Plastic Index, %	Gravel, % (3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % (#4 - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % (< #200 Sieve)	Expansion Index	R-Value	Direct Shear
De	Grap	Samp	California Sampler Bulk Sample Sample Table	Natura	Con	Con Con Ma Dry De	Liquid	Plastic	Gra (3" - #	(#4 - #2	Fin (< #20	Expans	Ę.	Direc
			Fill - Well-Graded SAND with Silt											
- 1 -		6	Brown, Moist, and Medium Dense. Some Small Distrubed Roots Observed.											
		В												
- 2 -														
- 3 -														
- 4 -		В			9.6		NP	NP	3.5	84.7	11.7			36
- 5 -														
- 6 -														
- 7 -		_	Well-Graded SAND with Silt Reddish Brown, Moist, and Medium Dense to Very	7.0										
- 4/12/21 - 8 -			Dense. Estimated Trace Fine Gravel, 90% Coarse to Fine Sand, and 10% Non-Plastic Silt.											
- 6 -		В												
29 - 10 -				10.0										
LUMOS. TP. FULL. PAGE WITH R-V AND SHEAR BRYAN POND GINT.GPJ US. LAB.GD1 1 - 6 1 - 6			Very Hard Digging (Transitioning to Bedrock)											
S TP FULL PAGE WITH R-V			Latitude, Longitude: 39.216581°, -119.829019° Test pit terminated at 10 feet. Test pit backfilled without compaction verification.											
OMU			Lumos & Associates Bry	/an (	Canyo	on Road	Pond	SUF	5			P	LA1	ΓF
			808 E. College Pkwy, Suite 101 Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114							PI	r		<b>4</b> -:	
	& A	sso	CIATES mburns@lumosinc.com Job Number: 10334	.000					Date:	April	2021	1		

																	ΓES	T P	TI	No.	4
Lo	gged	By:		P. McC	Crear	У				Т	otal I	Depth	n: <b>8</b>	feet							
Da	te Lo	ogge	d:	3-31-2	021					V	/ater	Dept	th: N	o gro	ound	lwate	er en	cour	ntere	d	
Eq	uipm	ent	Тур	be: Link B	elt 1	45X4				G	roun	d Ele	ev.: E	xistir	ng						
Depth in Faat	Granhin Lon	Sample Type		Percolat Test Californi Sampler	ia	D Bu	blit boon ulk ample	 ₹	Ziplock Sample Static Wa Table	ater	Natural Moisture Content, %	Optimum Moisture Content, %	Maximum Dry Density, pcf	Liquid Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Plastic Index, %	Gravel, % (3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % (#4 - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % (< #200 Sieve)	Expansion Index	R-Value	Direct Shear
		» ( <sup>م</sup>				SOIL DE	SCRIPTION					ō					( <sup>±</sup>		ш		
_		:1		Well-Grade	ed S/	AND with	n Silt														
				Brown, Moi	ist, ar	nd Mediu	ım Dense	to Ver	y Dense	Э.											
- 1																					
		ШB	<u>}</u>								6.1			NP	NP	1.5	88.6	10.0			
- 2																					
2																					
- 3		Β	2	At 3' Color	Char	nge to Br	own.														
			, 																		
- 4	-																				
- 5																					
- 6	- 6 - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																				
- 7																					
8 - 13		ΗB		.,	<u> </u>					8.0											
- 4/12/21 - -				Very Hard	Diggi	ng (Tran	sitioning to	o Bedro	OCK)												
B.GD1																					
S LA																					
D L4																					
INT.G																					
0 QN																					
N PO																					
BRYA																					
EAR																					
HS QI																					
-V AN																					
/ITH F																					
AGE V																					
LL_PA				l otitud - l	on e !!	uda: 20.0	162609	110.00													
TP_FULL_PAGE WITH R-V AND SHEAR BRYAN POND GINT.GPJ_US_LAB.GD1				Latitude, Lo	d at 8 fee	et.		119.82	1500												
				Test pit backfilled	without	compaction ve				_											
LUMOS						<b>Associates</b> ge Pkwy, Si				Bryar	n Car	nyon l	Road F	ond	SUF	נ			P	LA1	ΓE
		4	1	Carso	n City,	NV 89706		L(	OG O	FE	XP	OR	ΑΤΟ	RY	TE	ST	<b>PI</b>	Г			
L	JN	10	S		775) 8	383-7114							-	-			-			4-2	1
10000	8	ASS	OC	IATES mourn	is@lun	nosinc.com		Job Nu	mber: 10	334.00	0					Date:	April	2021		-	-

											٦	<b>FES</b>	ΤP	TI	No.	5
-	iged I	•	P. McCrear	у		Total			) fee							
	e Log	-				Water			-		wate	er en e	cour	ntere	d	
Equ	lipme	nt T	ype: Link Belt 1	45X4		Grour	nd Ele	ev.: Ex	xistir	ng						
Depth in Feet	Graphic Log	Sample Type	Percolation Test California Sampler	Split Spoon Bulk Sample	Ziplock Sample Static Water Table	Natural Moisture Content, %	Optimum Moisture Content, %	Maximum Dry Density, pcf	Liquid Liquid Limit, %	Plasticity Plastic Index, %	Gravel, % (3" - #4 Sieve)	Sand, % (#4 - #200 Sieve)	Fines, % (< #200 Sieve)	Expansion Index	R-Value	Direct Shear
	Q	Sat	Sampler			U at	Opti		Lig	Pla	(3. (	(#4	>)	Exp		Δ
			Well-Graded S	SOIL DESCRIPTION		_										
- 1			Light Brown, Mc Dense.	pist, and Medium D	Dense to Very											
- 2		• • • •														
- 3																
- 4		B				4.9	10.0	126.0	NP	NP	11.1	82.0	6.9			38
- 5																
- 6 - 7																
4/12/21 8																
		B														
- rdg - 10						10.0										
LUMOS TP FULL PAGE WITH R-V AND SHEAR BRYAN POND GINT.GPJ US LAB.GDT			Very Hard Diggi	ing (Transitioning to	o Bedrock)											
S TP FULL PAGE WITH R.			Latitude, Longitu Test pit terminated at 10 f Test pit backfilled without		119.827490°											
LUMO			Lumos & A		Br	yan Ca	nyon	Road F	Pond	SUF	)			Ρ	LA1	ΓE
	JM		Carson City, (775) 883-70 Fax: (775) 8	077 383-7114	LOG OF	EXP	OR	ΑΤΟ	RY	TE	ST	PIT	Г		4-{	
	& A	SSO	CIATES mourns@iur		Job Number: 10334	.000					Date:	April 2	2021			

5.4			SYM	BOLS	TYPICAL
IVI	AJOR DIVISI	UN5	GRAPH	LETTER	DESCRIPTIONS
	GRAVEL AND	CLEAN GRAVELS		GW	WELL-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	GRAVELLY SOILS	(LITTLE OR NO FINES)		GP	POORLY-GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES
COARSE GRAINED	MORE THAN 50% OF	GRAVELS WITH FINES		GM	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - SILT MIXTURES
SOILS	COARSE FRACTION RETAINED ON NO. 4 SIEVE	(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		GC	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL - SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
	SAND AND	CLEAN SANDS		SW	WELL-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS LARGER THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	SAND AND SANDY SOILS	(LITTLE OR NO FINES)		SP	POORLY-GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SAND, LITTLE OR NO FINES
	MORE THAN 50% OF COARSE FRACTION PASSING ON NO. 4 SIEVE	SANDS WITH FINES		SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND - SILT MIXTURES
	PASSING ON NO. 4 SIEVE	(APPRECIABLE AMOUNT OF FINES)		SC	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND - CLAY MIXTURES
				ML	INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, SILTY OR CLAYEY FINE SANDS OR CLAYEY SILTS WITH SLIGHT PLASTICITY
FINE GRAINED	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT LESS THAN 50		CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW TO MEDIUM PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY CLAYS, SANDY CLAYS, SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS
SOILS				OL	ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY
MORE THAN 50% OF MATERIAL IS SMALLER				МН	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS FINE SAND OR SILTY SOILS
THAN NO. 200 SIEVE SIZE	SILTS AND CLAYS	LIQUID LIMIT GREATER THAN 50		СН	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY
				ОН	ORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM TO HIGH PLASTICITY, ORGANIC SILTS
Н	GHLY ORGANIC S	SOILS		РТ	PEAT, HUMUS, SWAMP SOILS WITH HIGH ORGANIC CONTENTS

LUMOS_LEGEND_BRYAN POND GINT.GPJ_10-23-06.GDT_4/5/21		
0S LEGEND BRYAN POND GINT.GPJ 10-23-06.GDT	4/5/21	
IS LEGEND BRYAN POND GINT.GP.	10-23-06.GDT	
S LEGEND BRYA	ЧÖ.	
S LEGEND BRYA	N POND	
S LEGEN	BRYA	
S	LEGEND	
	Ś	

**Other Tests** AN

ANALYTICAL TEST (pH, Soluble Sulfate, and Resistivity)

CONSOLIDATION TEST

DIRECT SHEAR TEST

MOISTURE DENSITY CURVE

Lumos & Associates

808 E. College Pkwy, Suite 101 Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114 & ASSOCIATES mburns@lumosinc.com

Bryan Canyon Road Pond SUP

LEGEND

PLATE

**A-6** 

Date: April 2021

Job Number: 10334.000

15

LUN

С

DS

MD

# APPENDIX B Soils Laboratory Test Results





SU d C GINT **BRYAN POND** SIZE GRAIN



**BRYAN POND** SIZE GRAIN



**BRYAN POND** SIZE GRAIN







# **APPENDIX C**

### **Design Response Spectrum**



### ATC Hazards by Location

#### **Search Information**

Coordinates:	39.21684214007821, -119.8280507116462
Elevation:	5915 ft
Timestamp:	2021-04-05T21:21:16.806Z
Hazard Type:	Seismic
Reference Document:	ASCE7-16
Risk Category:	II
Site Class:	D-default



Man data @2021 Imagery @2021 , Landsat / Copernicus, Maxar Technologies, U.S. Geological Survey, USDA Farm Service Agency

#### **Basic Parameters**

Name	Value	Description
SS	2.167	MCE <sub>R</sub> ground motion (period=0.2s)
S <sub>1</sub>	0.783	MCE <sub>R</sub> ground motion (period=1.0s)
S <sub>MS</sub>	2.6	Site-modified spectral acceleration value
S <sub>M1</sub>	* null	Site-modified spectral acceleration value
S <sub>DS</sub>	1.733	Numeric seismic design value at 0.2s SA
S <sub>D1</sub>	<del>* null</del>	Numeric seismic design value at 1.0s SA

\* See Section 11.4.8

### Additional Information

Name	Value	Description
SDC	* null	Seismic design category
Fa	1.2	Site amplification factor at 0.2s
Fv	* nuli	Site amplification factor at 1.0s
CRS	0.892	Coefficient of risk (0.2s)
CR <sub>1</sub>	0.881	Coefficient of risk (1.0s)
PGA	0.923	MCE <sub>G</sub> peak ground acceleration
F <sub>PGA</sub>	1.2	Site amplification factor at PGA
PGA <sub>M</sub>	1.108	Site modified peak ground acceleration
TL	6	Long-period transition period (s)
SsRT	2.167	Probabilistic risk-targeted ground motion (0.2s)
SsUH	2.429	Factored uniform-hazard spectral acceleration (2% probability of exceedance in 50 years)
SsD	2.466	Factored deterministic acceleration value (0.2s)

### Lumos & Associates



 808 E. College Pkwy, Suite 101

 Carson City, NV 89706

 (775) 883-7077

 Fax: (775) 883-7114

 mburns@lumosinc.com

Bryan Canyon Road Pond SUP

PLATE

**C-1** 

### **DESIGN RESPONSE SPECTRUM**

Job Number: 10334.000

Date: April 2021

# **APPENDIX D**

### **Investigation Field Density Testing**



Location: Test Pit #1									
Depth Below	Inpla	ice	Maximum Dry	Optimum	Relative Density				
Existing Grade (Ft)	Density (pcf)	Moisture	Density (pcf)	Moisture					
0	117.4	6.2%	126.0	10.0%	93%				
1	113.3	7.8%	126.0	10.0%	90%				
2	117.9	11.2%	126.0	10.0%	94%				
3	115.7	9.4%	126.0	10.0%	92%				

Location: Test Pit #2									
Depth Below	Inpla	ice	Maximum Dry	Optimum	Relative Density				
Existing Grade (Ft)	Density (pcf)	Moisture	Density (pcf)	Moisture					
0	119.6	7.3%	126.0	10.0%	95%				
1	124.2	10.0%	126.0	10.0%	99%				
2	120.5	8.6%	126.0	10.0%	96%				
4	120.9	9.4%	126.0	10.0%	96%				
5	121.6	10.7%	126.0	10.0%	97%				

Location:	Test Pit #3					
Depth Below	Inpla	ice	Maximum Dry	Optimum	Relative	
Existing Grade (Ft)	Density (pcf)	Moisture	Density (pcf)	Moisture	Density	
0	113.6	8.2%	126.0	10.0%	90%	
1	113.9	10.8%	126.0	10.0%	90%	
2.5	110.3	10.1%	115.0	10.0%	96%	
4	107.4	15.5%	115.0	10.0%	93%	

Lumos & Associates



& ASSOCIATES& ASSOCIATES& ASSOCIATES& ASSOCIATES& ASSOCIATES& ASSOCIATES& ASSOCIATES

Bryan Canyon Road Pond SUP

PLATE

**D-1** 

**FILL DENSITY TESTING** 

Job Number: 10334.000

Date: April 2021

# **APPENDIX E**

### **Previous Laboratory Testing**









# **APPENDIX F**

### **Previous Field Density Testing**



IOS	SOMI	& ASSOCIATES		07/23/20 Pond Embankment,	123/20	0//23/20 Pond Embankment	0//22/20	U//23/20 Pond Embankment	07/28/20 Pond Embankment 07/28/20 Pond Embankment				Testing shown hereon was performed at random intervals and continuous observation was not conducted. Test results are valid for locations expressly set forth in this report. No ophion of the material consistency is guaranteed or implied. REMARKS:
SOIL FIELD DENSITY REPORT	CLIENT:	PROJECT NAME: PROJECT NO.:	ELEVATION	5.5' BFG	2.2 Brd	12 Brd 12 Brd	12 Brd		8' BFG				NOTE: RG = ROUCH GRADE BC = BASE COURSE SG = SUBGRADE FG = FINISH GRADE FG = FOTING GRADE FG = POOTING GRADE FF = FINISH FLOOR FF = FINISH FLOOR FREFIX "A" = DEFTH BE RREFIX "A" = DEFTH BE RREFIX "A" = DEFTH BE
LEPORT		2020 Misc. Testing 10000.015	IN PLACE IN DRY MC DENSITY CC lbs/cu.ft.	+	114.7	110.7	117.7	11/1/	111.1				RG = ROUGH GRADE BC = BASE COURSE SG = BASE COURSE SG = BASE ANDE GF = FINISH GRADE GG = ORIGINAL GRADE GG = POOTING GRADE FIG = FONTING GRADE FIG = FONTING GRADE FIE = FINISH FLOOR REFER ** = DEPTH ABOVE REFERENCE LEVEL REFEX ** = DEPTH ABOVE REFERENCE LEVEL
			OPTIMUM MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	+	t	t	t	t	9.5 123.5				UUMGS & ASSOCIATES, INC
	ATTN: Rob McQueary		RELATIVE REL COM- COM- C COM- C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	+	93	70	9/	20	90 90 90				INC

# **APPENDIX G**

**Slope Stability** 



Laboratory Test Values:

- 1. Internal Friction Angle ( $\Phi$ ) = 36°
- 2. Cohesion (C) = 160 psf

Assumptions:

1.	Slope Height (H)	= 20 ft
2.	Water Depth (H <sub>w</sub> )	= 15 ft
3.	Surcharge (q)	= 240 psf
4.	Slope (b) , (2:1)	= 2
5.	Wet Soil Density (ɣ)	= 125 pcf
6.	Water Density ( $\gamma_{w}$ )	= 62.4 pcf
7.	No Tension Cracks	
8.	No Seepage (H <sub>w'</sub> )	
9.	Toe Circle	
10.	Homogeneous Soils Streng	gth Parameters

### Pond Side of Embankment

H<sub>w</sub>/H = 15 ft/20 ft therefore,  $\mu_w = 0.97$ H<sub>w'</sub>/H = 0 ft/20 ft therefore,  $\mu_w' = 1.0$ q/( $\gamma$  \*H) = 240 psf /(125 pfs \* 20 ft) therefore,  $\mu_q = 0.98$ No tension crack and therefore,  $\mu_t = 1.0$ 

 $\frac{\text{Driving Force}}{P_d = (\gamma *H + q - \gamma w *H_w) / (\mu_q *\mu_w *\mu_t)} = (125*20 + 240 - 62.4*0) / (0.98*0.97*1) = 1898 \text{ psf}$ 

Effective Force

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{e}} &= \left( \texttt{y}^{*}\mathsf{H} + \texttt{q} - \texttt{y}_{\mathsf{w}}^{*}\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{w}}^{'} \right) / \left( \mu_{\mathsf{q}}^{*}\mu_{\mathsf{w}}^{'} \right) \\ &= \left( 125^{*}20 + 240 - 62.4^{*}0 \right) / \left( 0.98^{*}1.0 \right) \\ &= 2796 \; \mathsf{psf} \end{split}$$

Dimensionless Parameter

 $\lambda_{C\Phi} = P_e * tan(\Phi)/C$ = 2796 \* tan(36°)/160 = 13

#### Factor of Safety

 $N_{cf} = 45$ 

 $F = C^*N_{cf}/P_d$ = 160\*45/1898 = 3.7 and therefore, OK

Lumos & Associates



808 E. College Pkwy, Suite 101 Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114 mburns@lumosinc.com Bryan Canyon Road Pond SUP

PLATE

**G-1** 

### SLOPE STABILITY 1

Job Number: 10334.000

Date: April 2021

Laboratory Test Values:

- 1. Internal Friction Angle ( $\Phi$ ) = 36°
- 2. Cohesion (C) = 160 psf

Assumptions:

1.	Slope Height (H)	= 10 ft
2.	Water Depth (H <sub>w</sub> )	= 5 ft
3.	Surcharge (q)	= 240 psf
4.	Slope (b) , (2:1)	= 2
5.	Wet Soil Density (ɣ)	= 125 psf
6.	Water Density ( $\gamma_{w}$ )	= 62.4 psf
7.	No Tension Cracks	
8.	Seepage (H <sub>w'</sub> )	= 5 ft
9.	Toe Circle	
10.	Homogeneous Soils Stren	gth Parameters

### **Back Side of Pond Embankment**

 $H_w/H = H_{w'}/H = 5 \text{ ft}/10 \text{ ft}$  therefore,  $\mu_w = \mu_w' = 0.95$ 

 $q/(\gamma *H) = 240 \text{ psf} / (125 \text{ pfs} * 10 \text{ ft}) \text{ therefore}, \mu_q = 0.95$ 

No tension crack and therefore,  $\mu_t = 1.0$ 

Driving Force

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{d}} &= \left( \texttt{y} \ ^*\mathsf{H} + \mathsf{q} - \texttt{y} \ _{\mathsf{w}} \ ^*\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{w}} \right) / \left( \mu_{\mathsf{q}} \ ^*\mu_{\mathsf{w}} \ ^*\mu_{\mathsf{t}} \right) \\ &= \left( 125 \ ^*10 + 240 - 62.4 \ ^*5 \right) / \left( 0.95 \ ^*0.95 \ ^*1 \right) \\ &= 1305 \ \mathsf{psf} \end{split}$$

Effective Force

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{e}} &= \left( \texttt{y}^{*}\mathsf{H} + \mathsf{q} - \texttt{y}_{\mathsf{w}}^{*}\mathsf{H}_{\mathsf{w}}^{\prime} \right) / \left( \mu_{\mathsf{q}}^{*}\mu_{\mathsf{w}}^{\prime} \right) \\ &= \left( 125^{*}10 + 240 - 62.4^{*}5 \right) / \left( 0.95^{*}0.95 \right) \\ &= 1305 \; \mathsf{psf} \end{split}$$

**Dimensionless Parameter** 

 $\lambda_{C\Phi} = P_e * tan(\Phi)/C$ = 1305 \* tan(36°)/160 = 5.9

Factor of Safety

 $N_{cf}=25$ 

```
F = C^*N_{cf}/P_d
= 160*25/1305
= 3.1 and therefore, OK
```



808 E. College Pkwy, Suite 101 Carson City, NV 89706 (775) 883-7077 Fax: (775) 883-7114 mburns@lumosinc.com

Lumos & Associates

Bryan Canyon Road Pond SUP

PLATE

**G-2** 

### SLOPE STABILITY 2

Date: April 2021

Job Number: 10334.000

# TAB D





lt

### THE STATE OF NEVADA

### PERMIT TO CHANGE POINT OF DIVERSION, MANNER OF USE AND PLACE OF USE OF THE PUBLIC WATERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA HERETOFORE APPROPRIATED

Name of applicant:	GRANT J. WEISE JR.
Source:	BRYAN CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES
Basin:	WASHOE VALLEY
Manner of Use:	AS DECREED
Period of Use:	As Decreed
Priority Date:	01/01/1870
	******

#### APPROVAL OF STATE ENGINEER

This is to certify that I have examined the foregoing application, and do hereby grant the same, subject to the following limitations and conditions:

This permit to change the point of diversion and place of use of the waters of a portion of the Bryan Creek Tributaries, as heretofore appropriated under Proof V02779, as appears in the Judgment and Decree, in the District Court of the Second Judicial District of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Washoe, is issued subject to the terms, conditions and irrigation period imposed in said decree and with the understanding that no other rights on the source will be affected by the change proposed herein.

This permit does not extend the permittee the right of ingress and egress on public, private or corporate lands,

The issuance of this permit does not waive the requirements that the permit holder obtain other permits from State, Federal and local agencies.

This permit is limited to the irrigation of 8.0 acres within the proposed place of use.

The point of diversion and place of use is as described under items 5 and 7 respectively on the submitted application to support this permit.

The amount of water to be appropriated shall be limited to the amount which can be applied to beneficial use, and not to exceed 0.20 cubic feet per second or 32.0 acre-feet annually, and not to exceed a yearly duty of 4.0 acre-feet per acre of land irrigated from any and/or all sources.

Work must be prosecuted with reasonable diligence and proof of completion of work shall be filed on or before: Water must be placed to beneficial use and proof of the application of water to	<u>August 2/ , 2009</u>
beneficial use shall be filed on or before:	August 2/ , 2010
Map in support of proof of beneficial use shall be filed on or before:	August 2/ , 2010

#### IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I, TRACY TAYLOR, P.E.,

State Engineer of Nevada, have hereunto set my hand and the seal of my office, this 2/3/2 day of August, A.D. 2007

Junite Engineer

Completion of work filed	
Proof of beneficial use filed	
Cultural map filed	
Certificate No.	Issued

### No. 74350

.

# AMENDED APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO CHANGE POINT OF DIVERSION, MANNER OF USE AND PLACE OF USE OF THE PUBLIC WATERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA HERETOFORE APPROPRIATED

ł

1	applicant for correction	IUN 06 2006
Corrected a	pplication filed	
Map filed_		JUN 12 2006 under 74302
Diversion of the Det	and Place of Use of water her	**************************************
		×***
1. The sou	ce of water is Bryan Creek a	nd Tributaries
2. The amo	unt of water to be changed 0.2	e cfs, not to exceed 32.00 afa
3. The wat	er to be used for As Decreed	
4. The wat	er heretofore permitted for As	Decreed
5. The wat or at a poi	er is to be diverted at the follo nt from which the SE corner	owing point SE¼ SE¼ Sec. 27, T.16N., R.19E., M.D.M. of said Sec. 27 bears S.71°56'17"E., a distance of 635'.
R.19E., M	sting permitted point of dive I.D.B.&M., or at a point fro , a distance of 1,192 feet	rsion is located within SW <sup>1</sup> /4 SW <sup>1</sup> /4 Section 23, T.16N. om which the SW <sup>1</sup> /4 corner of said Section 23 bears S
7. Propose	d place of use W <sup>1</sup> /2 SW <sup>1</sup> /4 Sec.	26, E½ Sec. 27, T.16N., R.19E., M.D.M. (8.0 ac.)
8. Existing Sec. 23 to	place of use SW <sup>1</sup> /4 SW <sup>1</sup> /4 Sec be removed from existing pl	e. 23, T.16N., R.19E., M.D.B.&M. (8.0 ac. in SW1/4 SW1 ace
9. Use wil	be from As Decreed	
10. Use w	as permitted from As Decreed	
	iption of proposed works on system	Creek diversion, storage pond, and gravity pipelin
12. Estim	ited cost of works \$10,000	
13. Estim	ated time required to construct	works 2 Years
14. Estim	ated time required to complete	the application of water to beneficial use 5 Years
existing 3	rks: Use the Proof of Bene oint of Diversion and Plac he Proposed Point of Divers	ficial Use map filed under Claim 02779 to support the of Use. Use the map filed under Application 74302 ion and Place of Use.

### 74350

Water placed to beneficial use under this application will not be supplemental to water rights being sought under ground water Application 74302.

Brian A. Randall, Resource Concepts, Inc. By s/ Brian A. Randall 340 North Minnesota Street Carson City, Nevada 89703

Compared sc/ gkl

Protested\_\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*
**Permit No. 77786** 



# THE STATE OF NEVADA

# PERMIT TO CHANGE POINT OF DIVERSION, MANNER OF USE AND PLACE OF USE OF THE **PUBLIC WATERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA** HERETOFORE APPROPRIATED

Name of applicant: Source: **Basin:** Manner of Use: Period of Use: **Priority Date:** 

GRANT J. WEISE, JR. UNDERGROUND WASHOE VALLEY IRRIGATION January 1st to December 31st 07/31/1963

### APPROVAL OF STATE ENGINEER

This is to certify that I have examined the foregoing application, and do hereby grant the same, subject to the following limitations and conditions:

\*\*\*\*\*

This permit to change the point of diversion and place of use of a portion of the waters of an underground source as heretofore granted under Permit 21413, Certificate 6087, is issued subject to the terms and conditions imposed in said Permit 21413, Certificate 6087 and with the understanding that no other rights on the source will be affected by the change proposed herein. The well shall be equipped with a 2-inch opening and a totalizing meter must be installed and maintained in the discharge pipeline near the point of diversion and accurate measurements must be kept of water placed to beneficial use. The totalizing meter must be installed before any use of the water begins or before the proof of completion of work is filed. If the well is flowing, a valve must be installed and maintained to prevent waste. This source is located within an area designated by the State Engineer pursuant to NRS 534.030. The State retains the right to regulate the use of the water herein granted at any and all times.

This permit does not extend the permittee the right of ingress and egress on public, private or corporate lands.

The well must be sealed with cement grout, concrete grout or neat cement from ground level to 100 feet.

The total combined duty of water under Permits 77786 and 77787 shall not exceed 13.94 acre-feet annually for the irrigation of 3.5 acres within the described place of use.

The total combined duty of water from this well under Permits 74302, 77786 and 77787 shall not exceed 32.5 acre-feet annually.

The issuance of this permit does not waive the requirements that the permit holder obtain other permits from State, Federal and local agencies.

(Continued on Page 2)

## APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO CHANGE POINT OF DIVERSION, MANNER OF USE AND PLACE OF USE OF THE PUBLIC WATERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA HERETOFORE APPROPRIATED

Date of filing in State Engineer's Office	CE FOR OFFICE USE ONLY AN 1 2 2009
Returned to applicant for correction	
Corrected application filed	Map filed JUN 1 2 2006 under 7 4 30 2
The applicant Grant J. Weise, Jr.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1 Mill Station Ranch Road Street Address of P.O. Box	of Washoe Valley
Nevada 89704 State and Zip Code	, hereby make(s) application for permission to change the
R Point of diversion R Place of u	se Manner of use 🔽 of a portion
1. The source of water is Underground	Name of stream, lake, underground, spring or other sources.
<ol> <li>The source of water is <u>Underground</u></li> <li>The amount of water to be changed <u>0.025</u></li> </ol>	6 c.f.s., 12.95 A.F.A.
2. The amount of water to be changed 0.025	6 C.f.S., 12.95 A.F.A. Second feet, acre-feet. One second foot equals 448.83 gallons per minute.
2. The amount of water to be changed 0.025	6 c.f.s., 12.95 A.F.A. Second feet, acre-feet. One second foot equals 448.83 gallons per minute. DMESLIC mining, commercial, etc. If for stock, state number and kind of animals. Must limit to one major use.
<ol> <li>The amount of water to be changed 0.025</li> <li>The water to be used for Irrigation and Do Irrigation, power,</li> <li>The water heretofore used for Irrigation ar</li> <li>The water is to be diverted at the following distance to a found section corner. If an unsurveyed land, it al</li> </ol>	6 c.f.s., 12.95 A.F.A. Second feet, acre-feet. One second foot equals 448.83 gallons per minute. Domestic mining, commercial, etc. If for stock, state number and kind of animals. Must limit to one major use. ad Domestic If for stock, state number and kind of animals. point (Describe as being within a 40-acre subdivision of public survey and by course and hould be stated.) <u>E. M.D.M., or at a point from which the SE corner of said</u> at, a distance of 1,028 feet.

Section 22 bears South 68° 10' East, a distance of 2,255.0 feet See supporting PBU map filed under Permit 18011.

89-20

7. Proposed place of use (Describe by legal subdivisions. If for irrigation, state number of acres to be irrigated.)

Portions of the W½ SW½ Section 26 and E½ Section 27, T. 16 N., R. 19 E., M.D.M. See supporting map filed under Permit 74302.

8. Existing place of use (Describe by legal subdivisions. If changing place of use and/or manner of use of irrigation permit, describe arreage to be removed from irrigation.)

<u>SE¼ SE¼ Section 22, T. 16 N., R. 19 E., M.D.M. (northern 3.5 acres appurtenant to Washoe County</u> <u>APN 55-200-94 being stripped from existing place of use)</u>. See supporting map being filed with this Application.

9. Proposed use will be from January 1 to December 31 of each year. Month and Day

10. Existing use permitted from January 1 to December 31 of each year.

- Description of proposed works. (Under the provision of NRS 535.010 you may be required to submit plans and specifications of your diversion or storage works.)(State manner in which water is to be diversed, i.e. diversion structure, ditches, pipes and flumes or drilled well, pump and motor, etc.)
   Drilled well, pump and motor, irrigation lines, and sprinklers.
- 12. Estimated cost of works \$25,000 for well, pipeline, and road

13. Estimated time required to construct works 2 years

- 14. Estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use <u>4 years</u>
- 15. Provide a detailed description of the proposed project and its water usage (use attachments if necessary): (Failure to provide a detailed description may cause a delay in processing.) Water will be developed from a drilled well and used for irrigation and domestic purposes on a total of 3.5 acres, to be supplemental to a pending application filed to change Permit 21413.

16. Miscellaneous remarks:	MU UNICE CINANA I S
(775) 883-1600 Phone No.	By Brian A. Randall
É-meil	Resource Concepts, Inc.
	340 N. Minnesota St. Street Address of P.O. Box Carson City, NV 89703
APPLICATION MUST BE SIGNED BY THE APPLICANT OR AGENT	

 $C_{2}$ 

~

\$150 FILING FEE AND SUPPORTING MAP MUST ACCOMPANY APPLICATION

7. Proposed place of use (Describe by legal subdivisions. If for irrigation, state number of acres to be inrigated.)

Portions of the W½ SW¼ Section 26 and E½ Section 27, T. 16 N., R. 19 E	<u>., M.D.M.</u>
See supporting map filed under Permit 74302.	

8. Existing place of use (Describe by legal subdivisions. If changing place of use and/or manner of use of irrigation permit, describe acreage to be removed from irrigation.)

SE¼ SE¼ Section 22, T. 16 N., R. 19 E., M.D.M. (northerm 3.5 acres appurtenant to Washoe County APN 55-200-94 being stripped from existing place of use). See supporting map being filed with this Application.

9. Proposed use will be from <u>January 1</u> to <u>December 31</u> of each year. Month and Day

10.	Existing use permitted from	January 1	to	December 31	of each year
	- ,	Month and Day		Month and Day	

- 11. Description of proposed works. (Under the provision of NRS 535.010 you may be required to submit plans and specifications of your diversion or storage works.) (State manner in which water is to be diversed, i.e. diversion structure, ditches, pipes and flumes or drilled well, pump and motor, etc.) Drilled well, pump and motor, irrigation lines, and sprinklers.
- 12. Estimated cost of works \$25,000 for well, pipeline, and road
- 13. Estimated time required to construct works 2 years If well completed, describe well.
- 14. Estimated time required to complete the application of water to beneficial use <u>4 years</u>
- 15. Provide a detailed description of the proposed project and its water usage (use attachments if necessary): (Failure to provide a detailed description may cause a delay in processing.) Water will be developed from a drilled well and used for irrigation and domestic purposes on a total of 3.5 acres, to be supplemental to a pending application filed to change Permit 20648.

16. Miscellaneous remarks:

Inne No

E-mail

(775) 883-1600

By Brian A. Randall Print or type notifie clearly By Brian A. Randall Print or type notifie clearly Company Name 340 N. Minnesota St. Street Address or P.O. Box Carson City, NV 89703 City, State, Zip Code

### APPLICATION MUST BE SIGNED BY THE APPLICANT OR AGENT

\$150 FILING FEE AND SUPPORTING MAP MUST ACCOMPANY APPLICATION

The point of diversion and place of use are as described on the submitted application to support this permit.

The amount of water to be appropriated shall be limited to the amount which can be applied to beneficial use, <u>and not to exceed 0.0256 cubic feet per second or 12.95 acre-feet annually.</u>

Work must be prosecuted with reasonable diligence and proof of completion	
of work shall be filed on or before:	August 21, 2010
Water must be placed to beneficial use and proof of the application of water to	
beneficial use shall be filed on or before:	August 21, 2010
Map in support of proof of beneficial use shall be filed on or before:	August 21, 2010

## IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I, TRACY TAYLOR, P.E.,

State Engineer of Nevada, have hereunto set my hand and the seal of my office, this <u>146</u> day of <u>September</u>, A.D. <u>2009</u>

				State Engineer	l'a	<u>,</u>	
Completion of	work filed						•
Proof of benefic	cial use file	d	<u></u>				
Cultural map fi	led			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	-	
Certificate No.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_Issued	•	. • 	
<u>11b</u>							



Permit No. 77787



# THE STATE OF NEVADA

# PERMIT TO CHANGE POINT OF DIVERSION, MANNER OF USE AND PLACE OF USE OF THE PUBLIC WATERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA HERETOFORE APPROPRIATED

Name of applicant: Source: Basin: Manner of Use: Period of Use: Priority Date: GRANT J. WEISE, JR. UNDERGROUND WASHOE VALLEY IRRIGATION January 1st to December 31st 08/20/1962

### APPROVAL OF STATE ENGINEER

This is to certify that I have examined the foregoing application, and do hereby grant the same, subject to the following limitations and conditions:

\*\*\*\*\*\*

This permit to change the point of diversion and place of use of a portion of the waters of an underground source as heretofore granted under Permit 20648, Certificate 6086, is issued subject to the terms and conditions imposed in said Permit 20648, Certificate 6086 and with the understanding that no other rights on the source will be affected by the change proposed herein. The well shall be equipped with a 2-inch opening and a totalizing meter must be installed and maintained in the discharge pipeline near the point of diversion and accurate measurements must be kept of water placed to beneficial use. The totalizing meter must be installed before the proof of completion of work is filed. If the well is flowing, a valve must be installed and maintained to prevent waste. This source is located within an area designated by the State Engineer pursuant to NRS 534.030. The State retains the right to regulate the use of the water herein granted at any and all times.

This permit does not extend the permittee the right of ingress and egress on public, private or corporate lands.

The well must be sealed with cement grout, concrete grout or neat cement from ground level to 100 feet.

The total combined duty of water under Permits 77786 and 77787 shall not exceed 13.94 acre-feet annually for the irrigation of 3.5 acres within the described place of use.

The total combined duty of water from this well under Permits 74302, 77786 and 77787 shall not exceed 32.5 acre-feet annually.

The issuance of this permit does not waive the requirements that the permit holder obtain other permits from State, Federal and local agencies. (Continued on Page 2)

The point of diversion and place of use are as described on the submitted application to support this permit.

The amount of water to be appropriated shall be limited to the amount which can be applied to beneficial use, <u>and not to exceed 0.0181 cubic feet per second or 12.74 acre-feet annually.</u>

Work must be prosecuted with reasonable diligence and proof of completion	
of work shall be filed on or before:	August 21, 2010
Water must be placed to beneficial use and proof of the application of water to	
beneficial use shall be filed on or before:	August 21, 2010
Map in support of proof of beneficial use shall be filed on or before:	August 21, 2010

### IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I, TRACY TAYLOR, P.E.,

State Engineer of Nevada, have hereunto set my hand and the seal of my office, this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of <u>September</u>, A.D. 2009

Completion of wa	.]. (°]]. J		- -	State Engi	neer		- 	
Completion of wor Proof of beneficial				, 	•.			- - -
Cultural map filed								
Certificate No.	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_Issued _		-		 
<u>116</u>							-	
					. •			

-

# Application No. 77787

### APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO CHANGE POINT OF DIVERSION, MANNER OF USE AND PLACE OF USE OF THE PUBLIC WATERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA HERETOFORE APPROPRIATED

	THIS SPACE F	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
Da	ate of filing in State Engineer's Office	1 2 2009	
Re	sturned to applicant for correction		<u></u>
Co	prrected application filed N	Map filed JUN 1 2 2006 under 7	4302
The	applicant Grant J. Weise, Jr.		
1	Mill Station Ranch Road Street Address of P.O. Box	of Washoe Valley	
N		hereby make(s) application for per	mission to change the
<b>X</b>	Point of diversion	Manner of use	x of a portion
	ify right in Decree.) rmit 20648, Certificate 6086		
1.	The source of water is Underground	Name of stream, lake, underground, spring or other sources.	
2.	The amount of water to be changed 0.0181 c.f.	.s., 12.74 A.F.A. Second feet, acre-feet. One second foot equals 4	148.83 gallons per minute.
3.	The water to be used for Irrigation and Domes	····· ,	
4.	The water heretofore used for Irrigation and Do	Omestic If for stock, state number and kind of enimals.	
5.	The water is to be diverted at the following poin distance to a found section corner. If on unsurveyed land, it should be SE¼ SE¼ Section 27, T. 16 N., R. 19 E., M Section 27 bears S. 60° 23' 40" E., a distant See supporting map filed under Permit 7430	t (Describe as being within a 40-acre subdivision of public e stated.) I.D.M., or at a point from which the SE ce of 1,028 feet.	
6.	The existing point of diversion is located within	(If point of diversion is not changed, do not answer.)	
	NIME OF A CONTRACT OF A DIALE	UDD 914 er et e point from which th	o SE corpor of said

NW¼ SE¼ Section 22, T. 16 N., R. 19 E., M.D.B.&M., or at a point from which the SE corner of said Section 22 bears S. 46° 43' E., a distance of 2,650.0 feet. See supporting PBU map filed under Permit 18011

89-112

o Permit 74350 grants you .02 cubic feet per second and 32 acre-feet annually. This translates into roughly 10,427, 2447 gallons per year, 868,937.25 gallons per month, and 2,606,811.7 gallons quarterly.

o Permit 77786 grants you .0256 cubic feet per second and 12.95 acre-feet annually. This translates into roughly 4,219,769.7 gallons per year, 351,647.47 gallons per month, and 1,054,942.4 gallons quarterly.

o Permit 77787 grants you .0181 cubic feet per second and 12.74 acre-feet annually. This translates into roughly 4,154, 599.3 gallons per year, 346,216.6 gallons per month, and 1,038,649.8 gallons quarterly.

# Adam Torrero

From:	Chris Sarman <sarman@reno-realty.com></sarman@reno-realty.com>
Sent:	Thursday, January 18, 2018 8:13 AM
То:	adam@jhurry.com
Cc:	mbanta@confluencewaterresources.com
Subject:	Re: FW: Parcel Information - Taxpayer Inquiry

Adam. Ive added Matt Banta to this correspondence. He will likely reach out to you sometime today. Tomorrow may not work but we certainly want to take some neccessay steps with ya.

Thanks

Parcel(s) 055-301-38 and 055-301-44.

#### AN LOLO VIL UND IVE

	Owner Infor	mati	on & Lega	al De	scription		
APN	055-301-38		Card 1 of	f1			
Previous Parcel	Next Parcel						Neighborhood Map
Parcel Map   Map	Index   iLookAbout   Picton	ietry	GIS WR	MS (r	iew quickma	np) (	Old QuickMap   2018 VN
Situs	0 BRYAN CANYON RD				number of a state of a		
Owner 1	SCAP 7 LLC						
Owner 2 or Trustee							
Owner 3 or Trustee							
Mail Address Copy to Clipboard	7170 E MCDONALD DR #	4					
	PARADISE VALLEY AZ 8	5253					
Keylîne Desc	RS 4473 LT B				(- <u></u>		
Subdivision	_UNSPECIFIED						
Lot B Block			Section	Точ	vnship 16	Ran	ge 19
Record of Survey Map 4473 :Parcel Map	# : Sub Map#						
			Spec	siał Pr	operty Code	060	
2018 Tax Dist	4000				Prior APN	Mul	tiple
2017 Tax Dist	4000		Additiona	l Tax	Info		11111111111111111111111111111111111111
Tax Cap Status	Use does not qualify for Lov	/ Сар	, High Cap	э Арр	lied		
	Last Activity/ Last P	ermit					MILLAR MILL PROVIDE 17 P
	Up to 7 Sales/Transfer R	ecor	ds/Recor	rded	Document	(addi	tional information/records)
Grantor						Gra	ntee
WEISE 1981 TRUST		SCAP 7 LLC					
WEISE, GRANT J JR & OLIVIA S		WEISE 1981 TRUST					
WEISE, GRANT J JR & OLIVIA S		WE	ISE, GRA	NT 1	JR & OLIVI	AS	
WEISE, GRANT J JR & OLIVIA S W		WE	WEISE, GRANT J JR & OLIVIA S				
WEISE, GRANT J JR & OLIVIA S		WE	ISE,GRAN	NT J :	ir & Olivij	45	
							To view sale/

 Size	346.48 Acre	NY A LEF	Indoue
 	546 40 A	Water	Nepa
Land Use	100	Sewer	None
	Land Information	a (additional land information)	

Valuation Information (additional valuation information)



#### AN UDGO VIL LUID IVEIN

	Owner Informat	on & Legal Description	
APN	055-301-44	Card 1 of 1	
Previous Parcel	Next Parcel		Neighborhood Maps
Parcel Map   Map	Index   iLookAbout   Pictometry	GIS WRMS (new quickma	p)   Old QuickMap   2018 VN
Situs	300 PONDEROSA POINT DR		
Owner 1	SCAP 7 LLC		
Owner 2 or Trustee			
Owner 3 or Trustee			
Mail Address Copy to Clipboard	7170 E MCDONALD DR #4		
	PARADISE VALLEY AZ 8525	3	
Keyline Desc	DLM 213 LT 4 ADJ RS 5239 L	Т 4А	
Subdivision			
Lot 4A Block		Section Township 16	Range 19
Record of Survey Map 5239 : Parcel Map	# ; Sub Map# 213		
		Special Property Code	
2018 Tax Dist	4000	Prior APN	055-301-42
2017 Tax Dist	4000	Additional Tax Info	
· Tax Cap Status	Use does not qualify for Low Ca	p, High Cap Applied	
	Last Activity/ Last Permi		
	Up to 7 Sales/Transfer Reco	rds/Recorded Document	(additional information/records)
Grant	9 r		Grantee
PONDEROSA LAND/LVSTOCK CO INC		SCAP 7 LLC	
PONDEROSA LAND/LVSTOCK CO INC,		PONDEROSA LAND/	VSTOCK CO INC
·····	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	······································	To view sale/tr
Land	Information (additional land in	formation)	
Land Use 120		Sewer I	lone

	Land Use	1		Sewer	None	
	Size	40.01	Acre	Water	None	
3		A				



# Water Rights

74350	PER	 SCAP 7, LLC
77786	PER	SCAP 7, LLC
	DEB	그는 것 같아요. 그는 것 같은 것은 것 같아요. 그는 것 같아요. 이용 동안 문자가 있는 것 같아요. 한 것 같아요. 나는 것 같아요. 나는 것 같아요. 나는 것 같아요. 나는 것

# App/Permit: <u>74350</u> Status: PERMIT

# Certificate: None

ieneral	Maps & Due I	Dahes	Plane of Use	Ab	rogetione/P	rorests/Rullings	OWNER	sino and s	Tille
Gener	al								
Owner	(s):		SCAP 7, LLC	,		Basi	n:	WASHO	E VALL
Sub Ba						Basi	n Status:	DESIGN	ATED
Region	11		TRUCKEE RI	VER B	ASIN	Cour	ity:	WASHO	E
Resou	rce Specialist		<u>Melissa Marı</u>	<u>C</u>					
Previo	ous Applica	tions(	Base Righ	nts)		21141107110711071107110711071107110711071			
Change	of App No				(20)D	POU	MOU		
<u>V0277</u>	9				Y	Y			
Source	1	STREA	1			Source Des	scription:	BRYAN	CREEK
Projec	t Name:					Decree Na	ne:		
Use:		AS DEC	REED						
Period	Start:	DECR				Period End	-	DECR	
Point	of Diversio	on Info	rmation						
Qtr-Qt	r:	Qtr:		5	Section:	Tow	nship:	I	Range
SE		SE		2	27	16N		:	19E
Duty-E	Balance	32 AFA				Div Balaı	nce	0.2	
Acre-F	eet Storage	0				Well Log	5:		
Remar	·ks:								

# App/Permit: 77786

# Status: PERMIT

Certificate: None

General	Maps & Due I	bates Pla	re of Vee	Abrogations/F	rotests/Rulings	ownershi	p and Tille
Gene	ral			<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			
Ownei	r(s):	SC	AP 7, LLC		Basin:	W.	ASHOE VALLE
Sub Ba					Basin	Status: DE	SIGNATED
Regio	n:	TR	UCKEE RIVI	ER BASIN	County	<b>y:</b> W/	ASHOE
Resou	rce Specialist	: <u>Me</u>	<u>lissa Marr</u>				
Course and the second	ous Applica	tions(Ba	se Right				ester a strategie de
Change	of App No			200	- PON	MOU	
21413	<u>}</u>			Y	Y	1	
Source	81	UNDERGR	OUND		Source Desci	ription:	
Projec	t Name:				Decree Name	31	
Use:		IRRIGATIO	)N				
Period	Start:	0101			Period End:	12	131
Point	of Diversio	n Inform	ation				
Qtr-Q1	: <b>r:</b>	Qtr:		Section:	Towns	ship:	Range:
SE		SE		27	16N		19E
Duty-I	Balance	12.95 AFA			Div Balanc	e	0.0256
Acre-I	eet Storage	0			Well Logs:		
	rks:						

ŝ





### Download Well Log:



hive in the second s		in a second provide the second state of the se		
Well Log No:	111607	Basin:	089	
Waiver No:	N/A	Owner:	WEISE, GRANT	
Permit No:	74302	Well Name:	N/A	
Date Received:	08/26/2010	Address:	0 BRYAN CANYON RD	
Notice of Intent:	58562			
		Loca	tion Information	
Reference:	Mount Diablo	Parcel No:	55-301-38	Latitu
Township:	16N	Lot No:	N/A	Long
Range:	19E	Subdivision:	N/A	Cour
Section:	27	Block No:	N/A	Work
Quarters:	SE SE			Prop
		We	Il Construction	
Date Started:	4/28/2009	Perforations:	60 ft	- Stati
Date Completed:	05/01/2009	From:	140 ft	Pum
Aquifer Desc:	N/A	To:	200 ft	Meth
Hole Depth:	200 ft	Perforation Inf	erval: 2	Spec
Surface Casing Diameter:	6.625 in	Depth of Seal:	101	Yield
Cased To:	200 ft	Draw Down:	0	Wate
Casing Reductions:	0	Gravel Packed	: Yes	After
		From:	101 ft	
		То:	200 ft	
		Drilling C	ontractor Information	
Contractor's Lic No:	46498	Name: B	LAIN DRILLING & PUMP CO	
Contractor's Drilling No:	0	Address: P	O BOX 1255 CARSON CITY NV	/ 89702
Driller's Lic. No:	2167			
			Remarks	
Work Type: N/A		Generat: N	I/A	A

# **CHRIS SARMAN - APPRAISER**

email: csarman@washoecounty.us | direct phone: (775) 328-2262 | fax (775) 328-3641

# Washoe County Assessor's Office

1001 E. Ninth St., Bldg. D, Reno, NV 89512

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom this email is addressed. If you are not one of the named recipient(s) or otherwise have reason to believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message immediately from your computer. Any other use, retention, dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of this email is strictly prohibited.

Nevada Division of Water Resources

# **Well Log Details**

Download Well Log:



General Information						
Well Log No: Waiver No: Permit No: Date Received: Notice of Intent: Reference: Township: Range: Section:	134554 N/A N/A 07/07/2020 N2020-316 Mount Diablo 16N 19E 27	General Information         Basin:       089         Owner:       SCRAP 7 LLC         Well Name:       N/A         Address:       7545 BRYAN CANYON RD WASHO         Location Information         Location Information         Parcel No:       055-301-38         Lot No:       N/A         Subdivision:       N/A         Block No:       N/A	DE VALLEY Latitude: 39.22 Longitude: 119.83 County: WASHOE Work Type: Replacement Well			
Quarters:	SE SE		Proposed Use: Irrigation			
		Well Construction				
Date Started: Date Completed: Aquifer Desc: Hole Depth: Surface Casing Diameter: Cased To: Casing Reductions:	6/1/2020 06/08/2020 N/A 500 ft 6 in 500 ft 0	Perforations:         80 ft           From:         420 ft           To:         500 ft           Perforation Interval:         1           Depth of Seal:         100           Draw Down:         0           Gravel Packed:         Yes           From:         500 ft           To:         100 ft	Static Water Level:25 ftPumping Water Level:25 ftMethod:Air LiftSpecific Capacity:0.00Yield:200 gpmWater Temperature:45 degrees FAfter Hours Pump:6			
		Drilling Contractor Information	·			
Contractor's Lic No: Contractor's Drilling No: Driller's Lic. No:	55548 0 2010	Name:CAPITAL CITY WELL DRILLING AND FAddress:20 KIT KAT DRIVE CARSON CITY NV				
		Remarks				
Work Type: REPLACES V	VELL LOG 111607	General: N/A	Additional: N/A			



. SEQU m m n n n n n n n n n n n n n 

n

+